

Government Orders

for them to once again concentrate around the golden horseshoe in Toronto.

The other aspect that I want to speak about, and one that has not been talked about so far this morning, is the impact of cable TV. Now one may think that it is a luxury. Those members of the House who live in the major metropolitan areas say: "We do not need cable. We just turn on the TV and there is the signal". In northern communities there is no such thing as off-air signals. Residents have to rely on cable if they are in an organized area. It is much more expensive to provide that service because it is a smaller market, and yet they are now being taxed at a greater percentage so that their cost is even higher. They get their news through those channels, whether it is on Cancom in terms of the four Canadian channels that are available or *NewsWorld* which is now a service of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, supposedly available to all Canadians, but is not because some have not picked it up. When the Yukon picks it up it is going to cost them that much more because it is already a high cost to deliver the signal and to distribute it.

The tax is wrong. I support my colleague's move to, at the very least, exempt northern residents, those defined by the Income Tax Act, from this extra burden. I do hope, like my colleagues have said before, that the members opposite will seriously consider supporting this amendment because it will send a signal to northern Canadians that perhaps somebody is listening.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is the House ready for the question?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): The question is on Motion No. 1 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Yukon. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those in favour of the notion please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those opposed please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five Members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), the recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

• (1230)

Motions Nos. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16, standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Calgary Northeast will be grouped together for debate. A vote on Motion No. 3, will be applied to all other motions in the group that I have just listed.

Mr. Alex Kindy (Calgary Northeast) moved:

Motion No. 3.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 2.

Motion No. 6.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 4.

Motion No. 7.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 5.

Motion No. 8.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 6.

Motion No. 9.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 7.

Motion No. 12.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 9.

Motion No. 13.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 10.

Motion No. 14.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 11.

Motion No. 15.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 12.

Motion No. 16.

That Bill C-20 be amended by deleting Clause 13.

He said: Mr. Speaker, first I would like to say that the Minister of Finance when he brought in his budget said that we have been overtaxed in the past.

I would like to say that when our government took over in 1984-85 the manufacturers' sales tax was at 9 per cent. Now with this new bill you would bring it up to 13.5 per cent. This is penalizing our manufacturers. It has penalized them because they have to export to compete. This bill is making them less competitive and therefore manufacturers will not be able to sell our products abroad. I would like to quote the minister when he was