alized vowels as they do not ocur in our speech. I and e ar givn Italian values, as in our vords machins and they. The vowel corresponding to that in father is represented by $A$, while a is used for a sound between (as we supose) that in futher and that in fat, but nearer the later. The vowel in mai rc is denoted by $\boldsymbol{x}$. Close $o$ is represented by 0 , while open o has a turnd $c(0)$. For the. first vowel in mechant, calld mixt, an optional sign ( E ) is used. For the vowel in pctur, $\infty$ is used. This is satisfactory to us. We take the sound to te practicaly the same as German ö, and probably the same as is said to be givn in our words, carn, mercy. bird, etc., by many speakers. To French u, about the same as German ü, but not a cosmopolitan sound, is given good old leter $u$, thus taking a Roman leter to represent a sound not in Italian nor in general use-a bad afair. We ar not surprised to see Dormer, of London, prolesting against this in the Teach $r$, and stigmatizing this misuse of $u$ as a paralel heresy tos that of using the same sign for vowel in our word us, and which has been, or shud be, abandnd for same reason. For peculiar sounds, peculiar shapes shud be found. Let cosmopolitan shapes stand for world-wide sounds. For close $u$, (as in nous. or English ooze.) ur is chozn. If sound asignd $u$ is changed, as it shud be, this wil require reconsideration. However, $u$ does harmonize with other leters. and may prove acceptabl. simpl unvocalized breth, the so-calld "obscure" vowel (which is no vowel tho, but simpl breth) is wel represented by turnd e (o). ( $\cdot$ ) is used as a sign of length, but whether for quantitativ distinction alone, or also to indicate qualitativ diferences is not plain. With us, Knudsen uses the apostrofe (') for last inentiond purpos, altho he is quite wiling to alow the turnd period ( $)$ to serv insted.

A Toronto Society.-A Toronto Asocia'n for united action shud be formd. State asocia'ns ar impracticabl becaus distance prevents meeting. A printed serial must do state work, going to the individual and not expecting him to come a long jurny to a meeting. Town and city asocia'ns ar quite feasibl, and shud be olganized all over-. especialy in centers of activity and tho't, as Toronto may fairly be considerd. Unfortunatly we can devote no more time to it. It shud be done by some one. Who wil move? An asocia'n meeting, say, monthly, except during July and August, wud be a center of efort and place for observation and comparison of notes so that a more combined efort cud be made. We hope some one wil take trubl to call a meeting which cud be anounst in these colums. -N $w$ is the time to organize for 1888.

## LITERATURE.

Smpliftrd Gramar or Jafinesie, iog pages, ... mo.
A Romanized Japanese 'Reader, 350 pages, 24 mo ,
Both of these books wer publisht in 1886 by Tiübner \& Co., London, and Kelly \& Walsh, Yokohama. They wer printed in Japan. Both ar by B. H. Chamberlain who has taken a prominent part in puting Japanese into Ruman dres. They aford us a sampl of work done. Such a change of orthografy is an entire revolution for the Japanese-a revolution acomplisht so fast as to put our slo-coach methods to shame. If the orientals can go so fast; what is the mater with us?

In this aplication of the Roman alfabet to record a language not hitherto ritn therein it is of interest to note what values ar givn diferent jeters. Hitherto, the grandest generalization we hav been able to arive at is:

## 1. Vowels as in Italian; <br> 2. Consonants as in English;

so we ar glad to find it stated in the Gramar tha: "Vowels ar sounded as in Italian; but ar always short unles markt with sign of long quantity, thus: do, 'a degree' ; dō, ' a hall'; toru, ' to take'; tōru. 'to pas thru'. The ouly long vowels of comon ocurence ar o and u." .Now, with all due deference to the scolarship displayd in Romanizins Japanese, we regret to see the"tmistake of using diacritic marks to distinguish these two vowels. It wud hav been beter to hav employd the principl of diferentiation, or making a suficient change in the face of the leter. In other words, in the strugl of diacritics $v s$. diferentiation, the latr shud prevail. Geting new shapes by diferentiation is not a novelty. It has gon on in all ages from Cadmus until now: The Cadmean leters wer few. They hav grown chiefly by diferentration, seldom by dracritics. We veliev the principl shud be folod of geting improved shapes by diferentiation. In the present case, insted of distinguishing by $o$ and $\bar{\sigma}$, it shud hav been by $\delta$ and $o, \delta$ becoming $\Theta$ by droping the brev into the leter. In like maner, distinguish $u$, as in voze) by dróping the macron upon $u$. This avoids the disfigurement produst by diacritics. We hope the Romanization Society (Romaji-Kai) wil improve their print by adopting the sugestion. Tōky $\bar{\sigma}$ wil then become Tokyo; and Kjōt $\overline{0}$, Kyoto; (the Tokio and Kioto of our maps.)

Another mistabe we ar very sorry to' see is even the sparing introduction of silent leters. They shud be uterly banisht. Do not soil the virgin purity of the new lan'-guage-dres.by any such sensles stain, born of traditional derivation, for, as time goes.

