ary of The St. Lawrence Seaway Authority, advises as follows: 1.

	M 1 01	M b. 91	March 91	March 31
	March 31	March 31	March 31 1972	1974
	1968	1970	1972	1974
(a)	18	18	18	19
(b)	\$122,615	\$146,302	\$167,570	\$202,807
(c) I	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
II	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
III	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY AUTHORITY EMPLOYEES

Question No. 796-Mr. Stevens:

1. As of March 31 in each year 1968, 1970, 1972 and 1974 (a) how many were employed by the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority (b) what would have been their aggregate salaries if all were employed for a full year (c) how many had salary levels of (i) \$20,000 or more (ii) \$35,000 or more?

2. What was the salary or the salary range for each of the five highest paid employees of the Authority?

Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport): The St. Lawrence Seaway Authority advises as follows: 1.

	1968	1970	1972	1974
(a) (b)	1,708 \$12,620,000	1,609 \$14,308,000	1,622 \$19,384,000	1,550 \$17,723,000
(c) (i)	10	22	39	65
(ii)	0	0	. 1	1
(iii)	0	0	0	0

2. Salary

\$26,500-29,000	\$28,000-33,000	\$34,000-40,000	\$35,750-43,750	
21,000-27,250	23,000-29,000	28,000-35,000	26,750-36,500	
21,000-27,250	23,000-29,000	25,000-31,000	26,750-36,500	
19,000-24,750	21,000-26,000	25,000-31,000	26,750-36,500	
19,000-24,750	21,000-26,000	25,000-31,000	26,750-36,500	

DAMAGES CLAIMED BY IMPORTERS AGAINST THE TORONTO CUSTOMS OFFICE

Question No. 849-Mr. Stollery:

1. What damages were claimed by importers against the main Toronto Customs Office for goods while they were in customs for the calendar years 1972, 1973 and 1974 to date?

2. What damages were actually paid by the Customs Office on these claims?

Order Paper Questions

Hon. Ron Basford (Minister of National Revenue): 1 and 2.

Year	Damage claimed \$	Amount paid \$	
1972	30.00	30.00	
1973	2,325,50	2,325.50	
1974 (to date)	900.00	Nil	

* *

OUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

NAMES OF ADVERTISING AGENCIES IN BRITISH COLUMBIA RETAINED BY GOVERNMENT

Question No. 8-Mr. Reynolds:

What are the names of all advertising agencies used in British Columbia by the government or any Crown corporation?

Return tabled.

*INCREASE IN NUMBER OF SENIOR OFFICERS IN FEDERAL SERVICE

Question No. 197-Mr. Orlikow:

By department, what was the increase in the number of senior officers, SX1, SX2, SX3, SX4, for each year from 1968 to 1973, or in the case of new departments, commissions, agencies, etc. from their inception to 1973?

Return tabled.

Mr. Cossitt: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I have had on the order paper for some length of time, approximately two months, certain questions in respect of the appointment of Mr. Michael Pitfield, certain questions in respect of Mr. Ivan Head, certain questions in respect of the Prime Minister's personal and political travels at public expense and also questions in respect of the operation in general of the Prime Minister's office. I feel that after a period of two months I am justified in rising on a point of order to ask why this information is delayed and whether the parliamentary secretary will give some assurance that it will be forthcoming shortly.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Before commencing the oral question period may I say that the Minister of Labour (Mr. Munro) has given the Chair notice of his intention to make a correction in *Hansard* relating to an answer the minister made on Friday last in which the minister I think made reference to one member and intended to refer to another.