

tain representations. This letter was marked "personal," and for that reason it is not included in the file; otherwise it would be produced. I have not been able to get Doctor Tory to release the privilege as he is not in the city.

#### LOAN OF \$750,000,000

Right Hon. Sir GEORGE PERLEY (Argenteuil): When the resolution on which Bill No. 110, to authorize the raising, by way of loan, of certain sums of money for the public service, was before the committee last Monday, the hon. member for Vancouver Centre (Mr. Mackenzie) asked the Minister of Finance (Mr. Rhodes) for certain information. The hon. member mentioned this again yesterday evening when the bill was being put through and suggested that the information might be given to him on the estimates, but as I have it with me now, I will send it over to him. I think that will answer the purpose.

Hon. IAN MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): I appreciate the courtesy of the right hon. gentleman. I think however the information should be a matter of record. The hon. member for North Waterloo (Mr. Euler) asked one of the questions and I suggest that the information be filed.

Sir GEORGE PERLEY: The hon. gentleman would like it tabled as a return:

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): Yes.

#### QUESTIONS

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk).

#### LIVE STOCK SHIPMENTS

Mr. MOTHERWELL:

1. Has any minister, deputy minister or other officer of the government made or caused to be made, any shipments of dairy cattle, brood mares, stallions or other domestic live stock to Australia or South Africa since August, 1930?

2. If so (a) which minister and department initiated and had charge of each undertaking and in what province were such animals secured; (b) how many head of each class of live stock were selected for export, by whom and at what price each; (c) were such animals examined by qualified government inspectors under the live stock branch at initial point of shipment or at ocean port of embarkation and with what result as to their respective fitness, age and general qualifications to meet the requirements of the purchasers; (d) did such inspection result in any of such animals being rejected, and for what reasons, how many, and what disposition was made of them; (e) out of what vote were any such live stock purchases paid; (f) were any financial losses or expenses sustained by the

government in these transactions, and how much; (g) if any of such live stock exports to Australia were shipped via Halifax or other Atlantic port, why were they not sent the usual route to Australia via the Pacific?

Mr. CAHAN:

1. No.
2. Answered by No. 1.

#### DOCTOR ARNOLD RANKIN RICHARDS

Mr. FRASER (Northumberland):

1. Was Doctor Arnold Rankin Richards employed by the government as consulting and resident doctor for patients transferred from the military hospital, Petawawa, to the hospitals at Pembroke, Ontario?
2. What money was paid Doctor Richards by the government?
3. Who recommended Doctor Richards for the position?

Mr. STIRLING:

1. No.
2. \$55 in February, 1932, for professional services rendered to a part-time caretaker at the Pembroke armoury who met with an accident in the performance of his duties.
3. Answered by No. 1.

#### DOCTOR ROBERT THORNTON PERCIVAL

Mr. FRASER (Northumberland):

1. Was Doctor Robert Thornton Percival employed by the government as consulting and resident doctor for patients transferred from the military hospital, Petawawa, to the hospitals at Pembroke, Ontario?
2. What money was paid Doctor Percival by the government?
3. Who recommended Doctor Percival for the position?

Mr. STIRLING:

1. Dr. Percival was employed for patients transferred from the unemployment relief project at Petawawa to the Pembroke hospital and for the medical examination of men from Pembroke going to the unemployment relief project at Petawawa.
2. \$932.
3. Selected for employment in accordance with departmental practice.

#### MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

##### JOLIETTE-L'ASSOMPTION-MONTCALM RURAL MAIL SERVICE

Mr. FERLAND:

For a return showing:—

1. A list of all contractors carrying rural mail in the county of Joliette-l'Assomption-Montcalm, giving the name of the contractors, the date of such contracts, the contract prices and the mileage in each case.
2. Contracts awarded on a tender basis, and those awarded without tenders, by way of renewal of former contracts.