

Oshawa, in the County of Ontario, and within the jurisdiction of the said court, in the presence and hearing of divers liege subjects of our said Lord the King then assembled together, did unlawfully, seditiously and maliciously publish, utter and declare of and concerning the Government established by law within this realm, and of and concerning our said lord the King and the Crown of this realm, and of and concerning the liege subjects of our said Lord the King, amongst other words, and matter, the wicked, seditious and inflammatory words and matter following, that is to say:—‘Damn King George; I don’t care for King George; I am a German.’ and lifting up both hands did further then declare, ‘Canada is good for Germany; Germany will soon take it,’ in contempt of our said Lord the King, in open violation of the laws of this realm, to the evil and pernicious example of all others in the like case offending, and against the peace of our said Lord the King, his Crown and dignity.

“F. M. Field,
“Counsel for the Crown.”

SHORTER OPINIONS.

We entirely agree with the recommendation of the Committee on Law Reporting of the American Law Association in favour of Judges writing shorter judgments. This is said, by *Law Notes*, to have borne fruit as appears by the case of *Lemmerly v. Farmers, etc., Bank*, 247 Fed. 667, wherein it was said: “It is due to counsel, litigants, and this court to here add that the many cases, English and American, bearing on the double proof of claims in bankruptcy, which were cited and discussed at the hearing, have all had due consideration in the preparation of this opinion. Such consideration opens two courses: One, the tempting field of judicial discussion, covering pages and involving long lists of cases, copious extracts of what is now in the reports, and restatements of what has already been said or decided. The other course is to condense in a few lines a syllabus of these authorities. This latter course we have followed in saying that these cases eventually crystallized in the American courts holding that, where there was a double