		CONTENTS.	ii
	403		••
riginal		XXXIV, XXXV.	
• •	61	It is probable that in his letter to the Canons of Lyons, the Saint spoke of	85
either	_	XXXVI—XXXVIII.	
· ·	68	St. Thomas is exonerated from the charge of denying the Immaculate Conception of Mary—Passages from his works which are favorable to it,	88
		XXXIX,	
sors in	68	The writings of the holy Doctor have been altered in some places,	91
		XI.—XLII.	
of the	-	Examples of these interpolations,	93
nicep-	65	Doctrines of the Theologians on this subject	
		XLIV.	8
	66	St. Dominick a defender of our destrine	8
		XLV.	
• •	68	St. Vincent Ferrier defends our doctrine,	1
	69	It was also the opinion of Aibert the Great,	8
	100	John of Viterbo, an adversary of the pious opinion, became its defender—	
n that	70	Reasons of this change,	Ŀ
	- 10	Consequences which proceed from this document	
	71	XLIX.	}
	78	Opinion of Thaulere, Meichior Cano, and Noel Alexander, 106	;
able to	- 8	Opinion of Vincent Justinian,	ļ
	74	LI.	
		Favorable testimony of St. Bernardine of Sienna, St. Bruno, St. Lawrence Justinian, St. Thomas of Villanova, St. Alphonso de Liguori, 109	
trans-	76	LII—LIV.	
		The Theologians of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, with few exceptions, strenuously defend our doctrine,	
	P/P		

Authority of Suarez, .

Authority of Petau, .

LV.

LVI.

. 114

. 116

ζe,

oncep-

. 77

. 81