chairman. gained by long familiarity with the Chatham, Toronto, and other places. position to bear in his management filled the position, at a great sacrifice of his valuable time, and we are sure the knowledge that every show under his presidency has been a success, and that fanciers appreciate his services, will be very gratifying to him. He can rest assured that the words used in presenting to him the gold-headed cane could but feebly express the respect in which he is held by all fanciers who know him, and are aware of the magnitude of his services to the society. The REVIEW pays its tribute of respect to

ıl.

ρd

in

11

1

٠,

EXHIBITION OF STRATFORD AND SEAFORTH POULTRY ASSOCI-ATIONS.

integrity, ability, and worth.

congratulated on the success of their joint show, held in the City Hall, Stratford, on the 26th to 29th January. The entries reached about 750, and the excellent we have seldom seen all fatal. One unfortunate exhibitor preclasses represented by such fine specidicted that it would not be long before mens. The City Hall is an excellent room for a poultry show, and gave ample room for a good display of the to vls. It is welt lighted by large windows on three sides, and several large stoves kept the temperature at a suitable degree for the health and comfort of the birds. The coops were arranged to say that the judging all through was in several double rows in two tiers, across the hall, and around the walls where space was available; the pigeons and bantams occupied the stage at one end, while the gallery at the other afforded an excellent place for the disposal of the shipping hampers. The attendance of visitors was very good on each day, and the receipts from this source must have been very satisfactory to the officers.

Seaforth fanciers sent in about 150 | Dorkings and Games. birds, and large entries were received Stevens was not so much at home in from London, Ingersoll, Woodstock, judging these varieties as the others.

bringing the experience Lucan, Norwich, Mitchell, Listowel,

The breeding pens were quite a feaand rulings. For four years he has ture of the show, there being 28 in all; Plymouth Rocks leading in number with 9 pens, White Leghorns next with 4. Brown Leghorns with 3, while there was competition in all but four vari

> The birds were scored by Abel F. Stevens, of Massachusetts, who worked faithfully from early morning until darkness made further work impossible. We never saw a judge more diligent in his work, and we never saw one who so applied the disqualification strictly clauses. Thirteen out of the 21 Light Brahmas shown were disqualified. mostly for black in feathers of back.

long and careful search that the owners succeeded in finding the disqualifying The above associations are to be feather, which, in some instances, did not show a spot of dark color larger than a pea. In Black Hamburgs and Brown Leghorns the disqualifications were also numerous, the slightest sign quality of the stock throughout was of gray or white in plumage proving

and in many cases it was only after a

neither the judges' nor exhibitors' outfit would be complete without a microscope. Exhibitors in most cases ac-

The show of Pigeons and pet stock was not very large, but the quality was pretty good. In Pouters there were several very fine pairs; one pair of Runts, of which the cock was particularly fine: Antwerps (long faced) 2 pairs, fine in face, but off in color; several fine pairs of Homing Antwerps, with records of flights attached to cages: Turbits and Fantails completed the list worthy of mention. There were several cages of song birds, parrots, etc., and a few pair of fine lop-eared rabbits.

The arrangement of the show was good in every particular. The birds were well attended to, and the officers and members of the association succeeded in making visitors feel very much at home during their stay in Stratford. We hope the exhibition will prove as great a success financially as it was otherwise.

PRIZE LIST.

Brahmas—Light Brahma Cock—J. Finch, Seaforth, 92; R. B. Ferguson, Listowel, 89; L. Light Brahma hen—R. B. Ferguson, 00:1-2. Dark cock—J. M. Macpherson, 92:1-2; A. J. Wilson, Seaforth, 92. Dark hen—J. M. Macpherson 95:1-2; A. J. Wilson, Seaforth, 93:2-2, Light cockerel—J. Finch, 93; 2-2, Light cockerel—J. Finch, 93; 2-2, Light cockerel—A. J. Wilson, 93:1-2; J. M. Macpherson, 93: Dark pullet—J. M. Macpherson, 93: Dark pullet—J. M. Macpherson, 93: Dark pullet—J. M. Macpherson, 93: W. McNeil, 2-1-2, Partridge cock—W. McLoud, 92:1-2; W. McNeil, London, 92: Black cock—W. McNeil, 89; 2-2. Partridge hen—W. McNeil, 94:2-2. Black cock—W. McNeil, 96:1-2. Black cock—W. McNeil, 96:1-2. Black cock—W. McNeil, 96:1-2. Black cock—W. McNeil, 97:1-2. Black cock—W. McNeil, 98: Buff pullet—W. McLoud, 93. White cockerel—W. McNeil, 93:1-2. White pullet—W. McNeil, 93:1-2. Brahmas-Light Brahma Cock-J. Finch, Seaforth,

W. McNeil, 93 1-2.

Langshans—Cockerel—G. Bartlett, London, 95; W. Sanderson, Stratford, 90. Pullet—G. Bartlett, 95; Car-

scope. Exhibitors in most cases accepted these decisions good naturedly, as useful in teaching them a lesson that would save them from similar humiliation in future. We would be glad to say that the judging all through was as strict and close to the standard as were the disqualifications. It is generally expected that when the score cards and birds are compared, the score-card will note the value of the difference in quality of the points in the several birds in a class. In several instances this was not the case here—birds differing greatly in quality in a given point being cut the same. This was most noticeable in Hamburgs, Polands, Dorkings and Games. Evidently Mr. Stevens was not so much at home in judging these varieties as the others.

W. McNeil, 93 1-2.
Langshan,—Cockerel—G. Bartlett, London, 95; W. Sanderson, Stratford, 90. Pullet—General Rady, Norwich, 92 1-2.
Game—Black.breasted Red, cock—W. McDoud, 92 1-2. Duckwing, Cock—W. McLoud, 92 1-2. W. H. Martin, 93 1-2. Duckwing, Cockerel—C. McIntyre, Norwich, 94; W. R. Cawston, 94; 2. W. McIntyre, 93; 2. Pullet—L. McIntyre, 93; 1-2; U. Woods, 95; C. Astzel, 93; 2. W. W. McNeil, 93; 2.; L. McIntyre, 92; Pullet—L. McIntyre, 92; Pullet—L. McIntyre, 93; 1-2; W. McNeil, 93; 2.; C. Bartlett, 94; W. McNeil, 93; 2.; C. Bartlett, 94; W. McNeil, 93; 2.; G. Bartlett, 94; 2. W. McNeil, 93; 2.; G. Bartlett, 94; 2. W. McNeil, 94; 2. W. Davis 94