

Patent Act—Trade Marks Act

member's remarks are of great interest, I question their relevancy to the amendment before the house.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Rondeau: Mr. Speaker—

Mr. Speaker: I will listen a little more carefully to the hon. member and I will be able to determine if he remains within the ambit of the amendment under consideration.

Mr. Rondeau: I am very grateful, Mr. Speaker, but in my opinion, the minister misunderstood me if he thinks that I am not dealing with the subject. Indeed, if we want the licence of a manufacturer to be subject to cancellation, and that could be done quickly, we should establish a quality code and regulations providing for cancellation if he does not comply with this or that condition.

We have no quality standards. The honourable Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs (Mr. Basford) has not established any which would compel the companies to abide by some regulations rather than others.

Anything and everything is being written on the labels, anything and everything is being sold. It would take too much time and it would be too difficult to quote in this House all recommendations made to the Committee dealing with consumer credit. I would like the minister to tell me what recommendations he or others have accepted to deal with those companies that have made complaints.

As for packaging, prices, labelling of dangerous products, Mr. Speaker, there are dozens of complaints mentioned in this report.

In order to protect the consumers of Canada and even the honourable members who have voted for junk instead of quality, I wish we had some legislation allowing the minister, when the need arises, to revoke the licenses of those who do not follow the regulations.

I shall have other opportunities, during other debates perhaps, to give proof of the abuses not to say the thefts perpetrated by certain companies against the consumer. It is unfortunate that the honourable minister has not made any regulations allowing him to revoke the licenses of those manufacturers and protect us against such abuses.

[*English*]

Mr. Basford: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of order really because it is a few minutes to ten o'clock and I wish to rise before it is ten o'clock. There have been some

[*Mr. Basford.*]

discussions. I am not quite sure of the nature of those discussions, but we are making very good progress on this bill and I am wondering whether it is the feeling of the house that we should sit until eleven o'clock to deal with these amendments.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I think we have had enough of these late nights. If for no reason other than the sake of the staff, I would say no.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Rondeau: Mr. Speaker, I understand that the minister would like to speed up the House's business by having this bill passed tonight; personally I would like us to make some progress after the bill has been adopted. Whether we pass it tonight or not, does not make much difference. That is why I moved this amendment. I wish the minister would sleep on it, and on these words, may I draw your attention to the fact that it is now 10 o'clock.

[*English*]

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, since it is ten o'clock, may I ask the acting house leader whether it would be the intention of the government to return to this bill tomorrow in the hope that, after he has had a good night's sleep, he might be more amenable toward the amendments, or are we to go on to the item in respect of the hazardous products.

Mr. Basford: We will be proceeding with this bill tomorrow, which I would hope we could complete, and also the bill in respect of hazardous products.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

A motion to adjourn the house under Standing Order 40 deemed to have been moved.

LABOUR CONDITIONS—ALTERNATIVE TO COMPENSATE FOR CANCELLED WINTER WORKS PROGRAM

Mr. Mark Rose (Fraser Valley West): Mr. Speaker, I do not think it will be necessary for me to take my full time tonight. I wish to