right hon. gentleman is aware of that. As to whether I am satisfied, of course no one is satisfied until the strike is actually resolved. I can only say that I do not believe any alternative action at the moment would be more satisfying either to the government or the House than the course we are presently following. Talking about any type of legislation at this point would, I think, only exacerbate the matter and not bring beneficial results for the western wheat farmer or anybody else.

SPORTS

ESTABLISHMENT OF CAMP TO TRAIN ATHLETES FOR OLYMPICS—SUGGESTED USE OF ABANDONED CANADIAN FORCES BASE AT CLINTON, ONTARIO

Mr. R. E. McKinley (Huron): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Acting Minister of National Health and Welfare. Last Saturday night the minister said that athletes training for the 1976 Montreal Olympics may have the use of a national multisport training camp before the year's end. As the Canadian Armed Forces base at Clinton, Ontario, was closed and as the Prime Minister suggested that federal assistance would be considered before any of these bases were closed, and it has not been forthcoming, would the minister consider the establishment of such a multisport training centre for the Montreal Olympics at that location?

Mr. Norman A. Cafik (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, I will take the representations made by the hon. member to the Minister of National Health and Welfare and he will respond in due course.

Mr. Speaker: I apologize to the number of hon members who were anxiously waiting for the opportunity to ask questions. We have already gone some five minutes beyond the question period in an attempt to recognize two or three additional members. I have tried to note which hon members have been trying today and yesterday to ask questions, and I will attempt to give them priority tomorrow. I apologize for not having been successful in recognizing them. Orders of the day.

Mr. McGrath: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. In his reply to me regarding the report of the Food Prices Review Board and statement regarding bread prices, the minister misled the House out of ignorance. Obviously, at that time he was not acquainted with the contents of the report. I assume he is now acquainted with the contents and I expect that the minister would want to rise in his place and correct the record.

Mr. Gray: Mr. Speaker, I think my hon. friend should indicate in what particular instance he thinks I have given the House inaccurate information.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Perhaps there might be consultation between the minister and the hon. member. That might be the easier way to resolve the difficulty which seems to have arisen out of the questions and answers earlier in the question period.

Oral Questions

Mr. Neale (Vancouver East): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. On March 15, I directed a question to the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Transport, as the minister was not in the House that day. The parliamentary secretary assured me that I would receive the answer to my question on last Monday. As I was not in the House on Monday, I wonder whether the minister has had a chance to look at the question and has the answer ready for me

Mr. Marchand (Langelier): Mr. Speaker, I will have to inquire. I do not know to which question the hon. member is referring.

Mr. MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order arising from the fact that throughout yesterday's question period I attempted to put a question to the Minister of Labour. Having failed in that, I spoke to the minister after the question period and, to my surprise, found that Mr. Perry, who had been commissioned to examine accident and safety conditions in the Devco mines, had after nine days, I think he said, given it up as a lost cause. He is now available in the capacity of adviser. If Mr. Perry needed assistance, I would ask the minister why he did not contact the mine examiners who are under the minister's jurisdiction and the shot firers who are responsible for safety in the mines for the assistance he apparently needed. Will the minister report to the House on Mr. Perry's failure to do so?

Mr. Munro (Hamilton East): Mr. Speaker, since the hon. member has referred to his private conversation with me, I believe he would agree that I told him I would look into the matter and he indicated he would be kind enough to put it in writing. He has not done so; I have not received anything in writing. I indicated to him at that time that I would be pleased to look into the matter and he seemed to be satisfied with this procedure.

Mr. MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. When the minister requested that this matter be put in writing, I informed him that I had been three weeks without a secretary. It does no good to call the pool. I told him I would explain the situation to him, which I did. I told him that because I was without a secretary it was difficult to correspond, and I had other obligations with which I could not comply. I asked him why Mr. Perry quit after nine days without even contacting the examiners who are responsible for safety, and I asked him if he would report to the House as to the reasons for this happening. He did not do so.

Mr. Munro (Hamilton East): Mr. Speaker, Mr. Perry, and I believe the hon. member and others in the opposition would want it no other way, wanted to be unfettered in the way in which he proceeded with the investigation so that no charges would be made about his being under governmental control. It is completely within the ambit of his authority—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. May we have order, please.

Mr. Munro (Hamilton East): —and the hon. member's question—