

City Police Raid Frat Beer Party On 97th St.

By Patricia Hughes

The frat types City Police ejected from the Inter-Fraternity Council party Saturday night now think there may be something to be said for bilingualism.

You see, they didn't realize the janitor was telling them, "Keep the beer downstairs!" and of course they were in the wrong Ukrainian Hall in the first place.

It was all very confusing.

11 p.m. Raid

But when it came 11 p.m., and the men in blue burst in shouting, "RAID!" the confu-

sion cleared away quickly.

It seems the Morality Squad objected to several facts, such as:—

- the liquor permit was made out for the wrong address—9620 - 109 St., instead of 10906 97 St. where the party was being held.
- "several" of the fun-loving youngsters were thoroughly intoxicated.
- there was somewhat more beer on the premises than had been licensed for.

12 empty half-kegs, two partly-full half-kegs, and two full half-kegs of beer were seized and carted off to government storehouses.

Too Much Booze

"They had more than they should have," commented Major R. C. W. Hooper, adviser to men students, who co-signed the liquor permit.

Will the keg deposits be returned to the fraternities, or the IFC?

Major Hooper has his doubts. He told police, at the time of

the incident, that the money—said to be in excess of \$200—would be donated to a "scholarship fund."

No Minors Present?

"There were no signs that any under-21 lads were consuming liquor," said a police spokesman later.

Disciplinary action may yet be taken, Major Hooper said, depending upon the content of a report yet to be received from the Morality Squad.

The whole incident, he said, "has made us a little more cir-

cumspect as to the functions they hold en masse."

Lack of Evidence

"It was a needless occurrence," he concluded.

Police are said to be somewhat puzzled at the way their raids on fraternity functions fail to turn up incriminating evidence.

Will Major Hooper sign any more IFC liquor permits?

"It wouldn't do any good, because the government liquor commission won't issue them any more," he answers.

The Gateway

Vol. LIV, No. 23

TWELVE PAGES

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FRIDAY, JANUARY 24, 1964

New Residence Fees Jumped \$16 Monthly

By Don Sellar

A single room in the new residences will cost \$96 per month—a 20 per cent increase over the price in the old residences.

The Board of Governors has decided that students living in the new \$7,000,000 complex will pay 17 per cent more for rooms than inhabitants of the old buildings.

Will 1,200 students at this university pay an extra \$100 per year for the privilege of living in the new 11-storey buildings?

BENEFITS OF CAMPUS LIFE

The Director of Student Housing, G. M. Tauzer, says, "Students should be willing to pay the cost of living in the new residence—there are many mature students who realize the benefits of living on campus."

Men's Residence House Committee chairman Alan Meech says there is "no comment necessary" on the new fee structure.

Mr. Tauzer says that the cost of the new residences must be borne by the present student population. But only seven of 130 male residents interviewed appear willing to pay rents which work out to \$96 per month for a single room and \$90 per month for a double room.

FORMULA FOR FEES

These figures are obtained by dividing the semester fee (single room: \$720, double room: \$670) by 7.5 (the number of months in the university year).

The new rate is based upon a 230 day semester. The semester rate for single and double rooms in the old residences will be \$615 and \$575 respectively.

University spokesmen say the university residence charges are "generally lower than the cost of board and room in private homes and apartments, and the student has the advantage of being on campus, close to library, study and recreational

facilities."

RATES HIGHER IN U.S.

Mr. Tauzer says semester rates at the University of Colorado are approximately \$100 higher than the new ones here.

In a statement appearing in The Edmonton Journal Nov. 2, University President Dr. Walter H. Johns called the new residences "bare concrete block with plywood furniture in rooms of minimal size."

He said, "Students' double rooms are smaller than any others being built today in Canadian universities and are far below American standards."

PAY IN ADVANCE

All accommodations will be on a full session basis requiring students to pay \$30 in advance which will be credited to their accounts. A brochure being sent to all applicants for residences says, "All applicants are required to sign an agreement for full winter session and will not normally be permitted to move from the halls during the session except when withdrawing from the university or in the event of marriage."

Facilities to be offered in the new buildings include lounges, study rooms, libraries, sun-decks, individual telephones, and special laundry services. But maid service will be reduced to a once-a-week basis. Residents in all buildings will make their own beds and keep their rooms tidy during the rest of the week.

\$3 FINE FOR LATE PAYMENTS

Fees for the entire semester will be due on the first day of occupancy, but they can be paid in two installments without penalty. Second installments will be due immediately after the Christmas holiday. Students wishing to pay in more than two installments will be fined \$3 for any extra payments they make.

A \$5 monthly rent increase last spring resulted in a number of student demonstrations, one in front of the Administration Building. Dr. Johns and Bursar J. M. Whidden were burned in effigy during the protests.



MAJOR HOOPER ... has doubts

Many Feel Book Fines "Bad Idea"

By Larry Krywaniuk

68.49 per cent of the students contacted say "No" to a 25c per day fine for overdue books at the Cameron Library.

Tuesday last, three Gateway survey takers trundled over to the Cameron Library. The purpose, to take a survey.

The result:

- 50 defiant students said "No!" to such a "bad idea" to quote one student.
- 23 more submissive library frequenters said they wouldn't mind the fines.

To those who said "no", another query was put:

Would you take part in a protest (i.e. petition, demonstration) if someone was to organize it?

20 said no,

17 of the defiant ones said yes, 3 remained undecided.

Comments to the first question ranged from:

Gord Young, arts 3, "... bad idea," to Earl Grey, eng 4, "I couldn't care less ... I haven't taken a book out of there yet." to Ron Watson, grad studies, "It might stop people from bringing them back late provided it is enforced."

Several of the students were of the opinion that it is "too much" but they would be in favor of a lesser fine.

Others thought that perhaps "a few days grace should be given."

One evening credit student said, "If I missed one lecture the fine sure could pile up."

Wes Cragg, Students' Council president, commented "if it is necessary to levy a due in order to have books returned on time, then I feel a due should be levied."

Editorial

Retrograde Decision

Less than three months ago, the president of the university, Dr. Walter Johns, had this to say about the new university residences:

The residences are bare concrete block with plywood furniture in rooms of minimal size. Students' double rooms are smaller than any others being built today in Canadian Universities, and are far below American standards.

Today we are informed that, effective next fall, residence fees will amount to \$96 monthly for single rooms and \$90 monthly for double rooms in the new residences. This is a 20 per cent increase over present fees.

THE EFFECTS

There will be two main results from the increase if it goes into effect. Firstly, it will be financially impossible for a large percentage of students to live in residence. Secondly—and more important—the result will be to keep students with high academic ability but low financial stature out of university.

Results will be felt in the entire university residential area. Undoubtedly, landlords will take advantage of the fee hike and boost their own room and board rates. Only students will suffer, and the pattern will repeat itself in all outlying areas—as experience shows.

THE POLICY

The policy involved in the Board of Governors decision is not consistent with the policy of expansion and encouraging increased enrolment.

Rather the board's decision is retrogressive as regards the general trend today to make higher education more available to those with ability.

It appears that the board's actions are a move toward re-establishing the university as a preserve for the rich.

In short, we feel the policy on fees is a very backward one.

THE CONCLUSION

The problem is not one with which only residence students must struggle. Rather it is a matter of deep concern to the entire student body.

All students, but residence students especially, must not sit still but protest loudly and publicly against this gross injustice. In short, they must raise hell.

The Students' Council must take up the issue vigorously as an opportunity to fight for the rights of students.

As for the Board of Governors, it must admit its mistake and alter its retrograde decision.

Board Report Shows Surplus

The Board of Governors' report for the 1962-63 fiscal year shows a \$253,436 surplus.

It indicates a total revenue of \$17,379,190 for the university. Provincial grants were \$11,479,007, federal aid totalled \$2,666,088, and student fees were \$3,082,481.

Expenditures amounted to \$17,125,-

754, including \$10,285,059 for the operation of faculties and schools; \$1,926,488 for maintenance of buildings and grounds; \$1,886,707 for debt charges; and \$664,754 for administrative salaries and expenses.

The amount spent on new buildings during the year came to about \$9,900,000 and on equipment, including library books, \$2,800,000.