

Liberal member John Hoogstraten is caught striding from the House in last week's Mock Parliament.

Letters-

1. Is \$10. Enough

This is in reference to an article in the February 10, 1960 issue of the

Perhaps I am stupid, but could you please tell me how the \$10.00 increase per student over the next 5 years invested at 6% per annum will yield a return of \$150,000.

The figure that I arrived at was nearer \$20,000 at the end of the fifth

This is calculated on the basis of an enrollment of 2,000 students in each of the next 5 years.

If the Finance Committee can make a principal of from \$20,000 in the first year and which will only reach \$100,000 in the fifth year yield \$150,000 at the end of the fifth year, I wish that they would invest some money for me.

Please do not neglect to print this because it is anti-S.U.B.

George Zinck, Jr. (4-2615)

Ed: We welcome your question, but are unable to see how your let-ter is anti-S.U.B., for it only reports a mistake in arithmetic which even a non-commerce man would observe

The answer to your letter: With present funds—approx. \$20,000, and yearly income (based on 1800 students, first year; 2,000, remaining yrs.) from \$10.00 per student and interest of 6%, plus a yearly income of \$3,000 per year from planned S.U.B. activities, as well as Student Council participation, in 5 years time we will have \$163,235.00—giving you the benefit of the doubt: \$150.000. For the rest of the information see special edition financial report.

Diana **SWEETS**

368 Spring Garden Road We cater to students at all times "Meals a Specialty" Joe, Tom and Pop

Kibitzer—

(Continued from Page 2)

bership to a negro girl, but because they have never been officially a part of U of T campus life, or entitled to university privileges.

Caput's action is reminiscent of the ostrich. Head buried in the hallowed turf of U of T, Caput declares to the fraternities: "I have never been able to see you, therefore you have never been here. therefore I am not connected with anything you have done."

In completely ignoring the real reason for its own action, Caput is displaying the congenital lack of guts for which the ostrich has long been famed.

But U of T's president, Claude Bissell, is no ostrich.

It may be remembered that when the "scandal" was at its most frenzied, he was the bleeding heart who declared, "any act of discrimination based upon race, religion, or color strikes at the very heart of the life of the University of To-

More recently, speaking on behalf of Caput and its recent action, he said: "We have no intention of dictating to fraternities, or trying to control them. But we do have control over every undergraduate, and are now in a position to say, if we wish, that he is quite free to belong to a fraternity, but if he does, he cannot stay in the university."

Dr. Bissell, a great humanitarian, will so "wish" in the near future.

He has lunged for and will soon tear out what he thinks is the heart of discrimination based upon race,

religion and color.

In so doing, Dr. Bissell will achieve greatness, his reach will surely be found to have exceeded his grasp; he will have founded a new basis for hatred and the discrimination he calculates it will breed.

The old bases - race, religion, color - are too easily exploited. They are too deeply present in the genes of people. Witness how easily near-greats, ranging from Adolphe Hitler through Orval Faubus, have

been able to exploit them.

What was good enough for these has-beens, these near-greats, will not be good enough for the truly great Dr. Claude Bissell—Professional Humanitarian.

NUCLEAR TO DIEF

petitions for control of nuclear Minister Diefenbaker over the week-end by delegations from Carleton

Carleton students did not sign the petition. However, there is another, more strongly worded petition being prepared by some students and fac-

Carleton petitioners asked that Canada refuse to accept any nuclear weapons on any conditions. Rather, it stated, the weapons should remain the responsibility of the two great powers until a control agreement is reached.

At Western, petitioners - 700 students and faculty — asked that Can-ada proclaim it prefers not to accept independent control of nuclear warheads, no matter where located. The petition pointed out the fact that an accident or miscalculation may start a war, if too many nations are given individual control.

Nevertheless the petition does not object to joint Canadian-American control towards which government now seems to be working, nor control by NATO as advocated by Lester Pearson.

He is facing and will finish the task of founding a new basis for hatred and discrimination.

His master plan is beautiful in its simplicity. To effect his purpose of stamping out the old bases of discrimination, this humanitarian, this Dr. Bissell will direct the U of T students' urge to hate and discriminate against the 10% of their own numbers that belongs to a fraternal organization, against the only easily disceviable minority that dares adhere to the outmoded discrimination based on race, religion, or color.

Some ostrich, some humanitarian.

PETITIONS

OTTAWA, Feb. 8 (CUP) - Two weapons were presented to Prime University, and the University of Western Ontario.

Circulated by two professors, the Carleton petition was signed by more than one-half of the faculty.

2. DAAC Replies

Sir.

I again find it necessary to correct several false impressions, which you are so capable of producing, that appeared in your most recent editorial in the Gazette. Though your tirade was directed mainly against the Council, the DAAC was also the subject of some "words of wisdom" which I feel are worthy of defence.

In regards to interfaculty sports in which you say "nothing has been done", the following changes have been made this year

(1) In each sport the schedule has been lengthened by at least two

(2) Two members from the DAAC have been appointed managers for each sport:

(3) A referee-in-chief with a picked staff has been appointed for each sport. It has been the duty of each referee-in-chief to hold a short refereeing school and to supervise the ng of all interfaculty games be called upon to referee. Thus much dispute and incompetence has been eliminated.

(4) The playoff has been revised and improved to ensure that the best teams participate in the finals.

These changes have all been new this year and further improvements will occur next year due to the preparation of a report on our present athletic situation. Surely with your great staff you could at least send a reporter to our DAAC meeting to find out a bare minimum about athletics rather than to show your ignorance in an editorial.

We were all thrilled to read your next statement in which you grudgingly "force to admit in this connection that something constructive has been achieved" with the formation of an Athletic Board. No doubt this came as a severe blow to you because such an improvement in our athletic setup as this will probably deprive you of a long-maligned source of material. It has been recognized by all bodies on the campus having anything to do with athletics including the Sudents' Council and Athletic Directors that this Board will be a great addition to our athletic program. Yet it is with profound disgust that we find that the best that the Gazette editorial staff can say is that they are "forced to something has been done. Your enthusiasm and publicity over the establishment of this board overwhelm us! Perhaps before you make such a commotion about combating apathy you should take a long look at yourselves.

Perhaps, in the light of these remarks about athletics, it is interest-In the past practically anyone would ing to speculate on the validity of the remainder of the editorial in regard to the Students' Council.

> Brian Chandler. President DAAC

Ed: Last week's editorial, when it referred to the Council's promise to continue "promotion" and ensure "proper supervision" of interfac sports went much deeper, we hope, than Mr. Chandler would have us tion of our inadequate interfac sports regard to our creating "false imsetup and a number of fundamental pressions".

Sodales Debates: Nuclear Subs, Co-education & D's

The preliminary series of inter-faculty debates ended this week with six teams verbally crossing swords over the pros and cons of the D System, the advantages and disadvantages of co-education and the suitabaility of nuclear submarines as a deterrent to world war.

Anne Mason triumphed over a hardfighting male team, composed of Bill Dickson and Gregor Murray. The topic was "resolved that the Dal 'D' System should be abolished".

"The D System is not only worthless, but is harmful in principle and practice", said Betty Archibald. "The very system itself is incapable of reaching the proper heights of jus-

Countering her charges, Bill Dickson declared that the 'D' System "rewards those who have done something extra for the University fellow students and themselves.

Anne Mason asked, "Why should students be rewarded as if there were some moral virtue in doing what they are enjoying what they are enjoying?"

The final speaker, Gregor Murray, advocated changes in the administration of the System. He felt that injustice could be avoided by means of "assessments by heads of societies and organizations of people working under them on the campus."

In a second debate, Dave Joudry and Peter Goddard argued the affirmative of a resolution "that the best deterrent to World War III is the nuclear sub." They were opposed by Don Campbell and Rom Rai.

Peter Goddard suggested greatly ncreasing the production of nuclear subs and placing them stategically ticular.

The most provocative and best attended of the three was Wednesday's clared that "all precious human life debate in which Betty Archibald and directed to the manufacture of these Anne Mason triumphed over a hard-weapons is directed not only to wrong uses but to fleeting ones. The existence of armaments in the world is a formidable loss and danger."

"The submarine is a missile base which can go anywhere", commented Dave Joudry. His opponent Don Campbell averred that "disarmament is the best means for preventing another war." The negative won the debate.

"Be it resolved that the co-educational system is a good thing" was the topic of Thursday's debate in which a team of two urbane Englishmen, Wilf Harrison and Ian Mac-Kenzie, defeated glib-tongued Albert Rorai and his partner, Joan Hennes-

Mr. Harrison stressed the importance of co-education at university "where both sides are put into the crucible melted, moulded and become aware of the opposite sex.

Al Rorai cited the example of Errol Flinn, who went to a segregated college and subsequently did very well in life woman-wise.

"After about eight or ten years without encountering the opposite sex, except for very short periods during the holidays, you're rather uncertain about what to do with them," said Ian MacKenzie.

Miss Hennessy facetiously declared herself opposed to all education in general and co-education in par-

Pictured here are debaters on the abolishment of the D system. It doesn't take much imagination to guess the win-



changes; as it is, athletic facilities for the average student to work out Cheap Skatewith for his own enjoyment are still largely unavailable to him. Scheduling and publicity of the times when ually catch myself going in time to he may use them is almost non-ex- the music-or maybe it was just istent. The fact that 4 minor interfac changes have been made hardly justifies a year's work on the subject. As to Mr. Chandler's implication that we are unenthusiastic about the setting up of the Althletic Board, we refer him to a feature story in the Jan. 20th issue of the Gazette, entitled "Athletic Board Almost Here" heartily in favour of it.

Perhaps, in the light of Mr. Chandbelieve. The Gazette had hoped and ler's remarks about athletics, it is tertainment I have supplied. Neverwe understood the candidates had interesting to speculate on the valid- theless, I know I will turn up again promised for a complete investigative of the remainder of his letter in the remainder of his

(continued from page 4)

coincidence. Happy couples glide by to Strauss, adding a touch of Old Vienna to the atmosphere. I manage to remain triumphantly upright, moving with all the daring gaity and grace of a Belt Line Trolley.

Well, the session is over, and I still possess most of my bones. I release my feet, and trot off, reflecting that this is after all, a lovely, free entertainment. Think of all the enstounding performance.