

*Oil Export Tax*

and unilaterally withdraw as a minister of the Crown, the words from the recommendation "to impose a charge on the export of crude oil from Canada".

**Mr. Bell:** May I ask the minister if he has considered taking the word "charge" out of the short title and calling it the Oil Export Tax Act?

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** I have no objection to that, if the committee would take it as a drafting instruction to amend clause 11, section 22.1(1), where the same words appear on page 6. If we can take that as being agreed unanimously, we should change the title to read the Oil Export Tax Act.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Chairman, if we are going to bother with this—and I will not rehash the matter I raised a few moments ago—why not settle for the Oil Export Act?

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** You can call it anything you want—

**Mr. Baldwin:** It smells the same!

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** What is wrong with the Oil Export Tax Act?

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** There is no tax in it; that is what is wrong with it.

**Mr. Stanbury** moved:

That the long title of Bill C-245 be amended by striking out the words "to impose a charge on the export of crude oil from Canada".

**The Assistant Deputy Chairman:** Is this agreed?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion (Mr. Stanbury) agreed to.

**The Assistant Deputy Chairman:** Shall the title as amended carry?

Title as amended agreed to.

**The Assistant Deputy Chairman:** Shall the bill carry?

**Mr. Alexander:** What about the recommendation?

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** Mr. Chairman, I gather that clause I has been amended by consent and that this piece of legislation will be called the Oil Export Tax Act.

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** Then with regard to the point made by the hon. member for Hamilton West, no amendment is needed to the recommendation because the recommendation is a unilateral act by the Crown. On behalf of the government I can withdraw the words "to impose a charge on the export of crude oil from Canada".

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Boulanger):** Is this agreed?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Boulanger):** Shall the bill carry?

[Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton).]

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Bill reported.

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton)** moved that the bill as amended be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton)** moved that the bill be read the third time and do pass.

Motion agreed to and bill read the third time and passed.

**Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton):** Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the House and the committee for the courtesy shown me in disposing of this bill.

I understand the House leader has stated that the next item of business will be the CNR financing bill. Perhaps it would be in order at this stage to call it six o'clock.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it the wish of the House that the Chair should call it six o'clock?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

[Translation]

**Mr. Speaker:** As it is now almost six o'clock, I leave the chair until eight o'clock.

At 5.47 p.m. the House took recess.

[English]

#### AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 8 p.m.

### CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAYS AND AIR CANADA

#### PROVISION FOR CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AND GUARANTEEING OF SECURITIES AND DEBENTURES

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-164, to authorize the provision of moneys to meet certain capital expenditures of the Canadian National Railways system and Air Canada for the period from the 1st day of January, 1973, to the 30th day of June, 1974, and to authorize the guarantee by Her Majesty of certain securities to be issued by the Canadian National Railway Company and certain debentures to be issued by Air Canada, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order, In dealing with the report stage of Bill C-164, it is suggested by the Chair that there be one debate and one vote on motions Nos. 1 and 2, that there be one debate and one vote on motions Nos. 3 and 5, that motions Nos. 4 and 6 be considered separately and, if votes arise, that the votes be taken separately. It should also be noted that with regard to motion No. 6 the reference should be to line 6, not to line 10, on page 9 of the bill. This correction has been authorized by the Chair to make the motion meaningful. We will now proceed to the discussion of motions Nos. 1 and 2.