

## Your Hair Needs Care

A little time devoted to the care of the hair works wonders. Just use Ayer's Hair Vigor, the new kind, systematically and conscientiously, and see the result. Stops falling hair, cures dandruff, makes the hair grow. Ask your doctor about this. We publish the formulae of all our preparations.

J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass.

## FAMILY WAS BESIEGED

HOME DEMOLISHED OVER THEIR HEADS BY HOUSEBREAKERS.

Strange Eviction Scene At East Shore—Children Turned Into Roadway—Indignant Grover Hiss Wreckers—Piece By Piece The Cottage Was Pulled Down Until Nothing But Heap of Rubble Remained.

Extraordinary eviction scenes were witnessed at East Shore, near Morlake, when Mr. and Mrs. Chas. Somers and their four little children, who withstood the attacks of housebreakers for four days, were turned into the roadway, and their home demolished literally over their heads. The house, a six-roomed tenement, standing alone in an orchard, about on the Upper Richmond road at East Shore and, known as Grover Cottage, is stated to have been given to the Somers family to occupy about four months ago, rent free, there being an understanding that they would not be molested for about a year. Six weeks ago, Mrs. Somers learned that it was proposed to make a new road where the cottage stood, and that she and her family would be expected to leave. When, however, she spoke about this to the gentleman who allowed her the use of the house, she was told that she need not be concerned, as no one could turn her out but himself.

Men With Crowbars. A few days ago four men with crowbars and other housebreaking implements appeared at Grover Cottage, and requested the family to leave, as they were going to knock the premises down. Mrs. Somers, who is a young woman of about 30, refused to leave the place until she had got another whereupon the workmen started to pull the house down. When they left off a lean-to at the rear was demolished, as well as the washhouse. The workmen returned to the work of demolition the next day, and attacked the roof, and the family were driven into the rooms below. Later they came again, and began to tear away the remainder of the roof, and to pull to pieces the rooms on the ground floor, where the family were huddled together in great confusion.

Crowd Was Hostile. Each day the work of demolition was watched by a crowd of indignant people from Morlake, and the housebreakers left off at night they were loudly hissed by the hostile crowd. Driven from room to room, the family had nothing left of their six-roomed house but one on the ground floor. The roof was gone, and holes in the ceiling freely admitted the air. The doors and window-cases had also been removed. In this room Mrs. Somers and her children passed the night on the floor wrapped up in blankets. The doors that had been torn from their hinges were brought back and propped up in their places, and these, with the drawn window-blinds, afforded some protection from the night air.

Driven Into the Street. When on the last day the housebreakers appeared on the scene they at once began to demolish the only part of the property left standing. The sound of the crowbars woke the children. Mrs. Somers pleaded with the men to stay their hands until she had dressed the children and could get out. The request was refused, and she was accordingly obliged to take the children into the open air and dress them there. In order to expedite her retreat she declares that they covered up the chimney outlet with slate so that the smoke from a small fire that was burning filled the room.

Ceiling Knocked In. In a very short time the ceiling was knocked in, and then the four walls were pushed down, and all that was left of Grover Cottage was a heap of bricks and mortar, laths, and plaster, which workmen were busy clearing away all the day. Mrs. Somers and her four children wandered about Morlake all the morning looking for a house, and, not being able to find one, a householder in Hampton square gave them an asylum for the time being. Mrs. Somers is the daughter of a provision merchant, who some years ago carried on business in Malvern road, Paddington, and is now in Dakota, U.S.A. Her husband is a gardener, and their four young children are aged two, four, five, and seven respectively.

## FAMOUS PRIZE STOLEN

\$1,500 GOLD CUP SPIRITED AWAY IN BROAD DAYLIGHT.

Ascot Cup One of Principal Racing Trophies—Was on View at Grandstand—Thieves' Outwitted Guards—By Audacious Rush—Coup Was Brought Off in Best Raffles Style.

The theft of the Gold Cup at Ascot will take premier place in the history of daylight robberies. Though in charge of an attendant and a constable this trophy, valued at \$1,500, disappeared while their backs were turned for only half a minute. The cup was brought to Ascot by a trusted servant of the makers, Messrs. Garrard, of Haymarket, together with the King's Gold Vase and the Royal Hunt Cup. These three handsome trophies were placed, as usual during Ascot week, on view on a table at the corner of the grandstand near the cloak rooms. This is one of the busiest spots on the course, as the main entrance to the lawn passes by here.

It was when most of the spectators were hurrying to the course to watch the race for the Fiftieth Biennial Stakes, the thieves brought off their coup. A Garrard's representative, who with a policeman in uniform was keeping watch over the cups, had stepped away for a minute or two to talk to someone on business matters, and at that moment a gentleman dressed in the pink of fashion asked the officer the way to the railway station. The constable obligingly stepped a few yards away to point out the way, and though his eyes were only off the table a few seconds, in that time the Gold Cup disappeared.

Story of Two Motor Cars. It is surmised that another of the well-dressed gentlemen who were on the lawn and in the plot popped the cup under his coat, and hurried out of the exit thirty yards away. Two motor-cars stood near by, and an instant later they departed at a great pace, one containing the cup, and the other acting, it is presumed, as a decoy in case of pursuit.

The theory is borne out by a society lady. She was in the grandstand enclosure, and says her attention was attracted by a man wearing an overcoat, whom she saw walking at a rapid pace out of the main entrance. She followed him to a motor-car, the chauffeur which drove off at a great speed. She heard of the theft almost immediately afterwards.

The Gold Cup is provided by the trustees out of the funds of the meeting, and has been in existence since 1867, but from 1845 to 1884 it was replaced by the Emperor's Plate.

Official Description. The trophy is looked upon as one of the most important of the year, and the following is the official description of this year's cup: A gold egg-shaped cup and cover, with richly-chased serpent handles and borders after the style of Flaxman, standing on a square gold pedestal with panels bordered by friezes of acanthus leaves, having "Ascot, 1907," and the royal arms, supporters, &c., in two of the panels. The cup weighed 68 ounces, and the gold pedestal, which is safe, scaled 34 ounces. The height of the cup is 13 1/4 inches, and the diameter six inches. The intrinsic value of cup and pedestal is £500, the pedestal being valued at about £200.

A GREAT SUCCESS.

Was your garden a success this year? You bet it was! My next door neighbor's chicken took the first prize at the poultry show.

SHE CERTAINLY COULD.

Kind lady, remarked the weary wayfarer, can you please oblige me with something to eat? He had gone to the woodshed and take a few chops, replied the kind lady.

The worship must be acceptable to God and come from a cheerful and thankful heart.

Minard's Liniment — Lumberman's Friend.

## CZAR'S YACHT AGROUND

Fast on a Rock Off the Finnish Coast.

Imperial Steamer Ran on Submerged Reef—Could Not Be Released—Royal Family All on Board—Vessel in No Danger—Squadron of Torpedo Boats Ready to Give Assistance.

Hango, Finland, Sept. 12.—The Russian imperial yacht Standard, with Emperor Nicholas and Empress Alexandra and their family aboard, is fast on the rocks off Hango, a point on the east coast west of this place.

The yacht is apparently in no danger, as the Emperor and his family remain on board. The Standard ran upon the rocks, which were submerged at high tide, at 4.30 o'clock yesterday afternoon and remained fast. A steam tugboat from Reval, on the Gulf of Finland, has arrived upon the scene, and seven torpedo boats, which have been escorting the imperial yacht on its tour in Finnish waters, are standing by to render assistance if needed.

WORKMEN IN PERIL.

Cave-In of Tunnel Fifty Feet Under River.

Windsor, Sept. 12.—The lives of 50 workmen were endangered by a cave-in at the Michigan Central tunnel yesterday morning. The timbers gave way while a score of employees were down a distance of 60 feet from the surface.

Fortunately, the cave-in was gradual, and the breaking timbers gave sufficient warning for the men to escape. All got out safely. The cave-in will tie up work on the tunnel at this point for 30 days or possibly a month. The actual damage is not as serious as the delay in the construction.

C. P. R. TRAIN WRECKED.

Freight Train Crashes Into Ballast—Fireman Killed—Cars Smashed.

Ottawa, Sept. 12.—A C. P. R. train of 20 coaches, en route to Montreal, plunged into a ballast train at Plantagenet last night and was wrecked. Fireman Knox of Blackburn being killed and Engineer Herb Reynolds of Ottawa seriously injured. The train is completely wrecked, the engine and several cars being smashed. The cause of the accident is not yet known.

Boy Killed by Carrousal.

St. John, N. B., Sept. 12.—At the inquest into the death at Lily Lake, near Campbellton, of Oliver Peters, a lad who was accidentally shot on Sunday by a comrade, Benjamin Beatty, the evidence showed that four boys had gone on a drunken carrousal to Lily Lake, taking much liquor with them, also firearms. They spent the day playing for the drinks. The jury condemned the fact that such youths could procure liquor freely, and they asked that a law be passed to be proceeded against for violating the law, and that Sunday lawlessness generally in the neighborhood be suppressed.

Sandwich Man Suicides.

Detroit, Sept. 12.—Edgar A. Davis of Sandwich, Ont., whom a Buffalo broker issued a warrant for, last night, by conversion, committed suicide by inhaling gas at Hartford, Conn., while visiting his sister, Mrs. Taylor of that city. Davis was interested in the Wolverine Fish Co., and was known as the anti-trust fighter. He was also an officer of the Windsor Dredging Co.

No News From Morocco.

Paris, Sept. 12.—Premier Clemenceau announced last night that he had received no further news from Morocco. He has instructed Gen. Druide, the commander of the French expeditionary force, to deliver a sharp and decided attack upon the Arab tribesmen when, in his judgment, the occasion is opportune.

Blow His Brains Out.

St. John, N. B., Sept. 12.—Robert Milligan, a prominent Orangeman of Albert County, shot himself yesterday, blowing his brains out. He had been suffering from paralysis.

Russell Woycott, while running an empty wheel in a pulp mill at St. George, was killed by being struck with the belt.

Police Chief Assassinated.

Irkutsk, Siberia, Sept. 12.—The chief of the secret police here, Goryloff, was assassinated yesterday.

Gronda, Russia, Sept. 12.—Ex-Chief of Police Matvevich of Rialyetsk was mortally wounded by a terrorist here yesterday. His assailant was captured.

Second Contingent.

Portage la Prairie, Man., Sept. 12.—The second contingent of Doukhobors, on the march to seek a warmer climate, reached the city yesterday, and after a rest of a couple of hours left on the journey towards Winnipeg.

Boy's Lone Ocean Trip.

London, Sept. 12.—(G. A. P.)—Jack Dillon, Ottawa, aged 7 years, arrived at Liverpool on the Lake Erie to visit his grandfather. He explained jauntily that this was not his first trip across the Atlantic.

First Snow of Season.

Chicago, Sept. 12.—A despatch to The Tribune from Fort Wayne, Ind., says the first snowstorm of the season occurred here Tuesday. The flurry lasted five or ten minutes.

Beat Pony to Death.

Montreal, Sept. 12.—George Abbott, a stable boy for Oak Meighen, beat the latter's pony so brutally that it died. He will be prosecuted.

## SUFFERED 15 YEARS

MR. ORZAL ROUNDS.

A Resident of Welland Finds Relief in Pe-ru-na.

Mr. Orzal Rounds, Welland, Ont., a retired lumberman and a resident of Welland for half a century, writes:

"For fifteen years prior to 1901 I was a sufferer from hay asthma and chronic diarrhoea. I grew weak and emaciated and was tortured with insomnias. 'If I was treated by eminent physicians but, if anything, was worse than ever. In fact, I was in as miserable a condition as a man could be. 'However, I chanced to try Peruna, and noticed an improvement. Thus encouraged, I continued, and after taking several bottles of your precious Peruna, I was entirely well and a wonder to myself and friends. 'If, at my advanced age, 59 years, I have obtained such good results from Peruna, after so many years of needless suffering, there can be no doubt of its efficacy in the treatment of younger persons."

Americans Greatest of Travelers.

Americans are rightfully called the greatest travelers in the world. They all seem imbued with the spirit of Columbus, and when we think of that venturer across strange waters in search of a new world, we can scarcely associate him with any other country as a native than ours. It is a noticeable fact that in every resort of prominence in England and on the continent there are to be seen among the tourists during the season at least two Americans to every one representative of any other country, and in the African cities Americans are even more in preponderance over travelers of other nationalities.

Reassuring.

"Now, be careful how you drive, cabby, and go slowly over the stones, for I hate to be shaken. And, mind you, pull up at the right house and look out for those dreadful railway wags."

"Never fear, sir; I'll do my best. And which 'ospital will you wish to be taken to, sir, in case of an accident?"

—London Tit-Bits.

Verdict for Dr. Pierce

AGAINST THE

Ladies' Home Journal.

Sending truth after a lie. It is an old maxim that "a lie will travel seven leagues while truth is getting its boots on," and no doubt hundreds of thousands of good people read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal, for \$200,000.00 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business; furthermore, that no alcohol, or other poisonous, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription"; that said medicine is made from native medicinal roots and contains no harmful ingredients whatsoever; and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement were wholly and absolutely false.

In the retrial which was held at New York, the jury found that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business, and that the article was published with the intent of injuring Dr. Pierce's business.

These facts were also proved in the retrial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, while hundreds of thousands who read the unvarnished and delicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription" published in the May (1906) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, who never saw the humble, groveling pretension, with its inconspicuous heading, published two months later. It was boldly charged in the slanderous and libelous article that Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription, for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit