

The overlapping of Canada's foreign and defence policies is most visible in the a of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. The Canadian Secretary of State for External Affairs, Don Jamieson, is shown at last December's opening session of biannual conference of NATO foreign ministers in Geneva. Seated next to Mr. Jerta is Canada's Permanent Representative to NATO, Jerry Hardy.

they show at present, accustomed as they are to the principal focus of the Alliance being on Central Europe. It would offer Canada the opportunity to reallocate some of its military tasks and bring into line the capabilities required for what are, at the moment, very different roles. Finally, such a shift of emphasis might make Canadian defence of greater interest to the average Canadian, who is asked at present to view his country's defence policy principally in terms of European security, something that at first sight may make little sense to him. The shift in emphasis would obviate the need for main-battle tanks and aircraft designed for combat over Europe.

No "free ride"

Such a shift could not be said to be an example of taking a "free ride" or of providing a hint of future disengagement from the Alliance; on the contrary, Canada could reallocate its military resources while displaying solid NATO credentials, thus fulfilling the political function of Canadian troops in Europe: that of indicating to the Europeans that Canada is committed to their defence. Another

military function with strong ject. overtones is the maintenance PA North Americans of a reinforce the a supply capability. The fact that use e maintain this capability is an expect of support to the Western Europe pler whether this capability accords will ant strategic doctrine, and hence one's duce ite scenario, is beside the point.

e th

At a time when financial keep makes the sovereignty role littles fu than symbolic; when the introduk A a 200-mile economic zone calls for y so surveillance, protection and enforders capabilities; when the assertion ace diction in the Arctic presents soe Si midable military problems, the ly n ment has chosen to acquire as a low East patrol aircraft (LRPA) the P-3 ng w which has as one of its main capansi anti-submarine warfare. But the am Union is currently embarked on a se, a of replacing the Yankee-class Delta-class SSBN (ballistic-missinher ing nuclear submarine), with it range of fire-power. Where the management of the submarine in the submarine the Yankee-class submarines had of 1,600 miles, those of the Del NA have a range in excess of 4,000 miles.