

Order Paper Questions

	Total	Corpo-	Labour	Adminis-
		rations Part I	Unions Part II	
(a) 12,000-15,000	2	1	1	-
(b) 15,000-18,000	3	3	-	-
(c) 18,000-21,000	1	-	1	-
(d) 21,000-24,000	1*	1*	-	-
(e) 24,000	1*	-	-	1*

* These persons had shared responsibilities for work performed under both the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act and Statistics Act.

COST OF REPRINTING 1968 ANNUAL REPORT ON LABOUR UNIONS

Question No. 2,056—Mr. Hellyer:

What was the cost of reprinting the 1968 Annual Report on Labour Unions following destruction of the original report prepared for tabling in Parliament?

Mr. Herb Breau (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): Statistics Canada reports that: The cost of reprinting the 1968 Annual Report on Labour Unions amounted to \$2,090.11.

CORPORATIONS AND LABOUR UNIONS RETURNS ACT ADMINISTRATION

Question No. 2,059—Mr. Hellyer:

In view of the adverse criticism to which the government has been subjected, does the Minister propose initiating actions to have (a) the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act Administration restored to its original independent status (b) responsibility for overall policy and the administration of the Act removed from the Chief Statistician of Canada (c) suitable amendments made to the Act and Regulations to bring the legislation into conformity with the original intent of Parliament, including the extension of coverage to embrace all unions as envisaged by the statute and the filing of financial returns by international unions on the basis of their Canadian operations?

Mr. Herb Breau (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): Statistics Canada reports that: 1. (a) No. The work of the CALURA Administration and especially that relating to corporations has become very closely allied with functions performed by other parts of Statistics Canada, making the organizational distinction of a separate CALURA division less useful. Both the administration of CALURA and the rest of the Bureau are involved in collecting, processing and analyzing statistics. Moreover, since the amendment to the Calura Act in 1965, which permitted access to corporations income tax returns, both the report prepared under this act and publications on financial and taxation statistics of Statistics Canada have been based on the same data source. Closer co-ordination and integration of the work performed have made possible a number of improvements to the quality of information presented in the annual reports under the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act and have permitted a more comprehensive statistical and economic analysis; (b) no; (c) amendments to the act are being considered.

[Mr. Breau.]

DEPARTMENT OF MANPOWER AND IMMIGRATION—REFUNDS OF CASH BONDS

Question No. 2,062—Mr. Cossitt:

Were there any occasions in the past two years where the Department of Manpower and Immigration refunded cash bonds previously forfeited under section 63(1) of the Immigration Act to those who had posted such bonds and, if so, on how many occasions did this happen and what were the names of the persons involved?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): The present policy of the Department of Manpower and Immigration is that refunds of bonds taken from persons who have overstayed their temporary entry are made only where the individual is subsequently granted landed immigrant status. Between April 1, 1971 and March 31, 1973 there were 56 occasions where cash bonds were refunded under such circumstances. In order to protect the privacy of the persons involved and prevent any embarrassment to them, it is not considered to be in their interests to divulge their identities. The policy described above is at present under review.

CONFINEMENT OF LEHMBER SINGH BHANGAL AND JOGINDER SINGH SANDHU IN DON JAIL

Question No. 2,063—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Were Mr. Joginder Singh Sandhu and Mr. Lehmer Singh Bhangal, citizens of India, confined to the Don Jail in Toronto at any time during the latter part of 1972 as a result of action taken by the Department of Manpower and Immigration and, if so, what was the exact period of time during which these men were confined and what was the reason for such confinement?

2. Was a Canadian relation, Mr. Ajit Singh Gill of Smiths Falls, Ontario, absolutely refused permission to visit these persons when confined in the Don Jail?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. These men were confined in the Don Jail between November 24, 1972 and December 17, 1972 to ensure that they would not disappear before their deportation orders could be executed.

2. The Department of Manpower and Immigration had no part whatever to play in any decision taken by the authorities of the Don Jail with respect to visiting privileges for these two men during the time they were detained in that institution.

DEPORTATION OF LEHMBER SINGH BHANGAL AND JOGINDER SINGH SANDHU

Question No. 2,064—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Did the Department of Manpower and Immigration forcibly deport Mr. Joginder Singh Sandhu and Mr. Lehmer Singh Bhangal from Canada on December 17, 1972?

2. Were they permitted to take their personal belongings with them on leaving Canada and, if not, what reason did the government have for exercising such treatment?

3. Were the personal belongings of these men forwarded to them subsequent to their departure from Canada and, if so, on what date?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. Yes.