

(b) receive the reports requested in paragraph 6; and

(c) advise the Security Council concerning the action taken by members in support of its resolutions. Under paragraph 8 the Security Council would request the Secretary-General to act as rapporteur of this Committee.

3. The State Department is not anxious that a Special Committee should be established and has been informed by the British Embassy that the Foreign Office takes a similar view. If, however, there is a demand among other members of the Security Council, they would probably support something on these lines. Hickerson thinks that there might be five members of the Committee. He told me in strict confidence that Lie had suggested that he himself be named as rapporteur so that he could bypass Zintchenko.

4. They hope that the Security Council might meet tomorrow afternoon to adopt this resolution, although he thinks some delay may be necessary so that the members of the Council can receive instructions after the resolution has been privately circulated. They would like it to be moved by the United Kingdom or some other friendly delegation. He considers it unlikely that the Soviet representative will return to the Council and apply a veto.

5. He said that they might themselves desire to amend the text shown me and promised to keep me informed. He doubts whether he will have anything further to say to me before tomorrow morning. In the meantime I should be glad to learn whether you are satisfied with the text either with or without paragraphs 7 and 8. It seems to me that it gets around our difficulties.

33.

DEA/50069-A-40

*Le secrétaire d'État aux Affaires extérieures
à l'ambassadeur aux États-Unis*

*Secretary of State for External Affairs
to Ambassador in United States*

TELEGRAM EX-1068

Ottawa, July 5, 1950

SECRET. IMMEDIATE.

Repeat Permdel No. 325; London No. 945.

PROPOSED SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION ON KOREA

Following for Wrong from Heeney, Begins: The Minister spoke to you on the telephone yesterday afternoon concerning the proposals described in your WA-1473 of July 4. He indicated then that in general a resolution of the character of that under discussion in Washington would be satisfactory from our point of view.

2. However, as Mr. Pearson said to you, the phrase "under the United States" contained in paragraph 2 (3) of your message is objectionable to us. Nor can we see that it adds anything whatever of value to the United States. If under (4) the United States designates the commander of the forces employed, surely that is all they can want.