

✓ Editorials.

THE MEETING OF THE ONTARIO MEDICAL ASSOCIATION.

The recent meeting of the Ontario Medical Association was a fairly good one, although the attendance was not large. The presence of Dr. Wilson, of Philadelphia; Dr. Fenger, of Chicago; and Dr. Wilder, of New York State, added much to the interest taken in the proceedings. Dr. Gibson, of Belleville, the President, was prompt and impartial in his rulings, and through his good judgment and tact did much to make the meeting run smoothly. The principal innovation, as compared with former meetings, was the banquet held on the evening of the first day, instead of the luncheon formerly supplied by the profession of Toronto. A large number of local physicians expressed their regrets respecting not so much the time and character of the banquet as the fact that outsiders were asked to buy their tickets. We are heartily in sympathy with the views of these gentlemen, and believe that Toronto ought to be allowed to entertain the members living out of the city. We are glad to be able to say that the change in the by-laws relating to the entertainment was made on the suggestion of certain non-residents who expressed the opinion that the profession of Toronto should not be asked to entertain, except in a purely private way.

The election of Dr. Jas. E. Graham to the presidency appeared to give full satisfaction to all the members, who freely expressed deep regret at his absence from the meeting, and especially the cause of his absence. He was one of the most active promoters connected with the inauguration of the Society in 1881. It will be remembered by those familiar with the events of that year that some physicians, especially those living in the vicinity of Ottawa, were opposed to the formation of a new society. They feared it might interfere to some extent with the success of the Canadian Medical Association. Dr. Graham thought the new society would not injure the old one, and corresponded with many physicians in all parts of the Province with the object of getting their views on the question. He found, with the exception already mentioned, that there was a general