

Government Orders

Tremblay (Rimouski—Témiscouata)
Valéri
Venne
Walker
Whelan
Wood

Ur
Vanclief
Volpe
Wayne
Williams
Young—172

PAIRED MEMBERS

Anawak
Bachand
Barnes
Bergeron
Bernier (Mégantic—Compton—Stanstead)
Bouchard
Brown (Oakville—Milton)
Cauchon
Chan
Crête
Davault
Dingwall
Duceppe
Gagnon (Bonaventure—Îles-de-la-Madeleine)
Godin
Guay
Jacob
Lalonde
Lavigne (Verdun—Saint-Paul)
Leblanc (Longueuil)
Lincoln
MacAulay
Maheu
Martin (Lasalle—Émard)
Mercier
Pagtakhan
Paré
Payne
Scott (Fredericton—York—Sunbury)

Asselin
Bakopanos
Bélisle
Bernier (Gaspé)
Bertrand
Brien
Canuel
Chamberlain
Chrétien (Frontenac)
Dalphond—Guiral
Debien
Discepola
Finestone
Godfrey
Graham
Guimond
Keyes
Langlois
Lebel
Lefebvre
Loubier
Maclaren
Marchand
Ménard
Ouellet
Paradis
Patri
Robillard
St. Denis

• (1735)

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Kilger): I declare Motion No. 1 lost.

[Translation]

The next question is on Motion No. 2.

Mr. Boudria: Mr. Speaker, if you were to seek it, I believe that you would find unanimous consent in the House to apply the vote just completed on Motion No. 1 to Motion No. 2.

Mr. Laurin: Mr. Speaker, the Bloc members will vote nay.

[English]

Mr. Ringma: All right thinking Reformers will also vote no.

Mr. Solomon: Mr. Speaker, all New Democrats present in the House this afternoon will be voting yea on this motion.

Mrs. Wayne: Mr. Speaker, all the PCers will be voting nay.

Mr. Bhaduria: Mr. Speaker, I will be voting against this motion.

Mr. Baker: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I presume you will have me down as voting in favour of the motion. That is exactly what I want, and in case any other members want to do the same thing they are free to do so.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Kilger): In response to the intervention by the hon. member for Gander—Grand Falls, the vote just taken on Motion No. 1 will be applied to Motion No. 2. Is that agreed?

Mr. Ianno: Mr. Speaker, on this motion I would like to vote contrary to what I did on the last vote.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Kilger): The Chair will need some clarification from the hon. member for Trinity—Spadina. Could he could be more specific? Is he voting yea or nay?

Mr. Ianno: I am voting for the amendment. I am voting yea.

• (1740)

Miss Grey: Mr. Speaker, I have a question. I know we are applying votes in the interest of saving time and that is great. However I am wondering about the members who leave.

There were members who voted on the first one. Will their names automatically appear? Who knows who has left? Can they leave after one vote and then just have their names on the list for the rest of the night?

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Kilger): In response to the hon. member for Beaver River, unless we have a very definite way of doing things such as through a roll call, the Chair assumes that members would be staying for the subsequent vote that would be applied.

Mr. Nunziata: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. It is a fundamental principle of the House, and you are the guardian of the principles of the House, that in order to be recorded as voting on a matter the member's presence is required in the House.

Is the hon. member opposite indicating that certain members left the House?

An hon. member: That is right.

Mr. Nunziata: Then I do not see, Mr. Speaker, how you can apply a previously held vote to this vote when certain members have left.

If you take that position, I would suggest that you are setting an unfortunate precedent and that in future when this type of approach is taken to multiple votes in the House it would be open for any member to leave the House once the first vote is taken and to have his or her vote applied to each and every other vote taken in the House.

I would ask that you reconsider that position.