Doung Friends' Review a monthly magazine.

Published in the interest of the Society of Friends at .

LONDON AND COLDSTREAM, ONTARIO, CANADA.

EDITORIAL STAFF:

S. P. ZAVITZ, Coldstream.

ELIZABETH S. STOVER; M. E. L., Norwich.

EDGAR M. ZAVITZ, B. A., Coldstream.

ISAAC WILSON, Bloomfield.

SERENA MINARD. Sparta.

EDGAR M. ZAVITZ, Managing Editor.

S. P. ZAVITZ, Treasurer and Business

TERMS:-Per Year, 50c. Single Numbers 5c.

Correspondent.

Matter for publication should be addressed to Edgar M. Zavitz, Coldstream, Ont., and should be in by the 1st of the month. Business letters to the Treasurer, Coldstream, Ont. The name of an author must accompany the article sent for publication, as a guarantee of good faith.

We do not hold ourselves responsible for the views expressed in communications over the name, initials or other characters representing the contributor.

We prefer that remittances be made by postofice order or by registered letters. If bank drafts are sent from the United States they should be made payable at New York or Chicago. Postage stamps (American or Canadian) are accepted for change.

"I have been trying to find out what you Friends believed, and I perceive that you differ in your views as much as members of other denominations." These words were addressed me lately, and my answer was: "I think we differ even more.' Perhaps I am of this opinion because I am better acquainted with the views of our members than with those of other denominations, for the more I become acquainted with the views of different members of our Society the farther, I perceive, they differ.

Edward H. Magill, in one of his lectures in Canada on his tour a few summers ago, said that "there is as wide a divergency in the views of our members as there is between all other societies."

Whether this be hyperbole or literally true I am not qualified to say. It is rather a startling statement, and the more so to those who have had the least opportunity for observing. What is the cause of this divergency, and what does it indicate? The cause, paradoxi cal as it seems, may be found in the two extremes—ignorance and intelli-Ignorance of what our brother thinks on this or that subject, through want of interchange of thought, has been a source of different views in the But this cause is becoming removed to a great extent through the means of the First Day School. Then, it will be said the divergency will still remain and be as great, for the F(1) S. will enlighten just as much as it dis pells ignorance. What it curtails from the one source it adds to the other. Have we gained nothing, then? I think we have. An intelligent variance is certainly better than an ignorant variance. Yes, better even than an ignorant conformity. It is better for people to think, though they may differ, than to believe alike without thinking. A divergency of opinion is a mark of an enlightened people. this all, then, or is there one step higher? Is there an intelligent corformity higher yet in both essentials and non-essentials? We will leave this question open for thought and for contributions, should any choose to .ke it

If our readers who have Friends, members of our Society, or others likely to be interested in reading the Review living west of Ontario in Can ada or west of the Mississippi River in the United States will send us lists of the same, with addresses plainly written, we will consider it a favor, and we may be in a position to benefit them also.

SPECIAL OFFER. - With the object of introducing the REVIEW into new homes, we will send it to any address