General Statement on the 14th November, 1903.

LIABILITIES.		ASSET	18.	
To the Shareholders: Capital paid up	\$2,426,780 00 1,610,185 29 \$4,036,965 29 10,599,544 15	Specie Dominion Notes Bills and cheques on other Banks. Due from other Banks in Canada. Due from other Banks in United Kingdom. Due from other Banks in foreign countries. Dominion and Provincial Government Securities. Canadian Municipal Debentures. Railway and other Bonds and Stocks. Total assets immediately availa Deposits with Dominion Government for security of Bank Note Circulation. Current Loans, Discounts and Advances to the public. Real Estate other than Bank Premises. Mortgages on Real Estate sold by the Bank Loans Overdue, all Loss provided for. Bank Premises and Furniture, in- cluding safes and vaults. Other Assets.	\$ 85,000 00 10,772,325 95 26,180 90 57,944 57 38,757 53 332,166 51 14,899 90	
	\$14,636,509 44			\$11,327,275 36 \$14,636,509 44
	\$14,636,305 44		J. MACKIN	
			General Manager.	

RECENT LEGAL DECISIONS.

MARINE INSURANCE, PREMIUMS PAID THROUGH BROKERS .- In this case the defendant had negotiated an open policy of marine insurance with the Mannheim Insurance Company, covering a cargo shipped to Australia. This was done through certain brokers to whom the insurance company paid a commission for the business. The premiums were then paid monthly by the assured to the brokers, but the latter failed to pay over certain of them to the company. This course of dealing continued for some time and various letters were written by the company to the brokers requesting payment, and threatening that if payment was not made they would notify the assured that payments to the brokers would not be acknowledged. The brokers having in the end made an assignment for the benefit of their creditors the company sought to recover \$507.96 of premiums from the assured. It was held by a District Court in New York State that the company having recognized the brokers as their agents for the collection of the premiums, was not entitled to recover from the assured payments made to such brokers and not remitted by them. (Mannheim v. Chipman, 124 Federal Reporter 950.)

MARINE INSURANCE, ACTION ON BINDING SLIP.—
An accepted application for marine insurance on a binding slip constitutes a contract of insurance which will support an action to recover for a loss.

In the action in question the application for insurance on a cargo was made on a printed form supplied by the company, and contained a provision that the insurance was subject to the conditions on the company's printed form of policy, which among others insured ships "lost or not lost." The application was dated November 4, and was presented to the company on that day by a broker representing the applicants. It contained a statement that the ship had not sailed. On December 12 the applicants received a letter dated December 3, that the ship would clear on that day, and the brokers applied to have the insurance made binding. The company changed the date to December 12, and signed the binding slip. The ship had sailed on December 4, and was wrecked on the 7th, but this was not known to the assured. Under these circumstances it was held in New York State, that the statement in the application that the ship had not sailed was not a warranty that she hd not sailed on the 12th, but that she had not on the 4th when the application was dated, and that having made no inquiry whether she had since sailed, the company must be deemed to have regarded the fact as immaterial, in view of the form of the policy used, and was bound by the contract, the slip not being at the time over due. (Kerr v. Union Marine Insurance Company, 124 Federal Reporter 835.)