mber

col-

and

ry to

llow

than

more

ming

after

satis-

work

nont,

Tour-

ome-

ve on

mey-

neen

the

10118.

r can nd it

p the

sur-

I do

e the

leave

pose,

n the

125 2 gives

omb,

ed ad-

this

Wires

ombs

1 this

manner with surprising nicety, many of them comparing favorably with those built upon foundation. From the samples of combs thus produced, I select the best for future use, and render the objectionable ones into wax. In this way I make wax pro-

duction a source of profit.

For several years, in August, I moved about 100 colonies each year, about 12 miles, to the buckwheat fields on the prairie, and removed them later in the season. colonies I treated in the same way, and thus saved hauling the stores back and forth, as well as keeping the buckwheat and late honey by itself.

We are having poor seasons for pedi- several years past, and there is much king less swarming, hence these different conditions have to be met by different methods; and I am not practising his "shake-out" method as much as formerly.

> I know no reason why it is not dapted to any locality or season in which the honey flow is reasonably rood.—H. R Boardman, in the Bee-

od I keepers' Review.

P. S.—In the last issue of "Gleanngs" is an article on the "shake-out lan;" and I notice that the instrucion is to shake out every bee from he old colony. I don't advise this; n the contrary, I advise, by all means, to leave bees enough to proect the hive until the brood has me to hatch; and especially take as precaution in out-yards when here will be no one to look after the ees. I had one very lively case of bbing, in an out-yard, by neglecting is precaution. I also close down te entrance very close. Sometimes I ave closed it entirely with what I il a robber-guard until the bees t a little house-cleaning done, and we recovered from their panic and nfusion.-H. R. B.

***** Communications

DEAR MR. EDITOR:

As there will doubtless be some indignation fe t and expressed in this issue of this Journal in reference to an item which recently appeared in The Toronto World newspaper in regard to the honey crop, and probable lower prices, in which the firm name of Rutherford, Marshall & Co. figured, it is only fair and right that, being made acquainted with the facts, I should offer a short explanation.

Some time ago the firm sent out enquiries about honey and asking quotations, etc. A great many replies were received by the firm and honey aggregating somewhere betwen 100 and 140 thousand pounds was offered. Mr. R. speaking to a a warehouse man remarked that honey was being offered freely and in his opinion ought to be cheaper than last year.

The World reporter happened along shortly afterwards, this unauthorized, over officious and exaggerating person gave him the sum and substance of what appeared. changing the thousands to tons and

the rest in proportion.

Mr. R. regrets the mistake, which he can hardly be held responsible

Having myself received several copies of the above referred to paper with the item marked and a note along telling of what a mauling I may prepare for at Barrie, etc., and having neither shot gun nor revolver and still not wishing to forego the pleasure of being there, I beg to say that I am not at present in the employ of the firm, nor am I responsible