

between us. And what is the main question? it is this. I have proved in my sermons that *we* do not invite *you* to the Lord's supper because if *you* have been *only sprinkled* you have *not been baptized*, and according to Dr. Hibbard's teaching, *those who have not been baptized have no right to the Lord's Supper*. I have on the other hand proved according to the greatest Pedit-baptist scholars that *immersion was the original mode* of baptism, that *only those who have been immersed are really baptized*, therefore that *only such as have been immersed have a right to the Lord's Supper*. Now, instead of carefully avoiding the great question, will you please set to work and prove that *our Lord was sprinkled*, that *He commanded His disciples to sprinkle*, and please give us a *single instance of an infant being sprinkled in the New Testament*; and then I will at once, not only invite you to the Lord's table, but I will compete with you in the work of sprinkling all the infants that come along.

One of my ministerial critics said lately that there is no doubt that Jesus Christ was immersed, and another said that He was not immersed at all. We certainly are nearing a solution of this baptism problem.