was no similitude between the cases. The Plaintiffs on the other hand had cited from Story on Sales (supra) and there the measures laid down for adoption in default of payment of price, even where the property had passed to the vendee were exactly those taken by them.—Under all the circumstances the Plaintiffs are entitled to the amount prayed for, and costs.

LIST OF JUDGMENTS RENDERED 29TH DECEMBER, 1860.

The Hon. A. STUART:

Brown vs. Clark.-Homologating rep. of distribution.

Bank B. N. America vs. Dalkin.-Judgment for Plaintiff.

Taylor vs. Ryan.—On motion. Exparte Quebec Harbour Commissioners.
Ratification of Title.

Têtu vs. St. Hilaire & Roberge.—On motion. Early vs. McHugh delib dis.

Lagueux vs. Turnpike Trust .- On motion.

Kennedy vs. Irvine et al. & Supple.-On motion.

Langlois vs. Allaire.—For £49 2s. and costs.

Quinn vs. Equitable Insurance Company.—For £180 and costs.

Séminaire vs. Dallimore.—On motion.

Bilodeau vs. Roy & Campeau.—On motion.

Eglington vs. Renaud.—En bornage.

Meroier vs. Roy.-On motion.

Societé Construction, vs. Smith & White.—Opposition dismissed.

Berry vs. May & Stevenson.—Opposition maintained.

The Hon, F. O. GAUTHIER:

Quebec Building Society vs. Jones.—On motion.

Mr. Assistant Justice TASCHEREAU:

Dom. Reg. vs. Lavoie.—En bornage.

LeMesurier vs. Newhouse & Phillips & Armstrong-p. a. f. droit.

Glackemeyer vs. The Corporation & Lagueux.—For Plaintiff.

Beaudonin vs. Grand Trunk Railway -On motion.

Cassils et al. vs. Leblanc.—For Plaintiffs.

Society Con. vs. Atkins & Smith.—Opposition dismissed.

Anderson vs. St. Jean-Inc. demand dismissed.

Chapman et al. vs. Benson.—On motion and def. en droit.

Doyer vs. Soulard. Action instituted in 1840.—Judgment quoad costs.

Campbell vs. Martin & Martin.-Maintaining opposition.

Brown vs. Burstall.—For Plaintiff. Michon vs Gagnon — Mainteining demurrer to Rep. en droit.