

their rights under the settlement of their dispute with Air Canada which was achieved in 1973, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Yorkton-Melville (Mr. Nystrom):

That this House requests the Minister of Labour to make the services of his Department available for the purposes of securing a settlement of the issues in dispute between the Finance Branch Employees and Air Canada at Winnipeg.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. The House has heard the terms of the motion. It being proposed pursuant to Standing Order 43 it cannot be debated at this time without the unanimous consent of the House.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** No debate is necessary.

**Mr. Speaker:** It may be that no debate is necessary, however it is possible. Is there unanimous consent that the motion be debated at this time?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

An hon. Member: Who said no?

**Mr. Speaker:** There not being unanimous consent, the matter cannot be proceeded with at this time.

#### FAILURE TO RECLASSIFY POSITIONS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

**Mr. Joseph-Philippe Guay (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Regional Economic Expansion):** Mr. Speaker, I also rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to propose a motion of urgent and pressing necessity. I request the unanimous consent of the House to discuss the failure of Air Canada, a Crown corporation, to fulfil its obligations to its own employees on the basis of an understanding ending the strike on August 13, 1973 that all jobs would be reclassified. To date, almost a year and a half later, no progress has been made in respect of reclassification, and retroactive adjustments have not been processed, with the result that the morale of some 600 employees of Air Canada is deteriorating to the extent that we may be facing more problems.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. May I second that motion?

An hon. Member: What motion?

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. I have taken the position on previous occasions that motions proposed pursuant to Standing Order 43 must not only have as their subject matter a question of some considerable importance, which of course this matter has, but in addition, by the very language of the rule, must have an ingredient of urgent and pressing necessity.

The motion put forward by the hon. member contains a reference to problems which have been in existence, to use the words of the motion, for about a year and a half. There is nothing following thereafter which indicates an immediate crisis or a matter of urgency. Accordingly, I regret that I am not able to put the question to the House pursuant to Standing Order 43.

#### Order Paper Questions

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I believe the hon. member may have inadvertently suggested that this matter was a hang-over from last year when the settlement was achieved. Actually the settlement was achieved in August 1973, but the difficulties which are frustrating the employees in Winnipeg today are current. They are not last year's problems, they are today's problems.

\* \* \*

#### HOUSE OF COMMONS

##### REFERENCE TO ACTIONS OF MEMBERS OF PRESS GALLERY—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

**Mr. Cyril Symes (Sault Ste. Marie):** Mr. Speaker, I should like to move a motion in a somewhat different vein. It arises from the fact that I have noticed members in the press gallery today flashing 20-dollar bills from their pockets. I would move, seconded by the hon. member for Cape Breton-East Richmond (Mr. Hogan):

That this House strike a committee chaired by the hon. member for Témiscamingue with a view to encouraging members of the fourth estate to reduce this really exorbitant tipping fee.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. As worthy as the hon. member's motion may be, it does not seem to have attached to it any authority under which he seeks the floor to move it.

\* \* \*

#### LAW REFORM COMMISSION ACT

##### AMENDMENT TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF FULL TIME MEMBERS

**Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Justice)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-43, to amend the Law Reform Commission Act.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

#### QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

[Text]

**Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: 35, 45, 92, 107, 123, 135, 160, 281, 422, 432, 482, 528, 569, 638, 660, 731 to 757 inclusive, 770, 797, 803, 846 and 847.

**Mr. Speaker,** if questions nos. 177 and 203 could be made orders for returns, these returns would be tabled immediately.

**Mr. Speaker,** would you be so kind as to call starred questions nos. 892 and 1008?