

standards may in the opinion of some, be capable of improvement, the same difficulty would be found to attend any attempt at change that has been experienced in attempting to alter the English Book of Common Prayer, or to obtain a new version of the Scriptures into our language. Men cannot be found to agree upon the changes and emendations required, although all are agreed that some changes are necessary; and the same latitude must be allowed in dealing with the standards that is granted in dealing with the English Scriptures—that of explaining and annotating whenever the reader feels that such explanation or annotation is necessary to free the passage from obscurity and prevent misconception. This is, in effect, what has been done in the case of the Union amongst Presbyterians in Australia, in Nova Scotia, and in Canada, so far as union has yet gone.

3. THE UNITED CHURCH WOULD BEAR THE SAME RELATIONSHIP TO ALL SECTIONS OF PRESBYTERIANISM IN SCOTLAND. Of course the *nominal* connection with those churches would be broken off. No demand could be made to any one section of them in particular for either men or money; but the probability is that both men and money in proportion to our necessities would be furnished to us to the extent they are at present, without any solicitation on our part, as is being done in the case of the United Church in Australia, Committees having been appointed in each of the Presbyterian Churches of Scotland for the purpose of aiding that Church.

And there can be no question, that in the event, which in the nature of things must be rare, of a minister, licensed