

loses in accordance with *similia similibus curentur*. In view of this opinion of Judge Barrett, practitioners who do not confine themselves strictly to the homœopathic method, are unsafe in calling themselves homœopathic physicians! And, "none excepting those who absolutely confine themselves to the homœopathic mode, have a right to designate themselves other than as physicians!" Commenting on this very audacious confession of the deceptions prevalent among homœopathists, the *Pacific Medical Journal* (June, 1889) says:—"Such expressions from the headquarters of homœopathy are astonishing. They are true, absolutely true, but they are at the same time admissions of guilt. They amount to a confession that this class of practitioners have been and are daily practising deception upon a credulous public. It is true that many of the remedies employed by the regular physicians are mentioned in their works on practice and therapeutics, but it is just as true that the people among whom they ply their vocation are not aware of this fact. Their brilliant successes are never set down to the credit of any but homœopathic remedies. Regular physicians have always contended that homœopathy owed little or none of its success to homœopathic remedies and methods, but never before have they received so open a confession as that made by the editors of the *New York Medical Times*." The *Pacific Medical Journal* suggests that "these men tear down their signs, proclaim themselves *physicians*, and study and practise medicine in its true sense." "We cannot hope utterly to annihilate the evil. As long as there are fools on earth, just so long will quackery in some form have an influence. But take from quackery its apparent mystery, and it at once loses many of its charms. Inform the public that homœopathists not only employ our remedies, but employ them unattenuated, and the beautiful phantom vanishes. The editors of the *Times* have removed the keystone from the arch."

To sum up, then. By the confession of the leading homœopathic journal nearly every one calling himself a homœopath is guilty of fraud and deceit; from what I have quoted from Dr. Brunton's pen, it will readily be seen by the unprejudiced, that those who adhere closely to homœopathic rules, are guilty of quackery; hence it follows from these premises that, as a class, homœopathists are either frauds or quacks. And yet such are more favored by the B. C. legislators than the most earnest student of regular medicine. Truly an age of progress and enlightenment!