

PHONE 8.30  
Main 6.10  
701 6.0 p.m.  
DR. GALLOWAY, DENTIST.  
1st Floor Southeast Cor. Queen and Yonge.  
ONE CENT

\$25—BROADVIEW AVENUE—Deliciously  
situated, overlooking  
Riverside Park, these flats are about 300 feet in depth,  
and are surrounded by building restrictions  
from interior being erected on this site.

H. N. Williams & Co., 26 Victoria St.

# Archie McCallum Contest

(Nominated by North York Conservatives)

## Standing on Public Rights Issue

Nationalization of Our Telephones and Telegraphs and Reduction of Sessional Indemnity Pledges of Candidate—Conservatives Enter Upon By-Election Campaign With a Man and a Platform the People Should Vote For.

Newmarket, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—It is a farmer and an advocate of the rights of the people against a Toronto man, a corporation lawyer, in the North York by-election. Archie McCallum of King Township was the nominee of the Conservative convention to-day. The candidature was not large, due to the generally bad weather that kept many of the rural electors at home, but it was remarkable for the enthusiasm that was manifested for the candidate, and still more for the splendid stand he has taken in the interests of the people. Mr. McCallum was the choice of the North York Conservatives aspired for the honor of opposing Hon. A. B. Aylesworth in this contest. The late Danford Roche and Archie McCallum, but when the vote was announced the two first named graciously accepted the verdict and enthusiastically proclaimed their support of the candidate and of his platform.

### JUST A FARMER.

At a mass meeting of the Conservatives of North York, held in Newmarket yesterday, Archie McCallum, a farmer of King Township, was given the nomination to contest that riding against Hon. A. B. Aylesworth, Postmaster-General in the Laurier Government.

Mr. McCallum is a farmer, but better than that, his platform is one that will resound not only thru North York but all over Canada!

He is unreservedly for public rights as against corporation encroachments.

He is for public ownership and for public ownership forthwith of the telephones and telegraphs of this country.

He is for the regulation by the railway commission of the rates of the express companies.

He is for a reduction by parliament of the passenger fares of the railways.

He is for economy in the public finances, and pledged himself, if elected, to introduce or support a measure to repeal the salary, pension and indemnity "grab," or as they called it in the convention, "steal!"

Like a clean fresh breeze the announcement of this program will do more to purify public government in Canada than all the special pleading of corporation lawyers and corporation newspapers.

It is up to the farmers of North York to say whether this program shall win or not. The World believes they will see that it wins in spite of any secondary issues that may be introduced.

# RUSSIAN REIGN OF TERROR

## COSSACKS WARRON PEOPLE

Terrible Deeds of Violence Reported From Odessa and Kiev, Where Casualties Are Placed in Many Hundreds.

JEWS OBJECT OF ATTACK AND PILLAGE

Police Encourage Lawlessness—Liberals Refuse to Assist Witte's Program—Revolutionists Declare Republic is Wanted.

St. Petersburg, Nov. 1.—The to-day's press in comparative quiet here and in Moscow, despatches from all parts of the empire report disorders in many cities with a heavy toll of dead or wounded. The people, while celebrating their new found freedom, were in many instances set upon by Cossacks and police, while in other localities the spirit of mob violence broods over the members of the Cossack population have themselves up to pillage and destruction.

LA deplorable feature of the news from the interior is that anti-Jewish uprisings have occurred in many places, especially in South Russia, where the population vented its hatred of the Jews by sacking their stores and clubs, the members of the Cossacks, who many times apparently were let loose upon the people without direction or authority.

In St. Petersburg to-day General Trepoft was the subject of a meeting of the university with a view to the resumption of revolutionary demonstrations by having the Cossacks dispersed whenever and wherever they gathered. Kazan places and the Nevsky Prospekt were several times cleared by Cossacks and police and the crowds were driven from the streets.

COSSACKS IN KAZAN

London, Nov. 2.—Special despatches to this morning's London newspapers reveal the details of a series of attacks on Russia as being extremely grave, especially in the provinces. According to the London press, the "legislative bills presented by the ministers must first be submitted to the council if ministers."

MOB INVADES TOWN HALL

London, Nov. 2.—Special despatches to this morning's London newspapers reveal the details of a series of attacks on Russia as being extremely grave, especially in the provinces. According to the London press, the "legislative bills presented by the ministers must first be submitted to the council if ministers."

SLAUGHTER AT ODESSA

A despatch to a news agency from Odessa describes that city as having experienced a dreadful day, the defenceless population being at the mercy of a howling and armed rabble of 50,000 men, calling themselves loyalists, and in the night, they were wretchedly doped. The despatch says: "The Jews made a stout resistance, but were overpowered by the mob, and many were killed. It is impossible to ascertain the casualties, but rumor puts the number at 2000, many by bombs, which were thrown into the streets. The work had gone unheeded for hours, and the troops brought out, cordons were drawn, and the quarters, and quiet somewhat restored."

TROOPS AND POLICE UNITE

Kazan, Nov. 1.—Terrible deeds of plunder and violence on the part of the police and troops commenced here to-day, and continued through the night, when there were hardly any persons on the streets except the police and Cossacks, and continued through the whole of the next day and night. It seemed as if the police and Cossacks were let loose on the main

# SENDING HIM TO MILL

## "SHOCKING" SAYS JUDGE REFUSES TO ADJOURN THE TRIAL

### ELECTION TOPE VOTED

#### Hackmen Tell of Large Sums of Money From Unknown Sources—Charges Held Proven—Opposing Counsel Trying to Agree to Have the Seat Opened Forthwith.

Kingston, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—The Kingston election will probably be voided to-morrow morning, just before the court rose this evening, Judge Street remarked: "The petitioner has proved sufficient in my opinion, to have the election set aside." A few minutes before he said: "The evidence has shown a most shocking state of affairs in Kingston."

The Liberals had their innings with three of the witnesses. Charles Wilson swore that he got \$40 from John Joseph, president of the Macdonald Club, and Thomas James that he was offered \$75 for two cabs by Sowards, but refused, as he was a Reformer, and the Liberals were giving him \$50. R. Wilson said he got \$40 also from the Conservatives for three rigs. Judge Street held that the evidence established the fact that Kehoe paid for cabs, and W. G. Craig's evidence showed him to be an active worker for Mr. Fense. No direct decision was given, however.

It is understood that Mr. Mabee, counsel for Fense, is strongly opposed to abandoning the cross-petition.

Buffalo, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—The murderer of the unidentified woman, who was found murdered at Hamilton, Ontario, is believed to be hiding in Buffalo, and the local police have him under surveillance.

Chief of Detectives Taylor said to-night: "It would be an injustice to give out the name of the suspect."

"We believe he is the man wanted for the murder, but we are not sure. He has been here for the past week, and I have notified the Hamilton police that we suspect a man here, and asked them to send witnesses to identify him."

"I have not seen anybody yet, and I think they are slow in coming."

EVIDENCE TO CONVICT

Middleton, N.Y., Nov. 1.—Attorney Rogers announced to-night that sufficient evidence has been secured to convict Charles Henry Rogers of this city of the murders of Fred and Willis Olney and Alice Ingerick, and the murderous assault on Mrs. Georgia Ingerick, mother of the girls.

Rogers, who was a motorman on the electric railway here, disappeared soon after the murders. He is also charged with issuing worthless cheques about the time of the murders, and a reward of \$1000 recently was offered for his arrest for grand larceny.

There is a suspicion that Rogers committed suicide soon after he disappeared, but many believe he is hiding in the woods nearby.

"MacLeod" up town, tall, for good service. Yonge and Colling Sts.

Doering's famous sausage and imported delicatessen, 622 West Queen.

Ask your dealer for a "Damsel" good value. Wibur, 448 Queen St. West.

# DENYING BRENNER'S STORY

## CHARRIETT GETS ACQUAINTED

Ex-Magistrate Swears That Until After Election He Knew Nothing of Bogus Ballot Boxes.

JURY GIVES HIM BENEFIT OF DOUBT

Mr. Johnston's Argument Was Along the Lines of Putting Blame on Brenner—Judge Remarks on Charriett's Sunday Trip.

Belleville, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—The conspiracy case against Sam Harrgett, while police magistrate at Bancroft, ended this afternoon in a verdict of acquittal, which was generally expected after the way three witnesses, Weese, Kelly and Charriett himself, had gone into the witness box and denied in toto the story told by Brenner.

The first witness this morning was John O. Brenner, son of the chief witness for the prosecution, who testified to hearing Sam Harrgett tell his father that a box was coming by express for him (Harrgett) and asking him, when the box came, to put it in his (Harrgett's) office. The day the box came he saw it being taken into Harrgett's office. He thought he heard his father tell Harrgett that the box was for him, and James Irwin was the next witness. He lived at Bancroft and knew Harrgett and Byron O. Lot, and had often seen them together. The night before the nomination he (Brenner) was with Harrgett and they met Lot. Harrgett asked Lot, "What about Brenner's nomination?" Lot replied, "Well, he's a damned good fellow."

"That closed the crown's case, George Weese of Bancroft was the first witness for the defence. He had kept hotel at Bancroft and knew that Brenner was president of the Ontario Association. Weese said he was one of the party who met Brenner at the Somers House in Toronto when they went into a private room, and denied that anybody had ever tried to persuade Brenner to give the truth about the bogus ballot boxes to his thump instead of the Bible. Nothing was said about anybody about the ballot boxes or anything connected with them. This was a straight denial of the evidence.

Had Never Heard.

John J. Kelly of the crown lands department testified that he had seen Brenner with Brenner, Lot, Harrgett and Weese. He had heard no such conversation as that given by Brenner. He had become acquainted with Lot, thru business in the crown lands department. There had been no attempt to persuade Brenner to conceal anything. Cross-examined by Mr. Hellmuth, the witness said he had known Lot since he was a boy, but had never seen him since he had been in the House of Commons. He had never seen Lot since he had been in the House of Commons.

The crown prosecutor gave the witness some hard words here, asking if he looked himself up in the crown lands department, so that he could see the witness going on. Again the witness swore that, at the time of going to the Somers House he had never seen Lot to the best of his recollection, heard of the bogus ballot boxes. Kelly did not leave the witness stand until called by his chief (A. G. Mackay) for having associated with Lot and Harrgett—two names which he knew about the case. At the time they were in the Somers House the witness said he did not know that Lot had been arrested and released on bail.

The crown prosecutor gave the witness some hard words here, asking if he looked himself up in the crown lands department, so that he could see the witness going on. Again the witness swore that, at the time of going to the Somers House he had never seen Lot to the best of his recollection, heard of the bogus ballot boxes. Kelly did not leave the witness stand until called by his chief (A. G. Mackay) for having associated with Lot and Harrgett—two names which he knew about the case. At the time they were in the Somers House the witness said he did not know that Lot had been arrested and released on bail.

Continued on Page 2.

# LOCAL OPTICISTS BUSY

## MASS MEETING FOR CITY

Will Be Held in Massey Hall Next Week to Decide on Campaign in Toronto.

Massey Hall has been engaged by the Dominion Alliance for the holding of an important mass meeting of gerymen and laymen on Tuesday evening, Nov. 7. The meeting is called to decide upon immediate action should be taken for the further restriction of the liquor traffic of this city.

It will be discussed and decided which of the two courses proposed will be adopted, that of the reduction of license or local option. The question is likely to come before the city council at its next meeting.

Fleisherton town council meets Nov. 18, when a petition for local option will be presented. Stamford council will be presented with a petition Nov. 6. Brantford council will be presented with a petition Nov. 20, when a petition for local option will be presented. Stamford council will be presented with a petition Nov. 6. Brantford council will be presented with a petition Nov. 20, when a petition for local option will be presented.

# BUFFALO POLICE HAVE MAN

## DECLARE HAMILTON IS SLOW

Suspect in Barton Township Tragedy Shadowed for Week, But No Witnesses to Help.

Buffalo, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—The murderer of the unidentified woman, who was found murdered at Hamilton, Ontario, is believed to be hiding in Buffalo, and the local police have him under surveillance.

Chief of Detectives Taylor said to-night: "It would be an injustice to give out the name of the suspect."

"We believe he is the man wanted for the murder, but we are not sure. He has been here for the past week, and I have notified the Hamilton police that we suspect a man here, and asked them to send witnesses to identify him."

"I have not seen anybody yet, and I think they are slow in coming."

# Don't Want Mr. Fielding

## But Fitzpatrick Will Do

### Quebec Papers Are Frankly Discussing the Desirable Who Should Succeed When Premier Laurier Retires.

Montreal, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—A good many of the French papers have begun to discuss the probability and some of the feasibility of Sir Wilfrid Laurier's disappearance from active politics, and in the face of the premier's statement at Quebec to the effect that he would remain until the completion of the Grand Trunk Pacific.

The statement has been given out that Hon. Mr. Fielding, seeking to prepare public opinion in this province for the change, had officially announced that Sir Wilfrid would be succeeded by Hon. Mr. Fielding. Whether he went so far remains to be seen, but it is quite certain that the name of the minister of finance has not been revealed with transports of delight in this province.

DeMontigny publishes an article which has caused quite a sensation in the Quebec district, and the same being reproduced without comment by several of the ministerial organs of more or less note. LeCourrier will not hear of Hon. Mr. Fielding as leader of the Liberal forces, and suggests Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick. Consequently it may be taken for granted that the minister of justice is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia. It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

The Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

# Don't Want Mr. Fielding

## But Fitzpatrick Will Do

### Quebec Papers Are Frankly Discussing the Desirable Who Should Succeed When Premier Laurier Retires.

Montreal, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—A good many of the French papers have begun to discuss the probability and some of the feasibility of Sir Wilfrid Laurier's disappearance from active politics, and in the face of the premier's statement at Quebec to the effect that he would remain until the completion of the Grand Trunk Pacific.

The statement has been given out that Hon. Mr. Fielding, seeking to prepare public opinion in this province for the change, had officially announced that Sir Wilfrid would be succeeded by Hon. Mr. Fielding. Whether he went so far remains to be seen, but it is quite certain that the name of the minister of finance has not been revealed with transports of delight in this province.

DeMontigny publishes an article which has caused quite a sensation in the Quebec district, and the same being reproduced without comment by several of the ministerial organs of more or less note. LeCourrier will not hear of Hon. Mr. Fielding as leader of the Liberal forces, and suggests Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick. Consequently it may be taken for granted that the minister of justice is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia. It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

The Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

# Don't Want Mr. Fielding

## But Fitzpatrick Will Do

### Quebec Papers Are Frankly Discussing the Desirable Who Should Succeed When Premier Laurier Retires.

Montreal, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—A good many of the French papers have begun to discuss the probability and some of the feasibility of Sir Wilfrid Laurier's disappearance from active politics, and in the face of the premier's statement at Quebec to the effect that he would remain until the completion of the Grand Trunk Pacific.

The statement has been given out that Hon. Mr. Fielding, seeking to prepare public opinion in this province for the change, had officially announced that Sir Wilfrid would be succeeded by Hon. Mr. Fielding. Whether he went so far remains to be seen, but it is quite certain that the name of the minister of finance has not been revealed with transports of delight in this province.

DeMontigny publishes an article which has caused quite a sensation in the Quebec district, and the same being reproduced without comment by several of the ministerial organs of more or less note. LeCourrier will not hear of Hon. Mr. Fielding as leader of the Liberal forces, and suggests Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick. Consequently it may be taken for granted that the minister of justice is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia. It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

The Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

# Don't Want Mr. Fielding

## But Fitzpatrick Will Do

### Quebec Papers Are Frankly Discussing the Desirable Who Should Succeed When Premier Laurier Retires.

Montreal, Nov. 1.—(Special.)—A good many of the French papers have begun to discuss the probability and some of the feasibility of Sir Wilfrid Laurier's disappearance from active politics, and in the face of the premier's statement at Quebec to the effect that he would remain until the completion of the Grand Trunk Pacific.

The statement has been given out that Hon. Mr. Fielding, seeking to prepare public opinion in this province for the change, had officially announced that Sir Wilfrid would be succeeded by Hon. Mr. Fielding. Whether he went so far remains to be seen, but it is quite certain that the name of the minister of finance has not been revealed with transports of delight in this province.

DeMontigny publishes an article which has caused quite a sensation in the Quebec district, and the same being reproduced without comment by several of the ministerial organs of more or less note. LeCourrier will not hear of Hon. Mr. Fielding as leader of the Liberal forces, and suggests Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick. Consequently it may be taken for granted that the minister of justice is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia. It is quite certain that Mr. Fitzpatrick is a candidate against the man from Nova Scotia.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

The Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

Le Courrier de Montmagny's article, said to have been written by Armand Lavigne, M.P., declares that Mr. Fitzpatrick is worth all the rest of the cabinet put together, except Sir Wilfrid Laurier. It looks, however, as if Le Courrier objected to Mr. Fitzpatrick as chief justice of the province.

# Don't Want Mr. Fielding

## But Fitzpatrick Will Do

### Quebec Papers Are Frankly Discussing the Desirable Who Should Succeed When Premier Laurier Retires.