

Formal Opening of Cathedral High Tea

Large Number Attracted Saturday Evening—Booths and Games All Well Patronized.

The Cathedral High Tea got away to a splendid start on the occasion of its formal opening in the Y.M.C.I. Saturday night. The party of a large number of St. John citizens to the tea, the employees of the various firms, and the members of the Y.M.C.I. were attracted to the tea tables. Later in the evening the booths and wheels were equally well patronized.

The supper tables were in charge of ladies of the following societies: A.O.U.E. Auxiliary, Society of Immaculate Conception, Society of St. Anne, St. Vincent de Paul, Red Cross, and the Y.M.C.I. The Y.M.C.I. was in charge of the tea and supper.

T. H. Eastbrook & Co. as in other years, supplied all the coffee used at the tea, the employees of the company doing all the percolating. The cloak room was conducted by the Children of Mary, with Miss Mabel Kelly in charge.

Booths at the tea include the Y.M.C.I. candy booth, in which, with arch bearing the letters Y.M.C.I. A bouquet of white roses is suspended from the centre of the arch.

A Valentine booth with white arch and decorations of valentine hearts. A doll booth decorated in yellow and purple.

A large novelty booth conducted by the Children of Mary, dressed in blue and white, with the letters C. of M. in large gold letters.

St. Vincent's Alumnae booth of fir boughs with snow-covered roof. A Tiffany game booth with a color scheme of green with trimmings of red and yellow.

The handkerchief booth with the A-Tishoo tower, a mirror, and handkerchiefs. The butterfly booth in pink and white is of exceptional attractiveness, and other pleasing booths are arranged about the hall.

The Catholic Women's League, home-cooking and set drinks. A partial list of the booths includes: Miss Irene Dillon, coin booth; Mrs. H. J. Sheehan, Grace Holmes, Miss Gertrude Ryan, Y.M.C.I. booth; Misses Carmela Nugent and Mary Floyd, Tiffany booth; Mrs. N. Donovan, ice cream; Miss K. Lawlor and Miss K. Corder, Tower Booth; Miss Anna McCarthy, refreshments; Miss Vincent's Alumnae booth; Mrs. M. Bohan, home cooking; Mrs. D. P. Chisholm, refreshments; Misses Frances Connolly, Ida Flood, Rita Dwyer, Butterfly booth; Mrs. A. C. D. Wilson, doll booth.

No one succeeded in identifying the "Mystery Young Lady" at the Cathedral High Tea Saturday night. She was announced at the turkey supper and remained in the hall all hour. It has been decided necessary to open headquarters for this contest, and her description will be placed in various parts of the daily press to see if she can be identified.

The prize winners Saturday were: Door prize, lead of hard wood, Dick at No. 410, under the upper and handkerchief booth, to the nearest guesser of the number of hankies on the A-Tishoo-Tower, won by Miss Eva McConroy, 151, correct number, 131. Ice cream booth, silver berry spoon ticket No. 4, unclaimed for.

Passant booth, pair of chickens, Miss Grace Holmes, 129 Robeson avenue, Valentine booth, barrel of apples, ticket No. 66, unclaimed for. Tonight's door prize, lead of coal.

DAMAGED BY FIRE. The fire department was called out Saturday morning to extinguish a chimney fire in the house of Thomas J. Brown, 257 Brunswick street. Damage is estimated at about \$25.

Funerals. The funeral of William H. Colwell took place Saturday afternoon at 2:30 o'clock from his residence, 14 Douglas avenue, following service by Rev. S. S. Poole. Interment in Cedar Hill.

The funeral of Mrs. Adeline Linton, 173 Erin street, took place Saturday afternoon following service by Rev. A. L. Tedford. Interment at Fernhill.

HEADS THAT ACHE AND PAIN It is hard to drag along with a head that aches and pains all the time. In nine cases out of ten, persistent headaches are due to poisoned blood, the blood being rendered impure through some derangement of the stomach, liver or bowels, but no matter which organ is to blame the cause must be removed before permanent relief can be obtained.

BURDOCK BLOOD BITTERS which has been on the market for the past forty-five years, removes the cause of the headache by starting the organs of elimination acting freely, and when the impurities are carried off from the system, purified blood circulates in the brain cells, and the aches and pains vanish.

Miss Clara Murphy, Centre Dumfries, Ont., writes:—"My system was greatly run down and my blood out of order. I suffered a great deal from severe pains in my head which made me feel very miserable. After having tried other remedies I purchased a bottle of Burdock Blood Bitters, and was very glad to notice a decided improvement in my health. I took another bottle and it has done me an enormous amount of good. I have recommended it to some of my friends who were in a similar condition and they all say it is a wonderful remedy."

B. B. is manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto.

Weddings

Brown-Brown.

At the Victoria street Baptist parsonage Saturday morning, Theodore Brown, son of Mr. and Mrs. James Brown, of Queens county, N. B., was united in marriage to Miss Mildred Brown, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Darcy Brown, of Lawfield, Queens county, N. B. The ceremony was performed by Rev. G. D. Hudson. They were attended by Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Hawhurst, of this city. After a dainty luncheon Mr. and Mrs. Brown left on the Valley train for Queens county, where they will reside. Many beautiful gifts were received. Mr. and Mrs. Brown will have the best wishes of a host of friends.

Obituary

Fred. J. Goughan.

The death of Fred. J. Goughan occurred at noon Saturday at the infirmary. He was employed with the London Life Insurance Company. He is survived by his wife, formerly Miss Maud Daly; four children, Gerald, Francis, Ursula and Phyllis; one brother, Daniel; one sister, Mrs. P. O'Neill, of Montreal. The funeral will be held on Tuesday morning from his late residence, 99 Millidge avenue. Many friends will be sorry to learn of his death.

Mrs. M. F. Tompkins

Throughout the province the death of Mrs. Tompkins, wife of Mr. M. F. Tompkins, General Freight Agent of the C. N. R., at Moncton, will be grieved by a vast number of friends. The funeral took place yesterday afternoon at Moncton and was very largely attended.

The death of Mrs. Tompkins occurred very unexpectedly and under exceptional and circumstances at her home, 223 Highfield Street, Moncton, about 7:30 on Thursday evening; and was due to child birth.

Mrs. Tompkins during her little more than four years residence in Moncton had made a wide circle of friends to whom she had greatly endeared herself by her many womanly virtues. She was a member of St. John's Presbyterian Church and was a devoted worker in the Women's organization at Moncton, among the members of which she was held in the highest esteem and by whom her memory will be lovingly cherished.

Mrs. Tompkins was a daughter of the late Geo. W. Kerr, of New Glasgow, and besides her husband, she is survived by her mother, who has been residing in Moncton with her daughters, one sister, Mrs. C. S. Pugh, of Moncton, and two brothers, Con. William F. Kerr, of Stellarton, N. S., and Vernon C. Kerr, of Boston.

Mrs. Electra Atherton

Special to The Standard. Fredericton, N. B., Nov. 27.—Miss Electra J. Atherton, a well-known resident of Fredericton, died Saturday night at her home, 223 Queen Street, after a lingering illness. Miss Atherton was a native of Fredericton and a daughter of the late Thomas Atherton. She is survived by a sister, Mrs. Amelia Ingraham, with whom she made her home, and by two brothers, Barry Atherton, of Brookfield, Meas., and Bert Atherton of this city.

Robert Vanbuskirk

Fredericton, Nov. 27.—Robert Vanbuskirk died today at his home, 285 St. John's street, aged 68 years. He had been ill for some time. Two brothers, John and James, and a sister, Miss Vanbuskirk, survive. The deceased had made his home in this city for some years. The body will be taken to Jemseg, Monday for interment.

Mrs. Ann Elizabeth Abbott

Digby, Nov. 25.—Mrs. Ann Elizabeth Abbott, widow of the late Joseph Abbott, of Marshfield, died at the home of her daughter, Mrs. Arch. Amers, in this town on Wednesday morning, aged 77 years. She had been ill about ten weeks with heart trouble and dropsy. Besides the one daughter, Mrs. Amers, in Digby, she leaves one son, John, in Boston. The funeral took place this afternoon.

W. Edward Dunn

Digby, Nov. 25.—W. Edward Dunn, a native of Lunenburg, who lived in this town for many years, died at his home, 140 Main street, on Wednesday morning, aged 77 years. He had been ill for some time. Two brothers, John and James, and a sister, Miss Vanbuskirk, survive. The deceased had made his home in this city for some years. The body will be taken to Jemseg, Monday for interment.

Mrs. Edgar F. Steeves

Hillsboro, N. S., Nov. 25.—News has been received here of the death of Mrs. Edgar F. Steeves, who passed away at her home, Moncton, N. B., on Thursday evening, November 24th. The late Mrs. Steeves had been a resident of Hillsboro, up until three years ago, and enjoyed a large circle of friends who have learned with much regret of her death. Deceased was 83 years of age. She was a member of the First Hillsboro Baptist church. Beside a husband three daughters survive, Isabelle, graduate Nurse at Moncton, Ellen and Della at home. Two sisters, Mrs. Arch. Tarris of Springhill, N. S., and Miss Elizabeth McDonald of Halifax, N. S., and one brother, Angus McDonald, now at Moncton, to whom much sympathy is extended.

Miss Florence Mackay

Numerous friends in this city will learn with most profound regret of the sudden and unexpected recent death of Miss Florence Mackay, daughter of the late Capt. and Mrs. W. H. Mackay in New York city. Miss Mackay was given to the United States about one week ago last Saturday, and was accompanied by her brother, W. H. C. Mackay, City Passenger Agent of the Canadian Pacific Railway. The deceased is survived by one brother, W. H. C. Mackay, and one sister, Miss Louise Mackay, who mourn their loss. The funeral will take place today on arrival of the Boston train at 1:10 p.m., to Fernhill Cemetery.

A VERY OLD TRICK



Drawing a herring across the scent to distract attention.

SADIE McAULEY MURDER TRIAL WAS CONTINUED ON SATURDAY

More Witnesses from Truro Testified Regarding Date Paris Was in Nova Scotia Town—Magnifying Glass Used on Entry Book—Trial Resumes This Afternoon.

Saturday's session of the McAuley murder trial was marked by a series of dramatic episodes which would have done credit to a Sherlock Holmes novel or the latest movie detective thriller.

The entire afternoon session was taken up with the evidence of Stanley Nichols, a Truro garage man, and a book produced by him in which he contends on Aug. 2 he made an entry of five gallons of gas purchased by him from Paris on that day. The Crown contends that the book was tampered with, and a magnifying glass was brought into play Saturday afternoon to show alleged alterations. The witness was suddenly confronted with a telegram reading, "Bought four or five gallons of gas on Aug. 2 or 3, sent to Sergeant-Detective House, and asked if he had sent it. He did not remember doing so."

Under a grilling examination he refused to admit the figure 4 had been changed to a 5 in another entry, and on being given the magnifying glass to look at it more closely, would concede nothing further than it was blurred.

Just as the session concluded the witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

In the morning a clerk in the Eastern Hotel, Cape Breton, had paid Paris ninety cents on the afternoon of the 2nd, and that the money was given him by the bookkeeper who entered the transaction in the company's book. The young lady bookkeeper swore that she recorded the transaction. The clerk said he had never seen Paris before but was sure he was the man, and going to the prisoner's dock, identified him by large freckles on his face.

The court adjourned at 5 o'clock Saturday to 2:30 this afternoon. The witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

Just as the session concluded the witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

In the morning a clerk in the Eastern Hotel, Cape Breton, had paid Paris ninety cents on the afternoon of the 2nd, and that the money was given him by the bookkeeper who entered the transaction in the company's book. The young lady bookkeeper swore that she recorded the transaction. The clerk said he had never seen Paris before but was sure he was the man, and going to the prisoner's dock, identified him by large freckles on his face.

The court adjourned at 5 o'clock Saturday to 2:30 this afternoon. The witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

Just as the session concluded the witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

In the morning a clerk in the Eastern Hotel, Cape Breton, had paid Paris ninety cents on the afternoon of the 2nd, and that the money was given him by the bookkeeper who entered the transaction in the company's book. The young lady bookkeeper swore that she recorded the transaction. The clerk said he had never seen Paris before but was sure he was the man, and going to the prisoner's dock, identified him by large freckles on his face.

The court adjourned at 5 o'clock Saturday to 2:30 this afternoon. The witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

Just as the session concluded the witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.


In the morning a clerk in the Eastern Hotel, Cape Breton, had paid Paris ninety cents on the afternoon of the 2nd, and that the money was given him by the bookkeeper who entered the transaction in the company's book. The young lady bookkeeper swore that she recorded the transaction. The clerk said he had never seen Paris before but was sure he was the man, and going to the prisoner's dock, identified him by large freckles on his face.

The court adjourned at 5 o'clock Saturday to 2:30 this afternoon. The witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

Just as the session concluded the witness was called upon to make a number of figures on a sheet of paper which was retained by the attorney-general after identification by the court.

IMPERIAL THEATRE TODAY

Two Exhibitions—2.30 p.m. and 8 p.m.
(Carriages May be Ordered for 10.30)



THE GREATEST PICTURE TO DATE!

PRICES: Matinee—Orch. 50c., Balcony 25c.
Evening—Orch. 50-75c., Balcony 50c. 25c.

NIGHT SEATS RESERVED—10 a.m. to 9 p.m.

tyne glass and after examining the entry admitted he could see the alteration but he could not say how long after the making of the original entry the alteration had been made. He did not remember making it but was sure no one else had.

Mr. Byrne asked Nichols how he could swear to the date if he did not remember the alteration. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said he had from his memory a recollection of the date. It was a 2. Mr. Byrne asked if he had sworn absolutely that the date of the alteration was a 2. Nichols answered because he entered the day of examination. The cross examination was continued and Nichols said