Need for Prior Consultation

{

*

In saying that Canada has no intention of doing anything that add to the difficulties of our friends, as I said at NATO, I was simply recognizing the need for prior consultation with our friends before polic decisions are taken on important questions. Indeed, there is a NATO requent for such consultation, and that is why I concluded my statement at The Hague on the China issue by urging close consultation in New York am NATO delegations on this matter before and during the forthcoming General Assembly.

We are watching the situation closely, and Canadian policy will be predicated on a number of factors. For example, the effect on the stability of countries in Southeast Asia must be assessed with care, and particularly in the light of the current critical situation in Indochina. I shall refer to this new crisis in that area in a few minutes. Canadas with other Western countries the same basic interest in helping the development of Southeast Asia to maintain their independence and national in addition, we have a special interest in this area through our role in International Control Commissions in Indochina.

NATO: A Healthy Evolution

It is against this background of our relations with the Community world that I would like to report on the NATO ministerial meeting which attended in The Hague last week. The foreign ministers of the NATO countains an opportunity each spring to review the international situation and state of the alliance. We all realize that it is necessary to keep them of the threat under constant review so that the Western response may be appropriate, not only in a military sense but, equally important, in idepolicies. Therefore I firmly believe that it is not a sign of "disarray's it is sometimes called, but of progress and sensible evolution that there any thoughts in the Western alliance as to how our countries should read the new opportunities as well as to the pitfalls presented by the current situation vis-à-vis the Communists.

We have heard far too much about NATO being at a crossroads and suffering from various kinds of malaise. The fact is that the alliance going through a healthy process of sorting out the different and often viously expressed ideas of its members on the state of the alliance and who should be done to bring it up to date. Would it be healthy if it were on wise, if we were merely clinging to the conceptions of the past and not to keep up with the times in a flexible way as befits free peoples?

At The Hague there was general agreement that, in the next few our main aim must be to ensure that NATO can meet the requirements of a very different from when the alliance was founded. NATO has its old mythem to expect the new realities. In the words of the Prime Minister at the Council in January: "We must learn to deal with the difficult job of permaking while maintaining the force necessary to deter war."