

thing for seven or eight years, and am still trying to do it, *and I think I am just about to give it up.*

The Solicitor-General: *You give me much comfort.*

Doctor Wiley: Now, in fermentation, an ester is *never* produced directly by fermentation, is it?

Mr. Schidrowitz: I should think you get *a good deal of esters* during the fermentation.

Doctor Wiley: Then you have really no positive chemical knowledge that those esters are derived altogether from ethyl alcohol, formed through ageing?

Mr. Schidrowitz: It comes from what is called circumstantial evidence and not direct evidence.

Doctor Wiley: It seems to *me* the circumstantial evidence is *all the other way.*

Mr. Schidrowitz: *I do not agree.*

Doctor Wiley: That is a question for the Solicitor-General.

The Solicitor-General: THAT BEING SO, I WILL NOT TRY TO MAKE UP MY MIND.¹

Doctor Wiley: I am not speaking of what is *not* WHISKY. That is no concern to me at all, *because the definition* of WHISKY includes only those things which are volatile at the temperatures at which the WHISKY is made.

Mr. Schidrowitz: *Whose* definition?

Doctor Wiley: You will agree with me that the substances which are in WHISKY are *only those* which are *volatile at the temperature at which WHISKY is made*, and not at higher temperatures?

Mr. Schidrowitz: The substances in *any particular* WHISKY are obviously those which will volatilize *at the temperature used* in the manufacture of *that particular* WHISKY.²

The Solicitor-General: Is there any distinction * * * between adding *more* of something that is a *simple constituent of the article itself*, and adding something that *previously* is *not contained in the article at all*?

¹The Solicitor-General evidently had in mind—"Who shall decide when Doctors disagree?"

²See Doctor Wiley's testimony. (Chapter XII—questions 9, 22-26).