Proceedings on Adjournment Motion they wanted.

That is why at one time, people were not concerned with these problems of abortion and homosexuality. During the election campaign, our major issue was to object to abortion. So we are consistent with ourselves because we have been given the mandate to object to the abortion legislation. We abide by it, and we take-

[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. Again I caution the hon. member that he is going beyond the specific amendment that is before the house. In any event, it is five o'clock.

Mr. Gilbert: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. In keeping with the wisdom and restraint of the New Democratic Party during this debate, I respectfully suggest to hon. members that we dispense with private members' hour and continue with this debate so we can finish it, complete the bill tomorrow and start with new business on Monday morning. I am sure that we have the co-operation of all members of the house.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Apparently there is no unanimous consent.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I have the honour to inform the house that a message has been received from the Senate informing this House that the Senate have passed Bill C-165, an act to amend the Income Tax Act and the Estate Tax Act, without any amendment.

• (5:00 p.m.)

[Mr. Matte.]

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the house that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: The hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles)—Post Office Department—request for return of six-day mail delivery and coun-

amendments that would give the guarantees refineries to obey court order; the hon. member for Shefford (Mr. Rondeau)-Canadian Broadcasting Corporation—"Don Messer's Jubilee", replacement by Canadian folklore program.

> It being five o'clock the house will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely, private bills.

PRIVATE BILLS

AETNA CASUALTY COMPANY OF CANADA

The house resumed, from Friday, February 7 consideration of the motion of Mr. Wahn for the second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs of Bill S-11, to incorporate Aetna Casualty Company of Canada.

Mr. Mark Rose (Fraser Valley West): Mr. Speaker, I think that the position of the N.D.P. on this kind of private member's bill is well known, especially to the various sponsors of like bills on the government side of the house. This is the third time this bill has been before us for consideration and a great many of the members of our party have spoken on it at considerable length. I think that the words of the hon. member for Broadview (Mr. Gilbert) were certainly worthy of our consideration, and I might, with your permission, paraphrase some of them during my discussion of the bill today. Perhaps the most outstanding speech on this bill was made by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Howard), a colleague of mine from British Columbia. His remarks have given us all a great deal of inspiration and cause to consider where this sort of activity is leading us so far as the direction of our financial institutions is concerned.

I feel that the bill before us is another example of a United States company attempting to get into that very lucrative, legalized racket, the insurance industry in Canada. This measure, which originated in the other place, is an attempt to have this company incorporated as the Aetna Casualty Company of Canada. We note with interest that it is a direct subsidiary of a United States company whose home office is in Hartford, Connecticut. It is well known that this company, under another name, has been doing business in Canada for a great number of years in the life insurance field. Now it wishes to expand into a great variety of other types of insuter service the hon. member for Waterloo rance coming under the heading of general (Mr. Saltsman)—Combines—failure by sugar insurance. I notice that the company would