VEAS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.

NAYS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

The main motion was then adopted on the following division:-

YEAS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

NAVS

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.

The Honorable L. S. Morin moved, That the Clerk of the Committee do take a copy of the said warrant against Thomas Kennedy Ramsay, Esq., exhibited by Judge Maguire. Which was carried on the following division:—

YEAS !

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baytiste Mongenais, Esq.

NAYS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.

The Honorable L. S. Morin moved, That John Maguire, Esq., Judge of the Sessions of the Peace for the City of Quebec, and a witness before this Committee, be informed by the Chairman that the presence of Thomas Kennedy Ramsay, Esquire, of the City of Montreal, Advocate, now present in Quebec, is required by this Committee, and that he has been ordered by the said Committee, on no account or consideration whatsoever, to absent himself without having first obtained the permission of the Committee.

That this Committee has taken communication of the warrant of arrest, and that it appears on the face of it that the offence of which Mr. Ramsay is accused of is only a

charge of simple assault.

That the powers of the House being delegated to this Committee on every question which has been raised before the *Verchères* Election Committee, this Committee exercises the privilege of Parliament, and enjoins the witness not to execute the warrant in his hands before the purposes for which Mr. *Ramsay* is retained here by order of the Committee shall have been accomplished.

That the Chairman do moreover inform the said John Maguire, Esq., that Mr. Ramsay is an Advocate regularly admitted to the profession of Advocate, and that practising as such before this tribunal, he is entitled to the protection of this Committee in the execution

of his professional duties.

And the said motion was concurred in, on the following division:-

YEAS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

NAYS

Louis Labreche-Viger,, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne.

14th March, 1863.

The Sitting Member moved, That he is opposed to any evidence whatever being adduced before the Committee, in relation to the legality of the votes recorded in the Parish of Contrecœur, inasmuch as no list of voters objected to by the Petitioner had been fyled before the Committee within the time, and in the manner prescribed by sections 80, 81, 82, and 83 of the Consolidated Statutes of Canada Chapter 7.

80, 81, 82 and 83 of the Consolidated Statutes of Canada, Chapter 7.

The Honorable Mr. Morin moved in amendment, That the objections made by the Sitting Member to the right of the Committee to enquire as to the validity or legality of the Electoral Lists now in the possession of the Committee, and which were laid before them on a special order of the said Committee addressed to the Clerk of the Crown

in Chancery, be deemed to be invalid, and be rejected.