

The Guardian.

VOL 9, NO. 27

TO U.S. \$1.50 A YEAR.

BAY ROBERTS, Nfld., FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 1917.

\$1.00 A YEAR, IN ADVANCE

Provisions and Feeds

We have on hand a full stock of FLOUR of the well-known brands, viz.: American Beauty, Reliable and King's Quality. Also a full stock of PORK, BEEF, etc. FEEDS, consisting of Bran, Corn Meal, Feed Flour in 100-lb. sacks.

Also, just arrived, two carloads MIXED OATS, put up in three bushel sacks. Special price on oats to wholesale buyers. All of the above we are selling at lowest cash prices.

W. H. Greenland
COLEY'S POINT

SHIRTS AND TWEEDS

Our new stock of Serges and Tweeds have just been opened, and having ordered these before the rise in price of Woollens, we are able to give our customers the advantage of old prices. Order that suit or raincoat now as the season is advancing, as you will have to pay considerably more later on.

JOHN MAUNDER,
281-283 Duckworth Street,
St. John's.

Suffered for 17 Years

Cured by A.I.C.

Botwood,
August 1st, 1917.
"I was a sufferer from Indigestion for over 17 years. I could not eat any kind of heavy food. I tried different kinds of medicines but to no avail until I heard of Arctic Indigestion Cure. I took one pint bottle, and to-day I am perfectly cured."
J. ROBERTS,
Jas. Mercer, Proprietor
SHEARSTOWN.
\$1.25 and \$2.25 a bottle
C. E. Russell, Wholesale Agent for Nfld.
Agents for A. I. C.:
Bang Brothers, Curling, Bay of Islands.
Harold Andrews, Ship Cove, Port de Grave.
W. H. Jerrett, Clarke's Beach.

Advertising is the life of Trade.



Why
Not give your boy and girl an opportunity to make their home study easy and effective? Give them the same chances to win promotion and success as the lad having the advantage of

WEBSTER'S NEW INTERNATIONAL
Dictionary in his home. This new creation answers with final authority all kinds of puzzling questions in history, geography, biography, spelling, pronunciation, sports, arts, and sciences.
100,000 Vocabulary Terms, 2700 Pages, Over 4000 Illustrations, Colored Plates.
The only dictionary with the Divided Page.
The type matter is equivalent to that of a 16-volume encyclopedia.
More Scholarly, Accurate, Convenient, and Authoritative than any other English Dictionary.
REGULAR AND ENGLISH PAPER EDITIONS.
WRITE FOR COPIES, ILLUSTRATIONS, ETC. FREE IN A SEPARATE FOLDER. Make if you name this paper.
G. & C. HERRING CO., SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

Found

A small bunch of KEYS. Owner may obtain same by calling at Guardian office.

For Sale

A double-barrelled Stevens Gun. Apply to C. E. Russell, Guardian Office.

Wanted

About 100 Men

For various kinds of employment. Apply to Wm. Parsons, Millman Country Road.

THE Empire Store

SPANIARD'S BAY

Our new Fall Goods will be opened up about

Thursday,

Oct. 4th, consisting of Ladies' Costumes, Coats, Dresses, etc., etc.

in latest American styles. Full stocks also of regular Dry Goods at keener prices.

Now Open

For your inspection, Men's, Women's, Boys' and Girls'

Fall Coats, Winter Coats and Raincoats

From \$3.00 to \$17.20.

Also, Ladies' Costumes, black serge, blue serge and tweed. Two Specials: Baskets, 11 x 19 inches, 1c, and Door Mats, 1 1/2 x 3 feet, only 29c.

Marshall's

Discharged Soldiers

Who will be available for Recruiting Work

during the next few months are asked to communicate with the

DEPT. OF MILITIA,
St. John's.

Splayed

Iron HOOPS

For Scotch Barrels and Half Barrels

Buy Now

While you have the chance.

SMITH Company Ltd.
ST. JOHN'S.

To the Traveling Public

Notice!

Mail cars are not passenger cars; but are for MAIL PURPOSES ONLY. None unless duly authorized is permitted to travel in them.

THE NAMES OF ALL WHO ENTER THE MAIL CARS WILL, HEREAFTER, BE REPORTED BY THE MAIL CLERK IN CHARGE.

As failure to so report, and the admission of unauthorized persons will, in future, involve suspension, and probable dismissal, THE PUBLIC IS ASKED TO RELIEVE THE CLERKS FROM THE EMBARRASSMENT WHICH REFUSAL INVOLVES.

J. ALEX. ROBINSON,
Postmaster-General,
Sept. 5, 1917.

Dress Tweeds

TWEEDS are going to be very popular this Fall, largely on account of their good wearing qualities.

We show a large range of the best colors and mixtures, at 90c, \$1.10, 1.40, 1.60 to 2.50

Ayre & Sons, Ltd.

House of Assembly

Continued from 4th page.

MONDAY, Aug. 20th

The House met at 3 p.m. pursuant to adjournment.

The House went into Committee of the Whole on the Profits Tax Bill.

HON. MR. BENTNETT, in the manner in which the bill had been dealt with by the Upper House, especially at a time when harmony was so necessary. He agreed with members of that House that possibly an income tax might be more desirable in some ways; and he thought that at some future time, when the machinery for collecting such a tax had been built up by the operation of the present bill, such a tax might be introduced. We had not yet fully measured up to our responsibilities in connection with the war, and we must have recourse to legislation to obtain both the money and the men where-with to do so. Besides this, it was essential that the House of Assembly should defend the right of the people to the absolute control of taxation. The present bill had been termed "fair and unjust," but he could not find anything unfair or unjust about it. At the present stage it was essential that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

The Bill passed Committee and was read a third time and sent to the Legislative Council.

THE PREMIER moved second reading of the Bill to Define the powers of the Legislative Council. There was at present only one way of collecting taxes in this country, by the Customs Duties upon consumers at large. It had been decided that the time had now come to place part of the burden of taxation directly upon those best able to bear it; and in future the man who made profits would be asked to pay directly to the Government one dollar out of every five of his profits. The talk about discrimination was absurd. Why should not the man with the large capital pay more than the small, energetic, rising man who had to go to the bank, had to work largely on borrowed capital, but probably did more to keep up competition and to keep down prices than anyone else. The man with the largest capital was the man with most to lose, the man who benefited most by the protection of our soldiers. And the only suggestion of the merchants was an export tax on fish. That tax would fall directly on the fisherman by lowering the price of fish. It would not raise the cost of fish, for our fish

which went abroad, after paying the immense freight charges and the immense insurance charges now in force, cost the consumer as much as \$25 or \$30 a quintal. Such a price was enormous already, and to raise it much more might result in destroying the market altogether. The Upper House had said they had not time to consider the Bill. That was not true. The bill had been produced half a dozen times, had been read by every business man and fought over by every newspaper. They had had plenty of time. That he went further and said they had no right to time in this matter, for they had no right in any way to interfere with a finance bill. His agreement with the Upper House was mere matter of form at the most. In future, under the present bill, any money bill which was sent up by the Assembly and which was not consented to by the Upper House, within one month would become law without their consent. Any other bill would pass in the same way after it had been sent up in the same form by three successive Assemblies. An Upper Chamber was, under the British Constitution, a necessary and useful drag upon the action of the Lower House; but in matters of taxation, its power must be reduced to the minimum.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that we should get results in the form of revenue. The country must have the money. To allow interest on capital as asked by opponents of the bill would reduce the yield of the tax by about half.

HON. MR. HICKMAN pointed out that to allow 6% on capital before charging the tax would work a hardship to large businesses, as against small ones, as had been claimed by the Upper House. On the contrary, it would mean that the smaller merchant, the man with a small capital, who was by energy and industry working his way up, and who had not got together a large capital, would have to pay a larger percentage of interest on a large capital. The patriotism of the men who opposed the bill, themselves mainly men of large capital, was checked by patriotism and the same brand of patriotism as theirs had prevailed among the business men of the British Isles, the German flag would be flying in this country.

HON. MR. BENNETT said that in these times when so many of our men were dying for our protection at the front, it was little credit to our country if they did not do everything they could to ensure that those men were properly paid and supplied. As to the argument that the man with a small capital ought not to pay more than the man without, there was nothing in it. The man who ran his business on capital obtained from the bank was not really the owner of his business; the bank owned him and could sell him out at any time. It was clear therefore that he had less to lose, he had less at stake, and he was not deriving the same protection from the efforts of our soldiers. He was prepared to defend discrimination in the sense that the rich man ought to pay more than the man with the most capital ought to pay most. Enormous profits had been made during the war, and those who made them would be asked to control all questions connected with taxation.

Committed For Trial

Pte. J. Parker, who appeared before Judge Morris Wednesday afternoon, was committed to trial in the Supreme Court on a charge of manslaughter, the hearing being set for Oct. 15th. Accused was admitted to bail in the sum of \$40,000. Hon. A. E. Hickman and Mr. H. E. Cowan giving security in \$10,000 each, and himself in \$20,000.

New Appointments

The following appointments to the Civil Service were made by the Government recently. For the 5 air lawyers: Mr. F. J. Morris, K.C., to the Magistrate's Court; Mr. C. H. Hutchings, K.C., to 1 Inspector General; Hon. S. J. Blandford, to be Sheriff; Hon. J. H. Goodison, to be Purchasing Agent for the Government; and Mr. P. J. Summers, to be Deputy Minister of Justice. Inspector Generals Sullivan and Sheriff Carter, who now retire, have both reached a ripe age and a length in service the entitle them to a period of rest.

Notes of Thanks

Our local ferryman, Mr. John Parsons, fell overboard from the ferry while approaching C. E. Russell's wharf on Tuesday afternoon, about 5 o'clock. His cries for help attracted the attention of the Guardian office staff, who rescued him from drowning just in the nick of time.

If men were compelled to practice what they preach most of them would give up preaching.

The Market

Labrador Soft is quoted at \$6, Labrador Shore Cured \$9.50, and Merchantable Shore, No. 1 quality, \$10. A decline is expected in Labrador Soft when the big fleet of schooners reach St. John's in October.

Cod oil is \$210 a ton for No. 1 oil. Herring is quoted at \$6.80 for Split, and the Scotch Pack \$10 to \$11. Lobsters are bringing \$20.00 a case.

Flour is \$15.00 for leading brands and \$14.50 to \$14.80 for other qualities, wholesale.

Thetis Back From Labrador

The S.S. Thetis, Capt. W. Parsons, arrived from Labrador ports Monday evening, having been as far north as Hopedale. Going north fine weather was experienced, but returning there was a continuance of bad weather and the steamer had to run slowly.

Along the whole coast the people are getting ready to return, the voyage being practically over. The greater number of "hooters" have left the coast for home port or are ready to sail, and all with good fares. The bankers fishing on the coast are all doing well. The steamer sailed north again Thursday.

Food Value of Milk

One quart of milk equals: 8 eggs, 3 lbs fresh codfish, 3-5 lb of ham, 2 lbs of chicken, 3-4 lb of round steak, 4-5 lb of pork chops.

Born

At Spaniard's Bay, Sept. 12th, to M. M. Young, M.H.A., and Mrs. Young, a son.

Died

On Sept. 10th, after a lingering illness, Thomas Brown, jun., son of John and the late Mary Brown, aged 55 years. Leaving three sons, three daughters, father and two brothers to mourn their loss. Funeral took place on Wednesday afternoon, Sept. 12th, to the C. E. cemetery, Rev. Mr. Stirling officiating.

On Friday, Sept. 14th James Bishop, Coley's Point, aged 67 years. Leaving a wife three sons, Isaac, at home, Arthur in U.S. Allan, Joe Batts Arm (teacher) three daughters, Mrs. Samuel Kinsley, Mrs. George H. Rowell, Coley's Point, Mrs. Robert Butler, Port de Grave, three sisters, Mr. Robert Noel, Port de Grave, Mr. Charles Ladrew, Brigus Gullies, Mrs. William J. Dawe, Burr Head, and 9 grand-children, besides a large circle of friends to mourn their sad loss. Funeral took place Sunday Sept. 16th, at 2 p.m. at the C. E. Cemetery.

REID NEWFOUNDLAND COMPANY

Holiday Season

Excursion Return Tickets
Will be issued for points between and including Brigus Junction and Grates Cove, B.D.V., at

One Way and One-Third First-Class Fare