in mind the necessity of having income sufficient to pay the \$2,-500 annuity, it will be quite proper for the executors to make a payment on account of the unpaid annuity to Margaret, or on account of the share of any child of Stephen over 21, as part of the share or on account of such share to which the child will ultimately be entitled.

Costs of all parties out of the estate; those of the executors as between solicitor and client.

The costs, as well as any of the items which the executors may pay as mentioned in my answer to the 6th question, should be paid out of the corpus, not out of income, unless income sufficient to meet all charges against income; and I understand it is not sufficient.

BOYD, C.

JUNE 22ND, 1914.

RE CITY OF OTTAWA AND COUNTY OF CARLETON.

Municipal Corporations—Bridge across River Dividing City and County—Liability for Cost of Construction and Maintenance—Ascertainment of Boundary between City and County—Municipal Act, R.S.O. 1914 ch. 192, sec. 452—Territorial Division Act, R.S.O. 1914 ch. 3, sec. 9—Joint Undertaking—Originating Notice—Municipal Act, sec. 465(1).

Motion on behalf of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, upon originating notice, for a summary order determining and fixing the liability of the applicants and the Corporation of the County of Carleton, respectively, to contribute to the cost of the construction and maintenance of the temporary bridge over that portion of the waters of the Rideau river which lie between the southerly end of Bank street and a certain island designated C., and across that island, and to the cost of such bridge or bridges as may hereafter be erected in the place of the temporary bridge.

F. B. Proctor, for the applicants.

D. H. Maclean, for the county corporation.

Boyd, C.:—Disputes between two municipal corporations as to their joint or several obligation to erect and maintain