As to the question of laches, it appears that, in respect of the machine in question, Petrie complied with the provisions of sec. 1 of R. S. O. 1897 ch. 149, "An Act respecting Conditional Sales of Chattels," by having affixed to the machine a stamp bearing his name and address. This was notice to the world of his title to the chattel, and, so long as it remained so affixed, nothing more happening, it was a continuous assertion of title in Petrie, and preserved his rights.

There is no evidence of conduct on the part of Petrie or of the defendant company doing away with the effect given by the statute to compliance with its provisions. What is laches being a question of fact, and here there being no evidence whatever of laches, but, on the contrary, evidence wholly disproving laches, the third ground of appeal fails.

Appeal dismissed with costs.