

to make a Bacteriological examination for Foul Brood of any material that may be sent to me, and of course, such examinations to be, if necessary, strictly confidential. You may add this or not, just as you think fit, but it struck me that some bee-keepers might have compunctions about letting others know that they had Foul Brood in their apiaries.

Yours very truly,

J. C. HARRISON.

R. F. Holtermann, Esq.,
Brantford.

Beekeepers will be pleased to avail themselves of Mr. Harrison's kind offer. Samples should be sent postage, or charges prepaid, and in such a manner that contents are not likely to break or leak out. We would suggest cutting a piece 4x4 inches, slipping the piece in a folded section placing a piece of paste board over each side and then wrapping securely with paper. Only a few cells of honey should be sent.

* * *

Mr. Orel L. Hershisser in a letter mentions the Annual Meeting of the United States Bee-Keepers' Union. He writes—
"Do not forget the United States Bee-Keepers' Union, that meets in this city next summer. We expect to and very much desire to entertain a large delegation of our Canadian cousins." Mr. Hershisser who is vice-president of the Society and resides at Buffalo, may rest assured if the convention is set for a convenient time many will be there. After August 25th and until the close of the fairs some of us will, however, be too busy with these duties to attend.

* * *

Geo. Wood, Erasmus, Ont., would like to secure a good copy of August 1896 C.B.J.

He would be willing to

August 1896 pay 25c. for it, we have

C. B. J. none to spare. If any

of our readers can

supply him, would they drop Mr. Wood a card.

Chard, Ont., May 4th 1897.

Editor Canadian Bee Journal,

Brantford, Ont.

DEAR SIR—I enclose herewith a clipping from The Montreal Daily Star of April 30, 1897, which will explain itself. Hoping it may find a place in the Canadian Bee Journal, to show that the trade in adulterated honey is carried on to quite an extent in Canada.

ADULTERATED HONEY — THREE GROCERS
FINED FOR SELLING IT.

Judge Dugas this morning heard three cases of parties accused of selling adulterated honey. The persons accused were L. P. Forest, 1978 St. Catharine street, J. F. Fraptier, 2150 St. James street and Lamoreux & Co., 188 Amherst street. Mr. Roy appeared for the accused, while Mr J.M. Furguson prosecuted for the Departement of Inland Revenue Judge Dugas over-ruled the defence raised in each case, which was that the parties sold in good faith, and not knowing of the adulteration, which being cane sugar and glucose was not injurious to the health and beneficial rather than otherwise.

The court held that the public must be protected and had a right to know what they were buying. It was well known that adulteration of articles of food was extensively practised in Montreal and it must be stopped. However, as the defendants appeared to have acted in good faith, he would impose the minimum fine of five dollars and costs in each case."

I think I once heard someone in Ontario say that the people of Quebec would take it for granted that anything a bee looked at was good honey. I do not live in Quebec, but I fear that idea would not stand investigation. As far as I am aware the people of Quebec are now to the fore in prosecuting the guilty parties.

Now a word to the honey producers of Ontario. Keep your eyes open, be on the look out for frauds, and you will soon find them, for we have them here too, and when you find them spare them not.

W. J. BROWN

[Please note friend Brown it is not Quebec but the Dominion Government at Ottawa which is doing the prosecuting. Thank goodness the action of the Dominion Government set on foot last fall is resulting in this. Be we "Grit" or "Tory" beekeepers, we want a government of action and may the good work in our interest continue.—Ed.]