PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION—Continued

- British National Liberal Federation, 1932, Resolution advocating, 47. "Reform of Political Representation" by J. Fischer Williams, Extracts from, 47. "The Experiment with Democracy in Central Europe" by Arnold John Zurcher, Extracts from, 47.

"Proportional Representation" by George Horwill, Extracts from, 52, 183.

- In Germany. Comments of Mr. Herman Finer, 54, 184; comments of Sir John Fischer Williams in Report of Proportional Representation Society, May, 1932, to April, 1933, 184; "The Governments of Europe" by Munro, quotations from, 185; "Pro-portional Representation" by Horwill, quotations from, showing results, parties, votes, numbers elected in 1924 elections, 186.
- Results just as irregular as under majority system of voting, 76.
- "Limited Vote" system in England, 1867, permitted voter to vote for two or three candidates, 78.
- "Cumulative" system in Illinois, three members ridings where voter may give all three votes to one candidate, 78.
- In Christchurch, New Zealand, ballots were counted 300 times; could not determine then who was successful candidate so names of candidates put in a hat and one drawn out, 81.

Winnipeg elections on Friday; results Saturday night, 90.

Almost impossible to have throughout Canada, 92.

Winnipeg civic election for aldermen, November, 1934—Tabulated statement of result, 100.

Adopted by Winnipeg in 1919; reason therefor, 102, 137.

Chart indicating how system operates, 104.

Misconception in minds of people respecting recounts; only a fraction of original ballots used in recount, 104.

Irish Free State, Report by John H. Humphreys, Secretary of P. R. Society, respecting election of 1933, 109.

In operation in Belgium for 13 years and no party opposed to it, 116.

France's experience with a form of so-called, 117, 188. Australia, Reason for requesting adoption of in, 124.

A slight change-over in the vote has only small effect on representation, 126.

Tasmania votes in one riding were counted 109 times, 126.

Spoiled ballots are less numerous under, 127.

Expenses minimized under, 128.

Public educational campaign necessary to introduce, 129.

Is a direct blow against corrupt politics, 129. Adopted by large number of U.S.A. cities, 130.

Is a device for making democracy more effective and more real, 136.

Should be tried out in more thickly settled communities, 141, 151.

Does not encourage the formation of groups, 141, 146. Ignorance, apathy and impatience responsible for abolition of, 142.

American cities, boss-ridden, seeking special privileges, caused abandonment of, 142. Reproduces opinions of electors in true proportion; ensures majority shall rule and all

considerable minorities be heard; gives electors wide freedom of choice of representatives; gives representatives greater independence from financial and other

pressure, 143, 181. Reasons for recession of over 20-year period, 144.

Group Government; You do not avoid difficulty by avoiding P.R.; you only make matters worse, 149, 154.

Brant, Oxford and Waterloo in Ontario could be grouped together. 151.

List of political groups in Switzerland, France, Prussia, in favour of, 154.

Demonstration of operation of, 160. Tasmania has employed it for over 30 years, 182, 188.—Report by Chief Electoral Officer on general election of January, 1913, 189.

List of European countries that have, at some time or other, adopted, 183.

Irish Free State still continues use of, 183.

Malta uses, 183.

To be given a fair chance must be properly employed, 183.

Claimed that Denmark, Switzerland, Norway, Finland and Sweden well satisfied with, 183.

In Italy, adopted in 1919. Quotations from Sir John Fischer Williams and Mr. Horwell, 187.

In Greece, adopted 1926, abolished 1928. Reintroduced 1932, abolished 1933, 188. Bulgaria has abolished, 188.

Certain advantages claimed for this system are "not proven", 192.

Mr. Butcher's conclusions respecting, 194.

Unsuitable to English parliamentary system of government, 197.