

Comment:

The courts have had the power to prohibit possession for years now under section 95 (1) of the present Criminal Code. They have proven conclusively that they have no intention of using this power in any meaningful way as long as they have a choice in the matter. The government must therefore make the choice for them. That government should propose to put millions of law abiding citizens through a massive bureaucratic system in an attempt to keep firearms out of the hands of people they think might be a problem while at the same time they do not take some positive action to keep firearms out of the hands of people they know are a problem makes no sense at all to us.

Sec. 102 (4).

-Delete paragraph (b).

Comment:

Anyone deliberately ignoring the order of a court in a matter of this kind does not deserve the courtesy of consideration under a summary conviction.

Sec. 105 (2).

By way of comment we wish it on record that this Federation supports both the intent and content of this section. We feel we have nothing to fear from the police and in a few isolated instances where there might be abuse, the citizen has adequate redress available in subsections (4) through (8).

We wish to point out also, that contrary to some of the comments made during the course of your deliberations on this Bill, this sec-