

20. Evaluation by inspectors

A check-list would have been beneficial.

21. Closing conference

22. Anomalies, disputes and complications

Although no specific conclusions can be drawn on the basis of only one trial inspection, it should be noted that not only the intentional complication but also an unintentional anomaly was disclosed.

23. Report of the inspection team

A standardized report format is essential.

In order to facilitate future routine inspections at the facility, a detailed inspection report would be useful. However, such a report would then have to include confidential information to such an extent that it could hardly be brought from the premises of the facility.

For inspections, where no unresolved ambiguities remain, an "unclassified" supplementary version of the inspection report appears feasible. This report could be based, inter alia, on a list of yes-or-no type questions.

24. Impact of the inspection on facility operations

The present trial inspection did not hamper actual operation or the safety of the facility. However, since facility personnel had to escort and assist the inspection team, the performance of their ordinary duties was delayed.

25. Other matters

The co-operation of the facility staff appeared essential for a speedy and efficient inspection.

At least one of the inspectors ought to be fluent in the language used at the facility. Examination of records with the assistance of interpreters would be very time-consuming.

The "facility attachment" is the primary information source for the inspectors. If it is to be useful, it must be comprehensive and thus include confidential information which might have to be kept under seal at the facility. In such a case an inspection might begin with a "refresher session" during which the inspectors consult the complete "facility attachment" and finalize the inspection plan.