

MURDOCK AND MEIGHEN CROSS SWORN HOUSE

MURDOCK CLASHES WITH MEIGHEN DURING DEBATE

Sharp Passage Marks Discussion in Committee Stage of House.

CLAUSES PASS

Two-Thirds of Conditions of Proposed Measure Are Approved.

Ottawa, May 10.—The house spent yesterday's sitting in committee stage of the combines bill. Clause after clause went through, and at adjournment about two-thirds of the clauses had been passed. There was a brief, sharp passage between Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, leader of the opposition, and Hon. James Murdock, minister of labor. It came over appointments by the former government.

"You made some mistakes," said Mr. Murdock.

"I don't think we ever made a greater mistake than when we appointed my honorable friend," retorted Mr. Meighen, with reference to the appointment of Mr. Murdock as a member of the old board of commerce.

On clause four, which provides for the appointment of the registrar, P. F. Casgrain (Liberal, Charlevoix-Montmorency) said, "The registrar chosen for this post should be a lawyer of at least ten years' experience."

There were cries of dissent from various parts of the chamber, and the prime minister said he thought it would be wise to leave that to the discretion of the government in council. The clause then carried.

Clause five of the bill, which provides that any person, resident in Canada, who is of the opinion that a combine exists, may apply to the registrar for an investigation, was amended by substituting "any six persons, British subjects."

Applications Restricted.

A. R. McMaster (Liberal, Iroquois) said he failed to see why the benefit of the law should be restricted to British subjects. He suggested that a United States citizen, whose business interests were in Canada, but who for sentimental reasons had never become a British subject, might wish to avail himself of the law.

Premier King replied that applications were restricted to British subjects more as a measure of safety than anything else. Foreigners, who were not acquainted with our law, might try to invoke the act for reasons of agitation rather than in an effort to gain justice.

He said that the law was not intended to be a "trap" for "any" person, but rather to be a "trap" for "any" person who was willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation. On the suggestion of Mr. Maclellan, clause five was amended to read "any six persons, British subjects."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

The prime minister, however, contended that no unnecessary difficulty should be placed in the way of preliminary proceedings. People who are accustomed to taking an oath might hesitate to take action where otherwise they might do so. Mr. Casgrain suggested that the clause be amended to read "any six persons, British subjects, who are willing to apply on their behalf for an investigation."

Eagle Makes Murderous Attempt To Kill Man In New Ontario Wilds

Canadian Press Despatch.

Port Arthur, May 10.—What is believed to be the first-known case in this section of the country of an eagle actually attacking a man, occurred Monday at Hillsport, 276 miles east of here on the Canadian National Railway, when Oakley Hall, a pump repairman employed by Hume Payne, was attacked. The bird, which measured six feet two inches from tip to tip of the wings when extended, is said to have swooped down on him, pinning its claws in the heavy mackinaw coat at the right shoulder.

Hall managed to secure a grip on the bird's neck, twisting it until life was extinct. In the struggle the eagle maintained its hold on the man's shoulder and after death it was necessary to tear away the cloth to free the bird.

Mr. Hall took the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

Mr. Hall said the dead bird to Hillsport and shipped it to Ottawa to be mounted.

DISMISSES ACTION AGAINST GOVERNMENT

Montreal Judge Sees No Real Grounds For \$125,000 Suit.

Canadian Press Despatch.

Ottawa, May 10.—Judge Audette, in the exchequer court, has dismissed the action of the Montreal Transportation Company Ltd. against the crown for \$125,000 damages alleged to have been sustained by their barge Quebec on the occasion of the disastrous explosion of the government grain elevator at Port Colborne, Ont., in 1919, because of which the owners brought suit claiming that there had been negligence on the part of the elevator officials.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.

Judge Audette states that after carefully considering voluminous evidence he had not been able to find any facts to sustain the charge of negligence. He found, therefore, that the plaintiffs had failed to establish their case and were not entitled to the relief sought. In doing so Judge Audette paid a tribute to the officers of the department of railways and canals who had prepared the case for the crown, stating that the officers and servants of the crown who were called as witnesses gave their evidence in a manner that rebounded to their credit in a very marked degree.



INTERIOR OF WESTMINSTER ABBEY DURING THE ROYAL WEDDING CEREMONY.

The above illustration gives an interesting glimpse into Westminster Abbey during the wedding of the Duke of York and Lady Elizabeth Bowes-Lyon. The scene was one of the most magnificent in the history of Old London. King George, Queen Mary and other members of the royal family are included in the picture taken at the time the actual marriage ceremony was being performed.

CAN USE GOVERNMENT SHIPS ON UPPER LAKES

Lieut. G. Gillespie Conducts Annual Inspection of the Collegiate Cadets.

Canadian Press Despatch.

Ottawa, May 10.—A statement in regard to the sale of 27 of the smaller vessels of the Canadian government merchant marine was made in the House of Commons yesterday by Hon. George P. Graham, minister of railways, in reply to a question by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

The minister stated that the vessels were not suitable for service on the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, and Mr. Graham replied that he was too busy to attend to the matter. He said that the vessels were being used for other purposes.

URGE QUEBEC LAUNCH PUBLICITY CAMPAIGN

Delegation Asks Prime Minister To Give Question Consideration.

Special to The Advertiser.

Quebec, May 10.—The importance for the provincial government to start a publicity campaign in the United States, and even in Europe, was urged yesterday afternoon by a delegation of representatives of railways, tourist agencies, automobile clubs and hotelmen, who waited on the prime minister.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

The delegation was headed by T. L. Church, Conservative, North Toronto, and included Messrs. Power and Tremblay, who are prominent in the shipping business.

SUGGEST DOLLAR TAX ON ADULT IMMIGRANTS

Proposal Attacked by Shipping Officials—Claim It Would Hurt Montreal.

Special to The Advertiser.

Quebec, May 10.—The federal government will study this week the suggestions made by the Quebec Harbor Commission to allow this body to levy a tax of \$1 per adult immigrant landing at this port, and of 50 cents per child.

A conference was held Tuesday afternoon between the minister of marine, Hon. Mr. Lapointe, General Powell, chairman of the harbor commission, General Tremblay, commissioner, and representatives of the shipping companies.

The last named opposed the suggestion in the sense above referred to, claiming that it would be a nominal one, and might affect immigration.

On the other hand Messrs. Power and Tremblay insisted on the fact that the charge was a low one, and at the same time it would allow the harbor commission to carry on more extensive work and make certain improvements.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

The decision, in view of the fact that navigation in the harbor had been opened in the river, was urged by the Quebec Harbor Commission.

BEGIN HIGHWAY WORK AT WOODSTOCK FIRST

Material For Provincial Highway Pavement Now Being Assembled.

Special to The Advertiser.

Woodstock, May 10.—News to the people of Woodstock was received yesterday when it became known that work of paving the new provincial highway between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be started at the Woodstock end of the stretch.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

The stretch between Woodstock and Ingersoll will be the first to be completed.

ISMET DECLARES ALLIES FORCING TURKEY INTO RUIN

Ismet Pasha Objects To Paying the Debt On a Gold Basis.

ASKS CONSIDERATION Greek Foreign Minister Now On Way To Paris At Lausanne.

Associated Press Despatch.

Lausanne, May 10.—Turkey was another day of fruitless debate in the Near Eastern peace conference without decisions; both Turkey and the Allies rigidly maintained their positions on the disputed questions.

Ismet Pasha announced that if Turkey must pay the Ottoman public debt on a gold basis, it would drive Turkey into bankruptcy. He argued that the Allies were about to ask for modifications in the concessions held by their nationals and that both wages and raw materials were soaring; therefore, the Allies should take into consideration the world's financial disorder and authorize payment of the Turkish debt in the present-day Ottoman paper currency.

Ismet complained when it came to "getting something out of Turkey" and said that the Allies were united and determined, but that they never showed the same unanimity and haste when it came to helping Turkey in a crisis.

To this the Allied leaders replied that they had made nothing but concessions to the Turks since the beginning of the conference and that the Turkish loans were secured by contracts with individuals, and any alterations in the terms for payments and interest must be brought about by private arrangements with the bond holders.

The Greek foreign minister, M. Alexandris, will come to Lausanne at an early date to help Mr. Venizelos in his struggle over the question of reparations as between Greece and Turkey, and the long battle on this and other matters on the agenda portends no early termination of the conference.

The Greek foreign minister, M. Alexandris, will come to Lausanne at an early date to help Mr. Venizelos in his struggle over the question of reparations as between Greece and Turkey, and the long battle on this and other matters on the agenda portends no early termination of the conference.

The Greek foreign minister, M. Alexandris, will