need so much money to conduct public affairs, but that he would take the duty off coal and breadstuffs. If we take the duty off of coal we will have to put it on tea and coffee, and as tea and coffee are of more use to me as a farmer I would sooner have them iree and a duty upon coal, of which I have little need. Then the duty upon breadstuffs is the only thing in the tariff that favors farmers at all. If Mr. Blake takes that off, while he leaves the present protection to manufacturers, as he promises to do, we shall be the losers for the benefit of the large cities and towns. Now, fellow-farmers, this is the way I look at the matter: As Mr. Blake says we must have the tariff, let us have the whole tariff, and not put all the taxes upon the land, neither take the duty off grain, in which we are interested, and leave it on those things in which cities and towns are interested. It is for this reason that I shall continue to support the National Policy, not for party, but because it is the best thing offered us so far by either party. We must consider our own interests, as other people do.

A FARMER.

Published by the Industrial League, for gratuitous distribution.—Frederic Nicholls, Secretary, Toronto, Canada.