land in this Province, contained in an article of the Glole of the Brd instant.

In that article it is stated, "that cach) Minister of the Church of Scotland in this Province, with the one noble exception of Mr. MeNaughton, tiled a solemn declaration with the Government that at the passing of the Imperial Act, or on the 9th May, 1853, he had been in receipt of $£ 1 \bar{J} 0$ per annum."

I am one of these Ministers, I acted as attorncy for them all. As a Commissioner appointed by the synod and residing at the Seat of Government, I was acpuainted with esery thing that was done in the matter o. commutation, and I can positively assert that no suck declamation was ever made, cither verbally or in writing, by any one of the Dlinisters or by any one for tiem. The very reverse; on the occasion of the first, interview I had with a menker of the, Government on the subject of Cummutation, I stated that we had only received $\mathcal{L 1 1 0} 10$ s in 1803 ; yet for reasons which I mentioned. we would be treated-in our apprehensionunfairly, if compelled to commute at that ters sum. And these reasons it was my busiuess to press at every succeeding intervien with, members of the lioverment. Had I or any of us been disposed to conceal the fact, it could not have been dune. It was perfectly known to the Guvermanent and was, as the Globe sass, officially stated in the lrinted Public Aceomits. The charge of falschoud, or something worse, is therefore utterly without foumdation.
The ground on which those, who conducted the commutation for the Ministers of the Church of Seotland, claimed that they should be permitted to commute for at larger sum than 2110 lUs., although that was all which was actually received in the course of 1853, was simply thes, that they were cutitled to more,-cthat according to the opinion of two former Attorneys General it was the duty of the Clergy Muserve Commissiuners to distribute the revenue of cach year among the Ministers of the year,-that, having no fixed salary assigned them, unvarying from year to year, they were legally entitled to their fair proportion of the years revenuc-ihat, in point of firct, that ras what was really assigned to them,-and that,-if at any time, cither through the caution of the Clergy Reserve Commissioners or in consequence of a general anderstanding among all partics, and for the extension of the Church, a certain portion of the year's revenue were retained-it would be a grievous hardship, to make the Nlinisters suffer for what, in the former case, was no fault of theirs, and, in the latter an act of liberalits.

In making this claim myself, at my first and at several subsequent interviews with members of the Government, I could only state the principle of it. Not being a Clergy Reserve Commissioner, and having only general information on the subject, I was unable to stato the precise amount of the ince. may not ultimately prove quite as claim. The subsequent actual division of beneficial to its interests, as any use that is
the rerenucs of 1853 and 1854 giving $£ 150$ dikely to be made of the vastly larger amonat

Roll, those not entitled, under the Provincial
Statute, equally with those entitled to commute, fixed the amount of the claim, which had all along been made, and enabled the Ministers to state in their printed powers of Attorney. There was not the slightest attempt to impose on the Government, as to the time when the supplementary sum was added. Our position all along was, that, whether that sum were actually added or not, our right to it and to commute accordingly was the same. The addition was, in our apprehension, valuable only as enabling us to specify the amount of a before undefined claim.

The claim, after a correspondence with the Clergy Reserve Commissioners, was ultimately admitted by the Government. That correspondence will, no doubt, be laid before Parliament, and in it will be found an official statement of the revenue for each year, the amont appropriated cach year, and the stipends received each year by the MinisO
Only one further explanation has to be given. The Glole states that the revenues of the year 1853 amounted to only $£ 7,959$ 19 s . 3d., and consequently could not have , yielded $f^{2} 150$ to each Ninister. By the revenue of $1 S 53$ the Globe understands the sums paid to the Clergy Reserve Commis-
siuners from the 1st January to the 31st sivisers from the 1st January to the 31st
December, 1853. By the Revenue for the year 1553 we understand the interest of the Clergy Reserves Fund in the hands of Government for 1S53, and which - the last halfyear of it, at least, could only be due on the 1st January, 1851, and was not and could not be paid into the hand of the Com. missioners somer than in the beginning of that year. - Thus understood, the revenue of 1853 was $£ 11,250$; that of 1854 , over £12,000.

I am unwiling to add to these explanations any general remarks. But I caunot refrain from saying that, when the Provincial Legislature was taling array a property, worth two or three millions of pounds, from partics 10 whom it had been given by a solemn act of Parliament, bearing in the body of it that it was a final settlement, it was scarcely worth the while of certain partios to show so much zal to cut down the claims, which the Imperial Parliament, had recognized and reserved to the loirest possible figure. After all, these claims do not materially diminish the amount aequired by the Province. It will not, I am persuaded, be made a clarge against the members of the Gosernment, by any reasonable man, that they were disposed to take a fair and equitable view of them. And it remains to be seen whether the purpose, to which comparatirely small sums obtained in virtue of them will be permanently applied, of piacing, that is, well educated Ministers of the the rerenucs of 1853 and 1854 giving $£ 150$

Which is to be distributed among the Niunim. palitics.

