

responsible position. It is the one body recognized by the University as in control of student activities. It constitutes the liaison body between the University and the students in all matters relating to their activities. When a Council is duly elected and complete the University looks forward to a stable condition existing until another Council is elected. The University would have no surety of such a stable condition if any twenty-five students could call a meeting and successfully take an appeal against a decision of the Students' Council. That would be setting up a kind of government of which I do not think the Corporation of the University would approve. From a strictly constitutional point of view I do not think last Monday's meeting had any standing whatever. To my mind the Students' Council would have every justification if they ignored that meeting and any resolutions passed at that meeting, though of course one must always recognize the moral value of resolutions.

If the Students' Council continues to feel that the students have a right of appeal against its decisions, as it acknowledges in the resolution above referred to, then I think we must take some precaution to see that the meeting which attempts to protest the Council's decision shall be sufficiently representative and also that any protest should be carried by what would be a sufficient majority. This is a matter for discussion. If one thousand students voted I should say that at least a two-thirds majority would be necessary to make effective a protest against the Council's decision.

I shall place this new Constitution before the Corporation of the University for action at the next meeting of that body. I may call a special meeting of Corporation to deal with it.

Yours faithfully,

Principal.