which all who had ever seen the horse thoroughly appreciated. Instances of his astuteness in advocacy were numerous. His mode of winning cases was not by carrying juries with him by a storm of eloquence, or cross-examining witnesses out of court, but by discovering the weak point in his adversary's case and tripping him up, or by the nice conduct of such resources as his own case possessed. On one occasion he was retained for the defendant with Mr., afterward Mr. Justice, Willes, whom he led at the bar, but who was afterward his senior in the Court of Common Pleas, in a case of some complication tried before Chief Justice Jervis. At the end of the day (Saturday), Mr. Byles submitted that there was no case, and the judge rose to give his decision next week. In the interval Willes asked Byles why he did not take a particular point which both had agreed in consultation to be fatal to the plaintiff's case. 'I left that to the Chief Justice, 'said Byles; 'I led up to it, and walked round it, so that he cannot miss it, but if I had taken it he would have decided against us at once.' And so it proved, for on Monday morning the Chief Justice gave an elaborate judgment overruling all the points taken, but nonsuiting the plaintiff on a ground which he said he was astonished to find had not been taken by either of the very learned counsel for the defendant, but which in his opinion was conclusive. In another case Byles was for the plaintiff, and Edwin James for the defendant, in an action on a bond tried before Chief Justice Tindal. Byles was a long time in opening his case and examining his witnesses, until the Chief Justice became restless. Still more restless was Edwin James, who wanted to go elsewhere. Byles, seeing his impatience, whispered to him, 'give me judgment for the principal, and I will let you off the interest.' Accordingly a verdict was taken for the plainttff for the amount of the bond without interest. Afterward Edwin James esked Byles why he had foregone the interest? 'You need only have put in the bond,' said he, 'and you would have had both.' 'That was just the difficulty,' said Byles, 'the bond was not in court.' In those days adjournments were not so easily granted as now, and in any ease the costs of the day would have exceeded the