

was introduced last night, that instead of a bare majority of voters three-fifths of the number of the voters who vote shall cast their votes in favor of the local prohibition in order to bring the clause into effect. The amendment is practically the same thing as the clause was in its original form, for I think it will be admitted that three-fifths of the votes polled will be about the same as a majority of the voters' list; but I think the expression of opinion which was given in this House last night as to the danger in the interest of temperance itself of allowing a mere accidental majority of voters polled, to make prohibitory enactment operative, will cause this amendment to be adopted.

Amendment (Mr. White) agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS :		
Messieurs		
Amyot,	Dodd,	McDougald,
Baker (Victoria),	Dugas,	McNeill,
Barnard,	Farrow,	Massue,
Beaty,	Ferguson (Leeds & Gren.)	Mitchell,
Bell,	Ferguson (Welland),	Orton,
Benoit,	Girouard (Jacq. Cartier)	Quimet,
Benson,	Girouard (Kent),	Patterson (Essex),
Bergeron,	Gordon,	Pinsonneault,
Bergin,	Grandbois,	Pope,
Billy,	Guilbault,	Richey,
Blondeau,	Haggart,	Riopel,
Bowell,	Hawkins,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Brecken,	Hickey,	Scott,
Cameron (Inverness),	Homer,	Shakespeare,
Cameron (Victoria),	Hurteau,	Small,
Campbell (Victoria),	Kilvert,	Smyth,
Carling,	Kinney,	Sutherland (Oxford),
Caron,	Kraus,	Tassé,
Cimon,	Labrosse,	Taylor,
Costigan,	Langevin,	Tilley,
Coughlin,	Lesage,	Tyrwhitt,
Coursol,	Livingstone,	Vanasse,
Curran,	Macdonald (King's),	Wallace (York),
Cuthbert,	Macdonald (Sir John),	White (Cardwell),
Daly,	McDonald (C. Breton),	Williams,
Daoust,	Mackintosh,	Wood (Brockville),
Dawson,	Macmillan (Middlesex),	Wood (Westmoreland),
De Beaujeu,	McMillan (Vaudreuil),	Woodworth, and
Desaulniers,	McCarthy,	Wright.—88.
Dickinson,		

NAYS :		
Messieurs		
Allison,	Fleming,	McCraney,
Armstrong,	Forbes,	McIntyre,
Auger,	Foster,	McMullen,
Bain,	Fréchette,	Méthot,
Bécharde,	Geoffrion,	Montplaisir,
Bernier,	Gigault,	O'Brien,
Blake,	Gillmor,	Paint,
Fourassa,	Guillet,	Paterson (Brant)
Burpee (Sunbury),	Gunn,	Pickard,
Campbell (Renfrew),	Hackett,	Platt,
Casey,	Hall,	Reid,
Casgrain,	Harley,	Robertson (Shelburne),
Catudal,	Holton,	Ross (Middlesex),
Cochrane,	Innes,	Royal,
Davies,	Jamieson,	Scriver,
De St. Georges,	Keefer,	Somerville (Brant),
Desjardins,	Kirk,	Somerville (Bruce),
Dundas,	Landerkin,	Springer,
Dupont,	Landry,	Thompson,
Fairbank,	Lister,	Trow, and
Fisher,	McMillan (Huron),	Vail.—63.

Bill recommitted and reported.

On motion for third reading,

Mr. BAKER (Victoria) moved :

That the Bill be re-committed to a Committee of the Whole, in order to add the following as clause five to section forty-two of the said Act:—
In the Province of British Columbia the provisions of clause one shall not apply until after a period of three years from the passage of this Act; but in the meantime the number of such licenses shall not exceed in number one for every two hundred of the first seven thousand of the population, and one for each five hundred over that number.

Amendment (Mr. Baker, Victoria) negatived on the following division:—

Mr. WHITE (Cardwell).

YEAS :		
Messieurs		
Amyot,	Dickinson,	Massue,
Baker (Victoria),	Dodd,	Orton,
Barnard,	Dugas,	Patterson (Essex),
Beaty,	Fréchette,	Reid,
Bell,	Girouard (Jacq. Cartier)	Riopel,
Benson,	Grandbois,	Shakespeare,
Bergin,	Guilbault,	Smyth,
Billy,	Hall,	Tassé,
Cameron (Inverness),	Hickey,	Taylor,
Cameron (Victoria),	Kilvert,	Tyrwhitt,
Coursol,	Mackintosh,	Vanasse,
Daoust,	McMillan (Vaudreuil),	Williams, and
De Beaujeu,	McNeill,	Wood (Brockville).—40.
Desaulniers,		

NAYS :		
Messieurs		
Armstrong,	Fairbank,	McMillan (Huron),
Auger,	Farrow,	McCarthy,
Bain,	Fisher,	McCraney,
Bécharde,	Fleming,	McMullen,
Benoit,	Forbes,	Méthot,
Bergeron,	Foster,	Montplaisir,
Bernier,	Geoffrion,	O'Brien,
Blake,	Gigault,	Paint,
Blondeau,	Gillmor,	Paterson (Brant),
Bourassa,	Girouard (Kent),	Pickard,
Bowell,	Gordon,	Pinsonneault,
Brecken,	Guillet,	Platt,
Burpee (Sunbury),	Gunn,	Pope,
Campbell (Renfrew),	Harley,	Richey,
Campbell (Victoria),	Hawkins,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Carling,	Holton,	Robertson (Shelburne),
Caron,	Homer,	Ross (Middlesex),
Casey,	Hurteau,	Scott,
Casgrain,	Innes,	Scriver,
Catudal,	Jamieson,	Small,
Cimon,	Keefer,	Somerville (Brant),
Cochrane,	Kinney,	Somerville (Bruce),
Costigan,	Kirk,	Springer,
Coughlin,	Labrosse,	Sutherland (Oxford),
Curran,	Landerkin,	Thompson,
Cuthbert,	Landry,	Tilley,
Daly,	Langevia,	Trow,
Davies,	Lesage,	Vail,
Dawson,	Lister,	Wallace (York),
De St. Georges,	Livingstone,	Wood (Westmoreland) and
Dundas,	Macdonald (King's),	Woodworth.—95.
Dupont,	Macmillan (Middlesex),	

Mr. CAMERON (Victoria) moved :

That the Bill be recommitted to a Committee of the Whole, to amend the same by providing that sub-section 6 of section 46 be expunged, and the following substituted therefor:—

The votes of the electors shall be taken by ballot in the manner provided by "The Canada Temperance Act, 1878," and the several clauses thereof under the headings "The Poll"—"Scrutiny"—"Penalties"—"Preservation of the Peace"—"General Provisions"—"Prevention of Corrupt Practices"—and "Penalties and punishments generally" shall be read and construed as part of this Act, except where inconsistent with any of the provisions herein contained.

Mr. BLAKE. Will the hon. gentleman explain whether all these clauses will harmonize with the clauses contained in the Bill.

Mr. CAMERON (Victoria). I have looked carefully over the provisions of the Scott Act or Canada Temperance Act which are embodied in the motion, and I see nothing inharmonious in them or that cannot be properly worked by adopting all the provisions of the Scott Act which I have enumerated, and which cover all the machinery for taking a vote by ballot and all the other accompanying safeguards. I have embraced in that amendment everything in the Scott Act which is applicable, and I see nothing which cannot be worked satisfactorily.

Mr. BLAKE. It does not conflict with any of the remaining provisions.

Mr. CAMERON (Victoria). I have added the words "where not inconsistent with anything in this Act." There is nothing inconsistent that I am aware of, but if there should turn out to be any different machinery in any respect provided—inasmuch as this clause introduced by