

The St. John Standard

THE MARITIME ADVERTISING AGENCY, LIMITED, PUBLISHERS.

52 Prince William Street, St. John, N. B., Canada.

Representative:

Henry DeTurcq, Chicago
Louis Kiehahn, New York
Frank Calder, Montreal
Freeman & Co., London, Eng.

Subscription Rates:

City Delivery \$1.00 per year
By Mail in Canada \$1.00 per year
By Mail in U. S. \$1.00 per year
(Ago measurement.)

ST. JOHN, N. B. FRI DAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1922.

THE GOVERNMENT'S RECORD.

The Hon. Walter Foster spent a pleasant half hour on Wednesday evening explaining to the free and independent electors of Glen Falls and neighborhood some of the mysteries of high finance as practiced by himself and his colleagues in the Government. Unfortunately the report of his remarks is too scanty to be of much benefit to persons who were not able to be present, but the reader is able to gather from the report that the Premier was kept on the defensive from start to finish, although he boldly announced that he would welcome a thorough discussion of the record of the Government. Well, he's got it in due time.

The Premier said his Government would be charged with needless expenditure, but he took particularly good care when attempting to justify this expenditure to refer only to one item, and that one the increased amount spent on education. The item of all others that there is likely to be no complaint made about. No one objects to expenditure, within reason, on education, and he knows quite well that this item will cost for no justification. But it is the only item that comes in that category.

The hon. gentleman must surely have been wrongly reported when he said that the large annual outlay on the roads all come from automobile taxes. That is not the story the financial statement tells, anyway.

In the light of some criticisms made by Mr. Foster on the last financial statement of the late Government, for the year 1916, the tenor of his remarks Wednesday evening was rather flippant. We are about to quote now from the Premier's criticism published in the Daily Telegraph on January 4th 1917. He referred to the charges for interest which in that year 1916 amounted to \$351,000.49 exclusive of interest on the Valley Railway. He was alarmed at the prospect which lay in front of the province. Yet he evinced no alarm today, when after five years of his control of affairs the interest charges, without the Valley Railway, amount to \$759,175.82. More than double the sum he was alarmed about.

"Executive Government cost us the tidy sum of \$46,853.89. Taxpayers may judge for themselves whether it is worth it." Executive Government under Mr. Foster cost \$70,191.66. Do the taxpayers think present day style of Government is worth the additional \$50,000?

"Printing, \$16,918.31. A peep behind the curtain showing the destination of this amount, would probably explain the satisfaction expressed in some quarters for the state of affairs, which year after year shows large deficits and expenditure for which the people are not receiving adequate returns." In the light of the record of the Foster Government, such a criticism is rich indeed. Mr. Foster's printing bill is \$26,457.50, \$10,000 more than the amount he complained of. Of course he has shown no deficits, nor expenditures "for which the people are not receiving adequate return." Oh, not "Administration of Justice" cost \$28,518.95, a costly portion of which, as usual, was paid to friends of the Attorney-General to perform duties for which he draws his salary." Under Mr. Foster \$55,930.11 was spent under this head, again an increase of over \$10,000.

"Permanent Bridges are charged with \$547,109.58. The charge to this account year after year must in the aggregate total a great amount, and one would almost imagine that the province must be "permanently bridged." Yet, in the five years Mr. Foster's party has been in charge of affairs, the Minister of Public Works has managed to expend no less than \$2,346,892 for permanent bridges in this province that the Premier thought was permanently bridged in 1916! Mr. Foster spent \$706,393 on such bridges last year alone.

The bonded indebtedness of the province was a source of great concern to Mr. Foster in 1915, and he told that the province was on the verge of bankruptcy and ruin at that time. Now the figures quoted in his critique of January 4th 1917, the debt was \$15,515,416. Today the amount is \$22,571,422. But Mr. Foster's critics no longer care.

Concluding Mr. Foster said: "Such is the position in which our provincial finances stand after eight years of the present administration's rule. Of course there will be the usual excuses and explanations, but these three items will serve to prove to the average tax-payer, just how much the Government's motto and emblem, the Globe or Star is printed on it.

THE STANDARD, ST. JOHN, N. B., FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1922.

WHAT OTHERS SAY

For More Effective Voting.

(Ottawa Citizen.) The opinion is expressed that Mr. Macneigh may be found advocating a proportional representation system throughout Canada, having certainly had a lesson in the last election results.

They were worried in time Mr. Mackenzie King spoke in favor of proportional representation, so far as I can see, a juster system than one we have at the present time, in that it gives the electors, whether or not a minority or a majority, a fair share of representation in parliament, where their views can be aired; and it seems to me that it also gives greater freedom in that it allows the greatest possible latitude to every citizen in expressing his views of who shall represent him in parliament, because of the fact that it is unrepresented by many of the leading politicians of all parties in Great Britain. Appropriately, the bitter opponents of a more effective voting method were almost without exception left at the bottom of the poll last December. Perhaps they may appreciate the irony of it.

Only 50 Conservative members were elected in Nova Scotia, although the total vote cast for Conservatives amounted to 97,500.

The Liberals took 117 seats with a total vote of 293,736. Even more disturbing to the Progressive opponents of P. R. is the Progressive total of 68 members, although the Progressive vote amounted to 194,588—that is, 269,118 less than the Conservative total.

Failure of Conservative minorities to secure air representation in Quebec and several other provinces should further tend to encourage a more intelligent interest in P. R. when it comes before the House again.

The whole of the seats in the province of Quebec were taken by the Liberal party, with a total vote of 585,068. The Progressive total was 10,000, amounting to 176, failed to secure one representative from Quebec.

The result in Nova Scotia is even more disproporionate. The Liberal party took all 18 seats in Nova Scotia, with 188,004 Liberal votes. The Conservative total of 87,898 might just as well have been dropped into Bedford Basin as into the ballot box.

Each of the other four parties had a seat in the new House of Commons.

The Progressive votes in Nova Scotia, amounting to 86,741 were similarly ineffective. A fair proportion, in accordance with total votes cast in Nova Scotia, would have given the Liberal party 9 seats, the Conservative party 6, and the Progressive party 2 seats.

In Prince Edward Island, the Liberal party took all 4 seats, though total votes cast were 18,000.

Acting totals were Liberal, 58,000; Conservatives, 18,000; Progressives, 8,000. Even though the Progressive votes were added to the Liberals, the Conservatives in Prince Edward Island would still be entitled to one seat at least.

It would, perhaps, be somewhat amazing to find in the next redistribution in Canada that the next redistribution in the new House of Commons is so that no constituency would elect fewer than three members. But proportional representation could well be introduced into the more compact constituencies, the cities especially, so that the minority of Conservatives in Montreal would secure at least one vote in the House, and the minority of Liberals in Toronto likewise at least one.

The single transferable vote, in place of the present method of marking X's, would ensure that minorities in every constituency would be represented. It has been estimated by Mr. Ronald Hooper, sec. secretary of the Proportional Representation Society of Canada that minorities in between 10 to 20 constituents needed to represent, owing to conditions in the multi-member constituencies with more than two candidates nominated for each seat.

Mr. Hooper's reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Phillips' criticism "requires no comment," says Mr. Ross. "This is worse even than we thought, for Mr. Phillips surely should have learned something at any rate about the matter in the course of his connection with it."

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.

Mr. Ross' reply merely emphasizes what we have all along contended for, namely, that the city authorities should not be satisfied with the reports of the Government engineers who are responsible for the construction of the plant. They are interested parties; and although the very last thing we would want to do would be to suggest that they are wilfully overstating the possibilities of their plant, we do venture to doubt whether they are sufficiently conversant with the public which is wholly unversed in these technical matters.