

Mr. LEDOGAR (United States of America): From my point of view, we are getting close but we still need two additional elements. One is the one generally agreed upon and identified by our Swedish colleague - "the appendix to the report of the Ad Hoc Committee containing a draft convention", etc., etc. And the second missing item is words in that sentence to the effect that it is also an integral part of this overall CD report - and with those two fixes, I think we've got it.

Sir Michael WESTON (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland): I must say I think the clearest formulation is that which has been proposed by our Italian colleague, which puts the appendix at the end of the sentence rather than in the middle, where it comes between the Ad Hoc Committee and its report in a very inelegant way. It seems to me that it reads much better as our Italian colleague suggested, that is to say, leaving the first sentence of paragraph 73 as it is, with the words at the end after the brackets, "(see paragraph 8 above)" - after closing that bracket - "and its appendix, containing the draft convention", and then the full title of the convention, or, if you prefer, "containing the convention appended to it", which was exactly what our Italian colleague suggested. It doesn't seem to me that it matters which of the two you do - the advantage of saying "its appendix" is that that is actually the word used in CD/1170, and then you could continue with "the report" - to make clear that you were not talking about the appendix - "the report is an integral part of this report and reads as follows:", and then it would be set forth below, the 43 paragraphs of it, and then paragraph 74 could continue: "The appendix is attached as appendix I to this report", and that simplifies that sentence also.

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): I thank Sir Michael Weston. However, I would ask him to specify whether he wishes to put the very last phrase - "The appendix to the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Chemical Weapons is attached as appendix I to this report" - into paragraph 73, or to leave it in paragraph 74. The proposal was to put the first sentence of paragraph 74 in paragraph 73, for greater consistency. Is that also what is proposed by Sir Michael Weston? In other words, should the appendix to which reference is made be taken up in paragraph 73 or should it stay in paragraph 74? Or doesn't it matter?

Sir Michael WESTON (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland): I think it would be better to put it up as a concluding sentence of paragraph 73, though, of course, it is going to look slightly odd, I suppose, because that paragraph is going to have 43 other paragraphs in it, but then if one wishes to have a gap, and then this one sentence, that would seem to be the simplest way.

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): Ambassador Hyltenius is the next speaker on my list. Would he also be kind enough to re-read his proposal?

Mr. HYLTEINIUS (Sweden): Yes, I will gladly do that. I think I'll be rather brief. The essential things for me have already been picked up by Ambassador Ledogar. I think we should spell out what's in the appendix - it should not be an anonymous thing - it's after all the draft convention on which we have worked for so many years, it should be mentioned. Secondly, it