

included in those powers; and the appointment of Queen's Counsel forms an essential, though small part of the same, affecting the internal economy of the courts of justice. The Attorney General is supposed to conduct every criminal trial. Was he in court, he would be *de facto* the first Queen's Counsel. He appoints substitutes who sign and speak for him. Section 134 undoubtedly gives him the right of delegating to them part of his powers and privileges; more than all that, our Federal statutes are full of dispositions, formally recognising that the Queen forms part of the Local Legislatures. The jurors appointed by the local officers are called the jurors of Our Sovereign Lady the Queen. The indictments are drawn charging a defendant to have acted against the peace of Our Sovereign Lady the Queen, her Crown and dignity. The jurors are to be challenged or ordered to stand aside in the name of the Crown. Chapter 174 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, section 179, says:

"Provided always, that the right of reply shall be always allowed to the Attorney or Solicitor General, as to any Queen's Counsel, acting on behalf of the Crown."

Can there be a more explicit recognition of the principle I sustain? Now, how extraordinary it would be that the Attorney General would have the obligation, by statute as well as by the common law, to attend to the administration of the criminal law, would have, in virtue of the same authorities, the authority of delegating his powers, but that he could not choose whom he would please, and that he would have to wait upon the good-will of an alien Government to appoint his representatives Queen's Counsel, so as to give them the right of reply in the public interest?

[To be continued.]

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, March 22.

Judicial Abandonments.

Evariste Drouin, grocer, Quebec, March 20.
 Laurent Justinien Pelletier, doing business as Jos. Pelletier & Cie., dry goods merchant, Montreal, March 18.
 Anthime Robert and Julien Allard, doing business

as "Anthime Robert," traders and farmers, Upton, March 15.

Edouard St. Cyr, trader, parish of Ste. Clotilde de Horton, March 14.

Curators appointed.

Re Charles G. Davies.—J. Y. Welch, Quebec, curator, March 18.

Re W. A. Douglas, trader, Chabloro.—W. J. Simpson, Lachute, curator, March 17.

Re J. B. Durocher, Montreal.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, March 8.

Re Edward P. Earle (absentee), (Earle Bros.).—T. Gauthier, Montreal, curator, March 15.

Re Jos. Gagné, trader, St. George, Beauce.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, March 18.

Re Adélar Lafontaine.—M. Crepeau, St. Félix de Valois, curator, March 17.

Re C. O. Lamontagne, Montreal.—A. L. Kent and G. de Serres, Montreal, joint curator, Feb. 20.

Re Massé & Mathieu, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, March 15.

Re E. A. Panet & Co.—D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, March 15.

Re Joseph Pelletier, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, March 20.

Re E. St. Amour et al.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, March 19.

Dividends.

Re Joseph Dagenais, Montreal.—Dividend, payable April 10, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re John Farnan, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable April 7, M. B. Smith, Montreal, curator.

Re C. G. Glass, Montreal.—First dividend, payable April 8, W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, curator.

Re Labonté, frère, St. Thérèse.—First and final dividend, payable March 28, Bilodeau & Renaud, Montreal, curator.

Re Joseph Leclerc, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable April 9, W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, curator.

Re A. Paradis & Co., Quebec.—First and final dividend, payable April 3, D. Arcand, Quebec, curator.

Re Almando Parker et al.—First and final dividend, payable April 9, Millier & Griffith, Sherbrooke, joint curator.

Re Théodore Pouliot, currier, Quebec.—First and final dividend, payable April 9, N. Fortier, Quebec, curator.

Re Sinai Prevost, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable April 10, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Abraham Simard, Thetford Mines.—First and final dividend, payable April 8, Aug. Quesnel, Arthabaskaville, curator.

Re St. Lawrence Warehouse Dook & Wharfage Co.—First and final dividend, payable April 9, J. Adam, South Quebec, curator.

Re Z. Turcotte, Pierreville.—Dividend, payable April 10, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Separation as to property.

Emelie Bernier vs. Louis Léon Ferland, cabinet maker, Montreal, March 14.

Olivine Charbonneau vs. Vilbon Huot, farmer, township of Granby, March 19.

Sophranie Dudevior vs. Joseph Desmarais, Montreal, March 10.

Anathalie Rancourt vs. Jérémie Bessette, Montreal, March 1.