HOUSE OF COMMONS

Wednesday, November 6, 1974

The House met at 2 p.m.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

CONSUMER AFFAIRS

GOVERNMENT ACTION TO WARN OF DANGERS OF KRAZY GLUE—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Otto Jelinek (High Park-Humber Valley): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 43 I rise to propose a motion on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the fact that a commercial product extensively advertised on national television under the trade name "Krazy Glue" has proven dangerous to children and adults alike, as expressed by members of the medical profession and others, and by the very nature of its name totally excludes the damage aspect and fails to properly illustrate those dangers both on the packaging as well as their advertising, and in view of the fact that with every day serious injuries are incurred by children and others, indeed making this motion an urgent one, I, therefore, propose to move, seconded by the hon. member for Burnaby-Richmond-Delta (Mr. Reynolds):

That the government take the necessary steps to ensure that all advertising including TV contain warnings as to the dangerous qualities of this product and that the trade name "Krazy Glue" be changed in order to eliminate its present appeal to children.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. As important a public matter as this may be, the hon. member will be aware that approximately two weeks ago another hon. member raised the matter through several questions in the House, and the minister who was questioned at that time was no doubt aware of the circumstances. I repeat that the subject matter may be important but I could scarcely hold that in view of the reference to this subject matter on that last occasion the matter still retains the urgency required under the rules.

* *

[Translation]

AIRPORTS

ST. SCHOLASTIQUE—REQUEST FOR COMMISSION TO STUDY CLAIMS OF EXPROPRIATED PARTIES—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Claude Wagner (Saint-Hyacinthe): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of obvious urgency and importance.

In view of the presence at noon today on Parliament Hill of hundreds of expropriated people from St. Scholastique who are angry at what they call the federal government's about-face with regard to them, and who are anxious, and rightly so, to be treated fairly and equitably, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brome-Missisquoi (Mr. Grafftey):

That a parliamentary commission be established to hear evidence from all parties involved, to study and review all relevant documents, and finally to recommend to the government and Parliament specific measures in order to give justice to those expropriated at St. Scholastique.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The House has heard the hon. member's motion. Pursuant to Standing Order 43, the motion can only be debated with unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There not being unanimous consent, the motion cannot be debated.

* * *

HOUSE OF COMMONS

SUGGESTED STUDY OF PROCEDURE ON ORAL QUESTION PERIOD—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to ask for the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion of extreme urgency and very great importance for the future of Parliament. I move, seconded by the hon. member for Témiscamingue (Mr. Caouette):

That the standing committee on procedure meet in a special sitting to examine most carefully the procedure of this House respecting the oral question period, more particularly with respect to supplementary questions which seem to be too numerous and time-consuming during a period which could be used in a more productive way by members of the different opposition parties on major issues dealing with administration, and current events and that the said committee report to this House before the adjournment for the Christmas recess.

Mr. Speaker: Although the motion is moved pursuant to Standing Order 43 and although it deals with a matter of tremendous importance, I regret to say that, in my opinion, it is not exactly a matter of urgency but rather a matter of interest to all members and a relevant matter. I do not think it is an urgent matter under the provisions of Standing Order 43.

Mr. Fortin: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

My colleague, the hon. member for Bellechasse (Mr. Lambert) moved that motion under Standing Order 43 to further specify the terms of reference given by parliamentary leaders on both sides of this House, through the