

Canada Pension Plan

be at his own expense. However, if that medical examination results in the recommendation of other treatment, the expense of such treatment is covered by the plan? Is this the effect of amended paragraph (e)?

Miss LaMarsh: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

Amendment agreed to.

Mr. Monteith: Five o'clock.

Mr. Knowles: Mr. Chairman, I have one more question on clause 91. It has to do with regulations. I am sure we are all glad to know that we are getting pretty near the end of the clauses with regard to which we can raise anything with respect to the Canada pension plan proper. I notice, also, that the committee of the whole has been given the opportunity to deal with most of the recommendations made by the joint committee. There is one that I am concerned about, namely subparagraph (iv) of paragraph 7, which refers to the integration of private pension plans with the Canada pension plan. It says that this should be a matter of consultation between employers and employees.

I wonder whether this has been considered by the government as the possible subject of a regulation; and if so, would it be covered by clause 91 or should I raise it somewhere else? If it is somewhere else and the minister would rather I raised the question later, I shall be glad to do so, because I do not want to hold up the passing of clause 91. But I would like to hear something from the government with regard to the clear recommendation of the committee that the integration of private pension plans with the Canada pension plan should be a matter of consultation between employers and employees.

Mr. Monteith: Five o'clock.

Mr. Horner (Acadia): Five o'clock.

The Chairman: Order, please. An hon. member has called it five o'clock.

Mr. Horner (Acadia): Five o'clock.

Mr. Knowles: Mr. Chairman, I am sure the hon. member would not mind if there was a statement that clarified when and where I could ask this question.

The Chairman: Apparently the hon. member does mind. It being five o'clock, it is my duty to leave the chair in order that the house may proceed to the consideration of private members' business.

[Mr. Enns.]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. It being five o'clock the house will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely private bills; notices of motions, papers; public bills.

PRIVATE BILLS**THE ECONOMICAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY**

Mr. Eric A. Winkler (for Mr. Weichel) moved that the house go into committee to consider Bill No. S-48, respecting The Economical Mutual Insurance Company.

Motion agreed to and the house went into committee, Mr. Lamoureux in the chair.

On clause 1—*Short title.*

Mr. Howard: Mr. Chairman, I merely want to say that I for one have never heard of any insurance company being economical, whether it be a mutual insurance company or any other kind. I think it would be more appropriately named if somebody would petition to have the word "Economical" removed from the name of this company. I do not want to object on any ground, but I merely wish to draw this matter to the attention of the committee.

Mr. Mather: Mr. Chairman, I have two questions on this bill. Where does The Economical Mutual Insurance Company now operate? Second, does it intend to increase its operations in line with the terms of business legislation?

Mr. Winkler: Mr. Chairman, I regret that someone has taken my copy of the bill so I cannot answer the hon. member's question at this point. However, while I am on my feet I might point out that I am acting on behalf of the hon. member for Waterloo North, he being incapacitated through illness this afternoon. As soon as I can get my copy of the bill, which I expect to be here in a jiffy, I will answer the hon. member's question.

It is my understanding that the company operates out of Kitchener, Ontario.

Mr. Mather: I understand the answer to be that the company operates from Kitchener. Does the bill indicate an expansion of the operations of this company?

Mr. Winkler: The explanation as to that is brief. It is not a case of expansion but a case of being able to operate in the province of Quebec under an appropriate title. I also